

**NIST IR 8477-Based Set Theory Relationship Mapping (STRM)**  
**Reference document:** Secure Controls Framework (SCF) version 2026.1  
**STRM Guidance:** <https://securecontrolsframework.com/set-theory-relationship-mapping-strm/>

**Focal Document:** Focal Document URL:  
**Published STRM URL:**

**NIST Cybersecurity Framework (CSF) version 2.0**  
<https://wpubus.nist.gov/nistpubs/csw/NIST.CSWP.29.pdf>  
<https://content.securecontrolsframework.com/nist-strm-general-risk-csf-2-0.pdf>

| FDE #    | FDE Name | Focal Document Element (FDE) Description  | STRM Rationale | STRM Relationship | SCF Control  | SCF #    | Secure Controls Framework (SCF) Control Description  | Strength of Relationship | Notes |
|----------|----------|---|----------------|-------------------|--|----------|--|--------------------------|-------|
| GV       | N/A      | The organization's cybersecurity risk management strategy, expectations, and policy are established, communicated, and monitored.   | Functional     | Subset Of         | Cybersecurity & Data Protection Governance Program                       | GOV-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of cybersecurity and data protection governance controls.  | 10                       |       |
| GV       | N/A      | The organization's cybersecurity risk management strategy, expectations, and policy are established, communicated, and monitored.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Measures of Performance  | GOV-05   | Mechanisms exist to develop, report and monitor cybersecurity and data protection program measures of performance.   | 8                        |       |
| GV       | N/A      | The organization's cybersecurity risk management strategy, expectations, and policy are established, communicated, and monitored.   | Functional     | Subset Of         | Risk Management Program  | RSK-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of strategic, operational and tactical risk management controls.   | 10                       |       |
| GV       | N/A      | The organization's cybersecurity risk management strategy, expectations, and policy are established, communicated, and monitored.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Strategic Plan & Objectives  | PRM-01.1 | Mechanisms exist to establish a strategic cybersecurity and data protection-specific business plan and set of objectives to achieve that plan.   | 5                        |       |
| GV.OC    | N/A      | The circumstances — mission, stakeholder expectations, dependencies, and legal, regulatory, and contractual requirements — surrounding the organization's cybersecurity risk management decisions are understood. | Functional     | Subset Of         | Defining Business Context & Mission                                      | GOV-08   | Mechanisms exist to define the context of its business model and document the organization's mission.  | 10                       |       |
| GV.OC    | N/A      | The circumstances — mission, stakeholder expectations, dependencies, and legal, regulatory, and contractual requirements — surrounding the organization's cybersecurity risk management decisions are understood. | Functional     | Intersects With   | Asset Service Dependencies   | AST-01.1 | Mechanisms exist to identify and assess the security of Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS), Applications and/or Services (TAAS) that support more than one critical business function.   | 5                        |       |
| GV.OC    | N/A      | The circumstances — mission, stakeholder expectations, dependencies, and legal, regulatory, and contractual requirements — surrounding the organization's cybersecurity risk management decisions are understood. | Functional     | Intersects With   | Stakeholder Identification & Involvement                                 | AST-01.2 | Mechanisms exist to identify and involve pertinent stakeholders of critical Technology Assets, Applications, Services and/or Data (TAASD) to support the ongoing secure management of those assets.  | 5                        |       |
| GV.OC    | N/A      | The circumstances — mission, stakeholder expectations, dependencies, and legal, regulatory, and contractual requirements — surrounding the organization's cybersecurity risk management decisions are understood. | Functional     | Intersects With   | Statutory, Regulatory & Contractual Compliance                           | CP1-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the identification and implementation of relevant statutory, regulatory and contractual controls.   | 5                        |       |
| GV.OC    | N/A      | The circumstances — mission, stakeholder expectations, dependencies, and legal, regulatory, and contractual requirements — surrounding the organization's cybersecurity risk management decisions are understood. | Functional     | Intersects With   | Responsible, Accountable, Supportive, Consulted & Informed (RASC) Matrix | TPM-05.4 | Mechanisms exist to document and maintain a Responsible, Accountable, Supportive, Consulted & Informed (RASC) matrix, or similar documentation, to delineate assignment for cybersecurity and data protection controls between internal stakeholders and External Service Providers (ESPs).  | 5                        |       |
| GV.OC-01 | N/A      | The organizational mission is understood and informs cybersecurity risk management.   | Functional     | Subset Of         | Defining Business Context & Mission                                      | GOV-08   | Mechanisms exist to define the context of its business model and document the organization's mission.  | 10                       |       |
| GV.OC-01 | N/A      | The organizational mission is understood and informs cybersecurity risk management.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Risk Framing   | RSK-01.1 | Mechanisms exist to identify:<br>(1) Assumptions affecting risk assessments, risk response and risk monitoring;<br>(2) Constraints affecting risk assessments, risk response and risk monitoring;<br>(3) The organizational risk tolerance; and<br>(4) Priorities, benefits and trade-offs considered by the organization for managing risk. | 5                        |       |
| GV.OC-01 | N/A      | The organizational mission is understood and informs cybersecurity risk management.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Threat Modeling  | TD4-06.2 | Mechanisms exist to perform threat modeling and other secure design techniques, to ensure that threats to software and solutions are identified and accounted for.   | 4                        |       |
| GV.OC-02 | N/A      | Internal and external stakeholders are understood, and their needs and expectations regarding cybersecurity risk management are understood and considered.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Stakeholder Identification & Involvement                                 | AST-01.2 | Mechanisms exist to identify and involve pertinent stakeholders of critical Technology Assets, Applications, Services and/or Data (TAASD) to support the ongoing secure management of those assets.  | 5                        |       |
| GV.OC-02 | N/A      | Internal and external stakeholders are understood, and their needs and expectations regarding cybersecurity risk management are understood and considered.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Third-Party Contract Requirements  | TPM-05   | Mechanisms exist to require contractual requirements for cybersecurity and data protection requirements with third parties, reflecting the organization's needs to protect its Technology Assets, Applications, Services and/or Data (TAASD).  | 5                        |       |
| GV.OC-02 | N/A      | Internal and external stakeholders are understood, and their needs and expectations regarding cybersecurity risk management are understood and considered.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Responsible, Accountable, Supportive, Consulted & Informed (RASC) Matrix | TPM-05.4 | Mechanisms exist to document and maintain a Responsible, Accountable, Supportive, Consulted & Informed (RASC) matrix, or similar documentation, to delineate assignment for cybersecurity and data protection controls between internal stakeholders and External Service Providers (ESPs).  | 5                        |       |
| GV.OC-03 | N/A      | Legal, regulatory, and contractual requirements regarding cybersecurity — including privacy and civil liberties obligations — are understood and managed.   | Functional     | Subset Of         | Statutory, Regulatory & Contractual Compliance                           | CP1-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the identification and implementation of relevant statutory, regulatory and contractual controls.   | 10                       |       |
| GV.OC-03 | N/A      | Legal, regulatory, and contractual requirements regarding cybersecurity — including privacy and civil liberties obligations — are understood and managed.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Cybersecurity & Data Protection Controls Oversight                       | CP1-02   | Mechanisms exist to provide a cybersecurity and data protection controls oversight function that reports to the organization's executive leadership.   | 5                        |       |
| GV.OC-03 | N/A      | Legal, regulatory, and contractual requirements regarding cybersecurity — including privacy and civil liberties obligations — are understood and managed.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Data Privacy Program   | PR1-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation and operation of data protection controls throughout the data lifecycle to ensure all forms of Personal Data (PD) are processed lawfully, fairly and transparently.  | 8                        |       |
| GV.OC-03 | N/A      | Legal, regulatory, and contractual requirements regarding cybersecurity — including privacy and civil liberties obligations — are understood and managed.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Third-Party Contract Requirements  | TPM-05   | Mechanisms exist to require contractual requirements for cybersecurity and data protection requirements with third parties, reflecting the organization's needs to protect its Technology Assets, Applications, Services and/or Data (TAASD).  | 5                        |       |
| GV.OC-03 | N/A      | Legal, regulatory, and contractual requirements regarding cybersecurity — including privacy and civil liberties obligations — are understood and managed.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Contract Flow-Down Requirements  | TPM-05.2 | Mechanisms exist to ensure cybersecurity and data protection requirements are included in contracts that flow-down to applicable sub-contractors and suppliers.  | 5                        |       |
| GV.OC-04 | N/A      | Critical objectives, capabilities, and services that external stakeholders depend on or expect from the organization are understood and communicated.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Defining Business Context & Mission                                      | GOV-08   | Mechanisms exist to define the context of its business model and document the organization's mission.  | 5                        |       |
| GV.OC-04 | N/A      | Critical objectives, capabilities, and services that external stakeholders depend on or expect from the organization are understood and communicated.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Identify Critical Assets   | BCD-02   | Mechanisms exist to identify and document the critical Technology Assets, Applications, Services and/or Data (TAASD) that support essential missions and business functions.   | 5                        |       |
| GV.OC-04 | N/A      | Critical objectives, capabilities, and services that external stakeholders depend on or expect from the organization are understood and communicated.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Strategic Plan & Objectives  | PRM-01.1 | Mechanisms exist to establish a strategic cybersecurity and data protection-specific business plan and set of objectives to achieve that plan.   | 5                        |       |
| GV.OC-04 | N/A      | Critical objectives, capabilities, and services that external stakeholders depend on or expect from the organization are understood and communicated.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Third-Party Criticality Assessments                                      | TPM-02   | Mechanisms exist to identify, prioritize and assess suppliers and partners of critical Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) using a supply chain risk assessment process relative to their importance in supporting the delivery of high-value services.   | 5                        |       |
| GV.OC-05 | N/A      | Outcomes, capabilities, and services that the organization depends on are understood and communicated.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Identify Critical Assets   | BCD-02   | Mechanisms exist to identify and document the critical Technology Assets, Applications, Services and/or Data (TAASD) that support essential missions and business functions.   | 5                        |       |
| GV.OC-05 | N/A      | Outcomes, capabilities, and services that the organization depends on are understood and communicated.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Software Bill of Materials (SBOM)  | TD4-04.2 | Mechanisms exist to generate, or obtain, a Software Bill of Materials (SBOM) for Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) that lists software packages in use, including versions and applicable licenses.   | 4                        |       |
| GV.OC-05 | N/A      | Outcomes, capabilities, and services that the organization depends on are understood and communicated.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Third-Party Criticality Assessments                                      | TPM-02   | Mechanisms exist to identify, prioritize and assess suppliers and partners of critical Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) using a supply chain risk assessment process relative to their importance in supporting the delivery of high-value services.   | 5                        |       |
| GV.RM    | N/A      | The organization's priorities, constraints, risk tolerance and appetite statements, and assumptions are established, communicated, and used to support operational risk decisions.                                | Functional     | Intersects With   | Assigned Cybersecurity & Data Protection Responsibilities                | GOV-04   | Mechanisms exist to assign one or more qualified individuals with the mission and resources to centrally-manage, coordinate, develop, implement and maintain an enterprise-wide cybersecurity and data protection program.   | 5                        |       |
| GV.RM    | N/A      | The organization's priorities, constraints, risk tolerance and appetite statements, and assumptions are established, communicated, and used to support operational risk decisions.                                | Functional     | Intersects With   | Cybersecurity & Data Protection Portfolio Management                     | PRM-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of cybersecurity and data protection related resource planning controls that define a viable plan for achieving cybersecurity and data protection objectives.  | 5                        |       |
| GV.RM    | N/A      | The organization's priorities, constraints, risk tolerance and appetite statements, and assumptions are established, communicated, and used to support operational risk decisions.                                | Functional     | Intersects With   | Strategic Plan & Objectives  | PRM-01.1 | Mechanisms exist to establish a strategic cybersecurity and data protection-specific business plan and set of objectives to achieve that plan.   | 5                        |       |
| GV.RM    | N/A      | The organization's priorities, constraints, risk tolerance and appetite statements, and assumptions are established, communicated, and used to support operational risk decisions.                                | Functional     | Intersects With   | Risk Management Program  | RSK-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of strategic, operational and tactical risk management controls.   | 5                        |       |
| GV.RM    | N/A      | The organization's priorities, constraints, risk tolerance and appetite statements, and assumptions are established, communicated, and used to support operational risk decisions.                                | Functional     | Intersects With   | Risk Framing   | RSK-01.1 | Mechanisms exist to identify:<br>(1) Assumptions affecting risk assessments, risk response and risk monitoring;<br>(2) Constraints affecting risk assessments, risk response and risk monitoring;<br>(3) The organizational risk tolerance; and<br>(4) Priorities, benefits and trade-offs considered by the organization for managing risk. | 8                        |       |
| GV.RM    | N/A      | The organization's priorities, constraints, risk tolerance and appetite statements, and assumptions are established, communicated, and used to support operational risk decisions.                                | Functional     | Intersects With   | Risk Tolerance   | RSK-01.3 | Mechanisms exist to define organizational risk tolerance, the specified range of acceptable results.   | 8                        |       |
| GV.RM    | N/A      | The organization's priorities, constraints, risk tolerance and appetite statements, and assumptions are established, communicated, and used to support operational risk decisions.                                | Functional     | Intersects With   | Risk Appetite  | RSK-01.5 | Mechanisms exist to define organizational risk appetite, the degree of uncertainty the organization is willing to accept in anticipation of a reward.  | 8                        |       |
| GV.RM-01 | N/A      | Risk management objectives are established and agreed to by organizational stakeholders.  | Functional     | Subset Of         | Cybersecurity & Data Protection Governance Program                       | GOV-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of cybersecurity and data protection governance controls.  | 10                       |       |
| GV.RM-01 | N/A      | Risk management objectives are established and agreed to by organizational stakeholders.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Steering Committee & Program Oversight                                   | GOV-01.1 | Mechanisms exist to coordinate cybersecurity, data protection and business alignment through a steering committee or advisory board, comprised of key cybersecurity, data privacy and business executives, which meets formally and on a regular basis.  | 10                       |       |
| GV.RM-01 | N/A      | Risk management objectives are established and agreed to by organizational stakeholders.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Key Risk Indicators (KRIs)   | GOV-05.2 | Mechanisms exist to develop, report and monitor Key Risk Indicators (KRIs) to assist senior management in performance monitoring and trend analysis of the cybersecurity and data protection program.  | 3                        |       |
| GV.RM-01 | N/A      | Risk management objectives are established and agreed to by organizational stakeholders.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Risk Management Program  | RSK-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of strategic, operational and tactical risk management controls.   | 5                        |       |
| GV.RM-02 | N/A      | Risk appetite and risk tolerance statements are established, communicated, and maintained.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Risk Tolerance   | RSK-01.3 | Mechanisms exist to define organizational risk tolerance, the specified range of acceptable results.   | 10                       |       |
| GV.RM-02 | N/A      | Risk appetite and risk tolerance statements are established, communicated, and maintained.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Risk Appetite  | RSK-01.5 | Mechanisms exist to define organizational risk appetite, the degree of uncertainty the organization is willing to accept in anticipation of a reward.  | 10                       |       |
| GV.RM-03 | N/A      | Cybersecurity risk management activities and outcomes are included in enterprise risk management processes.   | Functional     | Subset Of         | Cybersecurity & Data Protection Governance Program                       | GOV-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of cybersecurity and data protection governance controls.  | 10                       |       |
| GV.RM-03 | N/A      | Cybersecurity risk management activities and outcomes are included in enterprise risk management processes.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Steering Committee & Program Oversight                                   | GOV-01.1 | Mechanisms exist to coordinate cybersecurity, data protection and business alignment through a steering committee or advisory board, comprised of key cybersecurity, data privacy and business executives, which meets formally and on a regular basis.  | 5                        |       |
| GV.RM-03 | N/A      | Cybersecurity risk management activities and outcomes are included in enterprise risk management processes.   | Functional     | Subset Of         | Risk Management Program  | RSK-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of strategic, operational and tactical risk management controls.   | 10                       |       |
| GV.RM-04 | N/A      | Strategic direction that describes appropriate risk response options is established and communicated.   | Functional     | Subset Of         | Risk Management Program  | RSK-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of strategic, operational and tactical risk management controls.   | 10                       |       |
| GV.RM-04 | N/A      | Strategic direction that describes appropriate risk response options is established and communicated.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Risk Framing   | RSK-01.1 | Mechanisms exist to identify:<br>(1) Assumptions affecting risk assessments, risk response and risk monitoring;<br>(2) Constraints affecting risk assessments, risk response and risk monitoring;<br>(3) The organizational risk tolerance; and<br>(4) Priorities, benefits and trade-offs considered by the organization for managing risk. | 5                        |       |
| GV.RM-04 | N/A      | Strategic direction that describes appropriate risk response options is established and communicated.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Risk Remediation   | RSK-06   | Mechanisms exist to remediate risks to an acceptable level.  | 5                        |       |
| GV.RM-04 | N/A      | Strategic direction that describes appropriate risk response options is established and communicated.   | Functional     | subset of         | Risk Response  | RSK-06.1 | Mechanisms exist to respond to findings from cybersecurity and data protection assessments, incidents and audits to ensure proper remediation has been performed.  | 5                        |       |
| GV.RM-04 | N/A      | Strategic direction that describes appropriate risk response options is established and communicated.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Compensating Countermeasures   | RSK-06.2 | Mechanisms exist to identify and implement compensating countermeasures to reduce risk and exposure to threats.  | 5                        |       |
| GV.RM-05 | N/A      | Lines of communication across the organization are established for cybersecurity risks, including risks from suppliers and other third parties.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Assigned Cybersecurity & Data Protection Responsibilities                | GOV-04   | Mechanisms exist to assign one or more qualified individuals with the mission and resources to centrally-manage, coordinate, develop, implement and maintain an enterprise-wide cybersecurity and data protection program.   | 5                        |       |
| GV.RM-05 | N/A      | Lines of communication across the organization are established for cybersecurity risks, including risks from suppliers and other third parties.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Stakeholder Accountability Structure                                     | GOV-04.1 | Mechanisms exist to enforce an accountability structure so that appropriate teams and individuals are empowered, responsible and trained for mapping, measuring and managing data and technology-related risks.  | 5                        |       |
| GV.RM-05 | N/A      | Lines of communication across the organization are established for cybersecurity risks, including risks from suppliers and other third parties.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Defined Roles & Responsibilities   | HRS-03   | Mechanisms exist to define cybersecurity roles & responsibilities for all personnel.   | 5                        |       |
| GV.RM-05 | N/A      | Lines of communication across the organization are established for cybersecurity risks, including risks from suppliers and other third parties.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Responsible, Accountable, Supportive, Consulted & Informed (RASC) Matrix | TPM-05.4 | Mechanisms exist to document and maintain a Responsible, Accountable, Supportive, Consulted & Informed (RASC) matrix, or similar documentation, to delineate assignment for cybersecurity and data protection controls between internal stakeholders and External Service Providers (ESPs).  | 5                        |       |
| GV.RM-06 | N/A      | A standardized method for calculating, documenting, categorizing and prioritizing cybersecurity risks is established and communicated.  | Functional     | Subset Of         | Risk Management Program  | RSK-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of strategic, operational and tactical risk management controls.   | 10                       |       |

| FDE #    | FDE Name | Focal Document Element (FDE) Description  | STRM Rationale | STRM Relationship | SCF Control  | SCF #    | Secure Controls Framework (SCF) Control Description  | Strength of Relationship | Notes |
|----------|----------|---|----------------|-------------------|--|----------|--|--------------------------|-------|
| GV-06    | N/A      | A standardized method for calculating, documenting, categorizing, and prioritizing cybersecurity risks is established and communicated.                                       | Functional     | Intersects With   | Risk Framing   | RSK-01.1 | Mechanisms exist to identify:<br>(1) Assumptions affecting risk assessments, risk response and risk monitoring;<br>(2) Constraints affecting risk assessments, risk response and risk monitoring;<br>(3) The organizational risk tolerance; and<br>(4) Priorities, benefits and trade-offs considered by the organization for managing risk. | 5                        |       |
| GV-06    | N/A      | A standardized method for calculating, documenting, categorizing, and prioritizing cybersecurity risks is established and communicated.                                       | Functional     | Intersects With   | Risk Assessment  | RSK-04   | Mechanisms exist to conduct recurring assessments of risk that includes the likelihood and magnitude of harm, from unauthorized access, use, disclosure, disruption, modification or destruction of the organization's Technology Assets, Applications, Services and/or Data (TAASCI).   | 5                        |       |
| GV-06    | N/A      | A standardized method for calculating, documenting, categorizing, and prioritizing cybersecurity risks is established and communicated.                                       | Functional     | Intersects With   | Risk Register  | RSK-04.1 | Mechanisms exist to maintain a risk register that facilitates monitoring and reporting of risks.   | 5                        |       |
| GV-07    | N/A      | Strategic opportunities (i.e., positive risks) are characterized and are included in organizational cybersecurity risk discussions.   | Functional     | Subset Of         | Risk Framing   | RSK-01.1 | Mechanisms exist to identify:<br>(1) Assumptions affecting risk assessments, risk response and risk monitoring;<br>(2) Constraints affecting risk assessments, risk response and risk monitoring;<br>(3) The organizational risk tolerance; and<br>(4) Priorities, benefits and trade-offs considered by the organization for managing risk. | 10                       |       |
| GV-08    | N/A      | Cybersecurity roles, responsibilities, and authorities to foster accountability, performance assessment, and continuous improvement are established and communicated.         | Functional     | Intersects With   | Defined Roles & Responsibilities   | HRS-03   | Mechanisms exist to define cybersecurity roles & responsibilities for all personnel.   | 5                        |       |
| GV-08    | N/A      | Cybersecurity roles, responsibilities, and authorities to foster accountability, performance assessment, and continuous improvement are established and communicated.         | Functional     | Intersects With   | Responsible, Accountable, Supportive, Consulted & Informed (RASC) Matrix | TPM-05.4 | Mechanisms exist to document and maintain a Responsible, Accountable, Supportive, Consulted & Informed (RASC) matrix, or similar documentation, to delineate assignment for cybersecurity and data protection controls between internal stakeholders and External Service Providers (ESPs).  | 8                        |       |
| GV-08.01 | N/A      | Organizational leadership is responsible and accountable for cybersecurity risk and fosters a culture that is risk-aware, ethical, and continually improving.                 | Functional     | Subset Of         | Cybersecurity & Data Protection Governance Program                       | GOV-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of cybersecurity and data protection governance controls.  | 10                       |       |
| GV-08.01 | N/A      | Organizational leadership is responsible and accountable for cybersecurity risk and fosters a culture that is risk-aware, ethical, and continually improving.                 | Functional     | Intersects With   | Steering Committee & Program Oversight                                   | GOV-01.1 | Mechanisms exist to coordinate cybersecurity, data protection and business alignment through a steering committee or advisory board, comprised of key cybersecurity, data privacy and business executives, which meets formally and on a regular basis.  | 8                        |       |
| GV-08.01 | N/A      | Organizational leadership is responsible and accountable for cybersecurity risk and fosters a culture that is risk-aware, ethical, and continually improving.                 | Functional     | Intersects With   | Assigned Cybersecurity & Data Protection Responsibilities                | GOV-04   | Mechanisms exist to assign one or more qualified individuals with the mission and resources to centrally manage, coordinate, develop, implement and maintain an enterprise-wide cybersecurity and data protection program.   | 5                        |       |
| GV-08.01 | N/A      | Organizational leadership is responsible and accountable for cybersecurity risk and fosters a culture that is risk-aware, ethical, and continually improving.                 | Functional     | Intersects With   | Stakeholder Accountability Structure                                     | GOV-04.1 | Mechanisms exist to enforce an accountability structure so that appropriate teams and individuals are empowered, responsible and trained for mapping, measuring and managing data technology-related risks.  | 5                        |       |
| GV-08.01 | N/A      | Organizational leadership is responsible and accountable for cybersecurity risk and fosters a culture that is risk-aware, ethical, and continually improving.                 | Functional     | Intersects With   | Risk Management Program  | RSK-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of strategic, operational and tactical risk management controls.   | 5                        |       |
| GV-08.01 | N/A      | Organizational leadership is responsible and accountable for cybersecurity risk and fosters a culture that is risk-aware, ethical, and continually improving.                 | Functional     | Intersects With   | Risk Tolerance   | RSK-01.3 | Mechanisms exist to define organizational risk tolerance, the specified range of acceptable results.   | 5                        |       |
| GV-08.01 | N/A      | Organizational leadership is responsible and accountable for cybersecurity risk and fosters a culture that is risk-aware, ethical, and continually improving.                 | Functional     | Intersects With   | Risk Threshold   | RSK-01.4 | Mechanisms exist to define organizational risk threshold, the level of risk exposure above which risks are addressed and below which risks may be accepted.  | 5                        |       |
| GV-08.01 | N/A      | Organizational leadership is responsible and accountable for cybersecurity risk and fosters a culture that is risk-aware, ethical, and continually improving.                 | Functional     | Intersects With   | Risk Appetite  | RSK-01.5 | Mechanisms exist to define organizational risk appetite, the degree of uncertainty the organization is willing to accept in anticipation of a reward.  | 5                        |       |
| GV-08.01 | N/A      | Organizational leadership is responsible and accountable for cybersecurity risk and fosters a culture that is risk-aware, ethical, and continually improving.                 | Functional     | Intersects With   | Risk Culture   | RSK-12   | Mechanisms exist to ensure teams are committed to a culture that considers and communicates technology-related risk.   | 5                        |       |
| GV-08.02 | N/A      | Roles, responsibilities, and authorities related to cybersecurity risk management are established, communicated, understood, and enforced.                                    | Functional     | Intersects With   | Assigned Cybersecurity & Data Protection Responsibilities                | GOV-04   | Mechanisms exist to assign one or more qualified individuals with the mission and resources to centrally manage, coordinate, develop, implement and maintain an enterprise-wide cybersecurity and data protection program.   | 5                        |       |
| GV-08.02 | N/A      | Roles, responsibilities, and authorities related to cybersecurity risk management are established, communicated, understood, and enforced.                                    | Functional     | Intersects With   | Position Categorization  | HRS-03   | Mechanisms exist to manage personnel security risk by assigning a risk designation to all positions and establishing screening criteria for individuals filling those positions.   | 8                        |       |
| GV-08.02 | N/A      | Roles, responsibilities, and authorities related to cybersecurity risk management are established, communicated, understood, and enforced.                                    | Functional     | Intersects With   | Defined Roles & Responsibilities   | HRS-03   | Mechanisms exist to define cybersecurity roles & responsibilities for all personnel.   | 5                        |       |
| GV-08.02 | N/A      | Roles, responsibilities, and authorities related to cybersecurity risk management are established, communicated, understood, and enforced.                                    | Functional     | Intersects With   | Responsible, Accountable, Supportive, Consulted & Informed (RASC) Matrix | TPM-05.4 | Mechanisms exist to document and maintain a Responsible, Accountable, Supportive, Consulted & Informed (RASC) matrix, or similar documentation, to delineate assignment for cybersecurity and data protection controls between internal stakeholders and External Service Providers (ESPs).  | 5                        |       |
| GV-08.03 | N/A      | Adequate resources are allocated commensurate with the cybersecurity risk strategy, roles, responsibilities, and policies.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Cybersecurity & Data Protection Portfolio Management                     | PRM-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of cybersecurity and data protection-related resource planning controls that define a viable plan for achieving cybersecurity and data protection objectives.  | 5                        |       |
| GV-08.03 | N/A      | Adequate resources are allocated commensurate with the cybersecurity risk strategy, roles, responsibilities, and policies.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Cybersecurity & Data Protection Resource Management                      | PRM-02   | Mechanisms exist to address all capital planning and investment requests, including the resources needed to implement the cybersecurity and data protection programs and document all exceptions to this requirement.  | 5                        |       |
| GV-08.03 | N/A      | Adequate resources are allocated commensurate with the cybersecurity risk strategy, roles, responsibilities, and policies.  | Functional     | Equal             | Allocation of Resources  | PRM-03   | Mechanisms exist to identify and allocate resources for management, operational, technical and data protection requirements within business process planning for projects/initiatives.   | 10                       |       |
| GV-08.04 | N/A      | Cybersecurity is included in human resources practices.   | Functional     | Equal             | Human Resources Security Management                                      | HRS-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of personnel security controls.  | 10                       |       |
| GV-08.04 | N/A      | Cybersecurity is included in human resources practices.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | User Awareness   | HRS-03.1 | Mechanisms exist to communicate with users about their roles and responsibilities to maintain a safe and secure working environment.   | 5                        |       |
| GV-09    | N/A      | Organizational cybersecurity policy is established, communicated, and enforced.   | Functional     | Subset Of         | Publishing Cybersecurity & Data Protection Documentation                 | GOV-02   | Mechanisms exist to establish, maintain and disseminate cybersecurity and data protection policies, standards and procedures.  | 10                       |       |
| GV-09    | N/A      | Organizational cybersecurity policy is established, communicated, and enforced.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Policy Familiarization & Acknowledgment                                  | HRS-05.7 | Mechanisms exist to ensure personnel receive recurring familiarization with the organization's cybersecurity and data protection policies and provide acknowledgment.  | 5                        |       |
| GV-09    | N/A      | Organizational cybersecurity policy is established, communicated, and enforced.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Personnel Sanctions  | HRS-07   | Mechanisms exist to sanction personnel failing to comply with established security policies, standards and procedures.   | 5                        |       |
| GV-09.01 | N/A      | Policy for managing cybersecurity risks is established based on organizational context, cybersecurity strategy, and priorities and is communicated and enforced.              | Functional     | Subset Of         | Publishing Cybersecurity & Data Protection Documentation                 | GOV-02   | Mechanisms exist to establish, maintain and disseminate cybersecurity and data protection policies, standards and procedures.  | 10                       |       |
| GV-09.01 | N/A      | Policy for managing cybersecurity risks is established based on organizational context, cybersecurity strategy, and priorities and is communicated and enforced.              | Functional     | Intersects With   | Policy Familiarization & Acknowledgment                                  | HRS-05.7 | Mechanisms exist to ensure personnel receive recurring familiarization with the organization's cybersecurity and data protection policies and provide acknowledgment.  | 5                        |       |
| GV-09.01 | N/A      | Policy for managing cybersecurity risks is established based on organizational context, cybersecurity strategy, and priorities and is communicated and enforced.              | Functional     | Intersects With   | Personnel Sanctions  | HRS-07   | Mechanisms exist to sanction personnel failing to comply with established security policies, standards and procedures.   | 5                        |       |
| GV-09.02 | N/A      | Policy for managing cybersecurity risks is reviewed, updated, communicated, and enforced to reflect changes in requirements, threats, technology, and organizational mission. | Functional     | Intersects With   | Periodic Review & Update of Cybersecurity & Data Protection Program      | GOV-03   | Mechanisms exist to review the cybersecurity and data protection program, including policies, standards and procedures, at planned intervals or if significant changes occur to ensure their continuing suitability, adequacy and effectiveness.   | 8                        |       |
| GV-09.02 | N/A      | Policy for managing cybersecurity risks is reviewed, updated, communicated, and enforced to reflect changes in requirements, threats, technology, and organizational mission. | Functional     | Intersects With   | Policy Familiarization & Acknowledgment                                  | HRS-05.7 | Mechanisms exist to ensure personnel receive recurring familiarization with the organization's cybersecurity and data protection policies and provide acknowledgment.  | 8                        |       |
| GV-09.02 | N/A      | Policy for managing cybersecurity risks is reviewed, updated, communicated, and enforced to reflect changes in requirements, threats, technology, and organizational mission. | Functional     | Intersects With   | Personnel Sanctions  | HRS-07   | Mechanisms exist to sanction personnel failing to comply with established security policies, standards and procedures.   | 8                        |       |
| GV-09    | N/A      | Results of organization-wide cybersecurity risk management activities and performance are used to inform, improve, and adjust the risk management strategy.                   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Steering Committee & Program Oversight                                   | GOV-01.1 | Mechanisms exist to coordinate cybersecurity, data protection and business alignment through a steering committee or advisory board, comprised of key cybersecurity, data privacy and business executives, which meets formally and on a regular basis.  | 5                        |       |
| GV-09    | N/A      | Results of organization-wide cybersecurity risk management activities and performance are used to inform, improve, and adjust the risk management strategy.                   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Status Reporting To Governing Body                                       | GOV-01.2 | Mechanisms exist to provide governance oversight reporting and recommendations to those entrusted to make executive decisions about matters considered material to the organization's cybersecurity and data protection program.   | 5                        |       |
| GV-09    | N/A      | Results of organization-wide cybersecurity risk management activities and performance are used to inform, improve, and adjust the risk management strategy.                   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Measures of Performance  | GOV-05   | Mechanisms exist to develop, report and monitor cybersecurity and data protection program measures of performance.   | 5                        |       |
| GV-09    | N/A      | Results of organization-wide cybersecurity risk management activities and performance are used to inform, improve, and adjust the risk management strategy.                   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Periodic Review & Update of Cybersecurity & Data Protection Program      | GOV-03   | Mechanisms exist to review the cybersecurity and data protection program, including policies, standards and procedures, at planned intervals or if significant changes occur to ensure their continuing suitability, adequacy and effectiveness.   | 5                        |       |
| GV-09.01 | N/A      | Cybersecurity risk management strategy outcomes are reviewed to inform and adjust strategy and direction.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Steering Committee & Program Oversight                                   | GOV-01.1 | Mechanisms exist to coordinate cybersecurity, data protection and business alignment through a steering committee or advisory board, comprised of key cybersecurity, data privacy and business executives, which meets formally and on a regular basis.  | 5                        |       |
| GV-09.01 | N/A      | Cybersecurity risk management strategy outcomes are reviewed to inform and adjust strategy and direction.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Status Reporting To Governing Body                                       | GOV-01.2 | Mechanisms exist to provide governance oversight reporting and recommendations to those entrusted to make executive decisions about matters considered material to the organization's cybersecurity and data protection program.   | 5                        |       |
| GV-09.01 | N/A      | Cybersecurity risk management strategy outcomes are reviewed to inform and adjust strategy and direction.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Measures of Performance  | GOV-05   | Mechanisms exist to develop, report and monitor cybersecurity and data protection program measures of performance.   | 5                        |       |
| GV-09.01 | N/A      | Cybersecurity risk management strategy outcomes are reviewed to inform and adjust strategy and direction.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Periodic Review & Update of Cybersecurity & Data Protection Program      | GOV-03   | Mechanisms exist to review the cybersecurity and data protection program, including policies, standards and procedures, at planned intervals or if significant changes occur to ensure their continuing suitability, adequacy and effectiveness.   | 5                        |       |
| GV-09.01 | N/A      | Cybersecurity risk management strategy outcomes are reviewed to inform and adjust strategy and direction.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Defining Business Context & Mission                                      | GOV-08   | Mechanisms exist to define the context of its business model and document the organization's mission.  | 5                        |       |
| GV-09.01 | N/A      | Cybersecurity risk management strategy outcomes are reviewed to inform and adjust strategy and direction.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Strategic Plan & Objectives  | PRM-01.1 | Mechanisms exist to establish a strategic cybersecurity and data protection-specific business plan and set of objectives to achieve that plan.   | 5                        |       |
| GV-09.02 | N/A      | The cybersecurity risk management strategy is reviewed and adjusted to ensure coverage of organizational requirements and risks.  | Functional     | Subset Of         | Steering Committee & Program Oversight                                   | GOV-01.1 | Mechanisms exist to coordinate cybersecurity, data protection and business alignment through a steering committee or advisory board, comprised of key cybersecurity, data privacy and business executives, which meets formally and on a regular basis.  | 10                       |       |
| GV-09.02 | N/A      | The cybersecurity risk management strategy is reviewed and adjusted to ensure coverage of organizational requirements and risks.  | Functional     | Subset Of         | Periodic Review & Update of Cybersecurity & Data Protection Program      | GOV-03   | Mechanisms exist to review the cybersecurity and data protection program, including policies, standards and procedures, at planned intervals or if significant changes occur to ensure their continuing suitability, adequacy and effectiveness.   | 10                       |       |
| GV-09.02 | N/A      | The cybersecurity risk management strategy is reviewed and adjusted to ensure coverage of organizational requirements and risks.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Risk Management Program  | RSK-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of strategic, operational and tactical risk management controls.   | 5                        |       |
| GV-09.03 | N/A      | Organizational cybersecurity risk management performance is evaluated and reviewed for adjustments needed.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Steering Committee & Program Oversight                                   | GOV-01.1 | Mechanisms exist to coordinate cybersecurity, data protection and business alignment through a steering committee or advisory board, comprised of key cybersecurity, data privacy and business executives, which meets formally and on a regular basis.  | 5                        |       |
| GV-09.03 | N/A      | Organizational cybersecurity risk management performance is evaluated and reviewed for adjustments needed.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Status Reporting To Governing Body                                       | GOV-01.2 | Mechanisms exist to provide governance oversight reporting and recommendations to those entrusted to make executive decisions about matters considered material to the organization's cybersecurity and data protection program.   | 5                        |       |
| GV-09.03 | N/A      | Organizational cybersecurity risk management performance is evaluated and reviewed for adjustments needed.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Measures of Performance  | GOV-05   | Mechanisms exist to develop, report and monitor cybersecurity and data protection program measures of performance.   | 5                        |       |
| GV-09.03 | N/A      | Organizational cybersecurity risk management performance is evaluated and reviewed for adjustments needed.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Risk Management Program  | RSK-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of strategic, operational and tactical risk management controls.   | 5                        |       |
| GV-09.03 | N/A      | Cyber supply chain risk management processes are identified, established, managed, monitored, and improved by organizational stakeholders.                                    | Functional     | Subset Of         | Cybersecurity & Data Protection Governance Program                       | GOV-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of cybersecurity and data protection governance controls.  | 10                       |       |
| GV-09.03 | N/A      | Cyber supply chain risk management processes are identified, established, managed, monitored, and improved by organizational stakeholders.                                    | Functional     | Intersects With   | Steering Committee & Program Oversight                                   | GOV-01.1 | Mechanisms exist to coordinate cybersecurity, data protection and business alignment through a steering committee or advisory board, comprised of key cybersecurity, data privacy and business executives, which meets formally and on a regular basis.  | 5                        |       |
| GV-09.03 | N/A      | Cyber supply chain risk management processes are identified, established, managed, monitored, and improved by organizational stakeholders.                                    | Functional     | Intersects With   | Status Reporting To Governing Body                                       | GOV-01.2 | Mechanisms exist to provide governance oversight reporting and recommendations to those entrusted to make executive decisions about matters considered material to the organization's cybersecurity and data protection program.   | 5                        |       |
| GV-09.03 | N/A      | Cyber supply chain risk management processes are identified, established, managed, monitored, and improved by organizational stakeholders.                                    | Functional     | Intersects With   | Measures of Performance  | GOV-05   | Mechanisms exist to develop, report and monitor cybersecurity and data protection program measures of performance.   | 5                        |       |
| GV-09.03 | N/A      | Cyber supply chain risk management processes are identified, established, managed, monitored, and improved by organizational stakeholders.                                    | Functional     | Intersects With   | Risk Management Program  | RSK-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of strategic, operational and tactical risk management controls.   | 5                        |       |

| FDE #    | FDE Name | Focal Document Element (FDE) Description  | STRM Rationale | STRM Relationship | SCF Control  | SCF #    | Secure Controls Framework (SCF) Control Description   | Strength of Relationship | Notes |
|----------|----------|---|----------------|-------------------|--|----------|---|--------------------------|-------|
| GV-SC    | N/A      | Cyber supply chain risk management processes are identified, established, managed, monitored, and improved by organizational stakeholders.  | Functional     | Equal             | Supply Chain Risk Management (SCRM) Plan                                 | RSK-09   | Mechanisms exist to develop a plan for Supply Chain Risk Management (SCRM) associated with the development, acquisition, maintenance and disposal of Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS), including documenting selected mitigating actions and monitoring performance against those plans.                    | 10                       |       |
| GV-SC    | N/A      | Cyber supply chain risk management processes are identified, established, managed, monitored, and improved by organizational stakeholders.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Supply Chain Risk Assessment   | RSK-09.1 | Mechanisms exist to periodically assess supply chain risks associated with Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS).  | 5                        |       |
| GV-SC    | N/A      | Cyber supply chain risk management processes are identified, established, managed, monitored, and improved by organizational stakeholders.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Supply Chain Risk Management (SCRM)                                      | TPM-03   | Mechanisms exist to: (1) Evaluate security risks and threats associated with Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) supply chains; and (2) Take appropriate remediation actions to minimize the organization's exposure to those risks and threats, as necessary.   | 8                        |       |
| GV-SC-01 | N/A      | A cybersecurity supply chain risk management program, strategy, objectives, policies, and processes are established and agreed to by organizational stakeholders.                                       | Functional     | Subset Of         | Cybersecurity & Data Protection Governance Program                       | GOV-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of cybersecurity and data protection governance controls.   | 10                       |       |
| GV-SC-01 | N/A      | A cybersecurity supply chain risk management program, strategy, objectives, policies, and processes are established and agreed to by organizational stakeholders.                                       | Functional     | Intersects With   | Steering Committee & Program Oversight                                   | GOV-01.1 | Mechanisms exist to coordinate cybersecurity, data protection and business alignment through a steering committee or advisory board, comprised of key cybersecurity, data privacy and business executives, which meets formally and on a regular basis.   | 5                        |       |
| GV-SC-01 | N/A      | A cybersecurity supply chain risk management program, strategy, objectives, policies, and processes are established and agreed to by organizational stakeholders.                                       | Functional     | Intersects With   | Publishing Cybersecurity & Data Protection Documentation                 | GOV-02   | Mechanisms exist to establish, maintain and disseminate cybersecurity and data protection policies, standards and procedures.   | 5                        |       |
| GV-SC-01 | N/A      | A cybersecurity supply chain risk management program, strategy, objectives, policies, and processes are established and agreed to by organizational stakeholders.                                       | Functional     | Intersects With   | Risk Management Program  | RSK-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of strategic, operational and tactical risk management controls.  | 5                        |       |
| GV-SC-01 | N/A      | A cybersecurity supply chain risk management program, strategy, objectives, policies, and processes are established and agreed to by organizational stakeholders.                                       | Functional     | Equal             | Supply Chain Risk Management (SCRM) Plan                                 | RSK-09   | Mechanisms exist to develop a plan for Supply Chain Risk Management (SCRM) associated with the development, acquisition, maintenance and disposal of Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS), including documenting selected mitigating actions and monitoring performance against those plans.                    | 10                       |       |
| GV-SC-02 | N/A      | Cybersecurity roles and responsibilities for suppliers, customers, and partners are established, communicated, and coordinated internally and externally.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Third-Party Contract Requirements  | TPM-05   | Mechanisms exist to require contractual requirements for cybersecurity and data protection requirements with third-parties, reflecting the organization's needs to protect its Technology Assets, Applications, Services and/or Data (TAASD).   | 8                        |       |
| GV-SC-02 | N/A      | Cybersecurity roles and responsibilities for suppliers, customers, and partners are established, communicated, and coordinated internally and externally.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Contract Flow-Down Requirements  | TPM-05.2 | Mechanisms exist to ensure cybersecurity and data protection requirements are included in contracts that flow-down to applicable sub-contractors and suppliers.   | 8                        |       |
| GV-SC-02 | N/A      | Cybersecurity roles and responsibilities for suppliers, customers, and partners are established, communicated, and coordinated internally and externally.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Responsible, Accountable, Supportive, Consulted & Informed (RASC) Matrix | TPM-05.4 | Mechanisms exist to document and maintain a Responsible, Accountable, Supportive, Consulted & Informed (RASC) matrix, or similar documentation, to delineate assignment for cybersecurity and data protection controls between internal stakeholders and External Service Providers (ESPs).                                       | 8                        |       |
| GV-SC-03 | N/A      | Cybersecurity supply chain risk management is integrated into cybersecurity and enterprise risk management, risk assessment, and improvement processes.   | Functional     | Subset Of         | Cybersecurity & Data Protection Governance Program                       | GOV-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of cybersecurity and data protection governance controls.   | 10                       |       |
| GV-SC-03 | N/A      | Cybersecurity supply chain risk management is integrated into cybersecurity and enterprise risk management, risk assessment, and improvement processes.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Steering Committee & Program Oversight                                   | GOV-01.1 | Mechanisms exist to coordinate cybersecurity, data protection and business alignment through a steering committee or advisory board, comprised of key cybersecurity, data privacy and business executives, which meets formally and on a regular basis.   | 5                        |       |
| GV-SC-03 | N/A      | Cybersecurity supply chain risk management is integrated into cybersecurity and enterprise risk management, risk assessment, and improvement processes.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Publishing Cybersecurity & Data Protection Documentation                 | GOV-02   | Mechanisms exist to establish, maintain and disseminate cybersecurity and data protection policies, standards and procedures.   | 5                        |       |
| GV-SC-03 | N/A      | Cybersecurity supply chain risk management is integrated into cybersecurity and enterprise risk management, risk assessment, and improvement processes.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Defining Business Context & Mission                                      | GOV-08   | Mechanisms exist to define the context of its business model and document the organization's mission.   | 5                        |       |
| GV-SC-03 | N/A      | Cybersecurity supply chain risk management is integrated into cybersecurity and enterprise risk management, risk assessment, and improvement processes.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Define Control Objectives  | GOV-09   | Mechanisms exist to establish control objectives as the basis for the selection, implementation and management of the organization's internal control system.   | 5                        |       |
| GV-SC-03 | N/A      | Cybersecurity supply chain risk management is integrated into cybersecurity and enterprise risk management, risk assessment, and improvement processes.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Risk Management Program  | RSK-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of strategic, operational and tactical risk management controls.  | 5                        |       |
| GV-SC-03 | N/A      | Cybersecurity supply chain risk management is integrated into cybersecurity and enterprise risk management, risk assessment, and improvement processes.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Supply Chain Risk Management (SCRM) Plan                                 | RSK-09   | Mechanisms exist to develop a plan for Supply Chain Risk Management (SCRM) associated with the development, acquisition, maintenance and disposal of Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS), including documenting selected mitigating actions and monitoring performance against those plans.                    | 5                        |       |
| GV-SC-04 | N/A      | Suppliers are known and prioritized by criticality.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Asset Governance   | AST-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate an IT Asset Management (ITAM) program to implement and manage asset management controls.   | 5                        |       |
| GV-SC-04 | N/A      | Suppliers are known and prioritized by criticality.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Asset-Service Dependencies   | AST-01.1 | Mechanisms exist to identify and assess the security of Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS), including documenting selected mitigating actions and monitoring performance against those plans.   | 5                        |       |
| GV-SC-04 | N/A      | Suppliers are known and prioritized by criticality.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Third-Party Management   | TPM-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of third-party management controls.   | 5                        |       |
| GV-SC-04 | N/A      | Suppliers are known and prioritized by criticality.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Third-Party Inventories  | TPM-01.1 | Mechanisms exist to maintain a current, accurate and complete list of External Service Providers (ESPs) that can potentially impact the Confidentiality, Integrity, Availability and/or Safety (CIAS) of the organization's Technology Assets, Applications, Services and/or Data (TAASD).  | 8                        |       |
| GV-SC-04 | N/A      | Suppliers are known and prioritized by criticality.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Third-Party Criticality Assessments                                      | TPM-02   | Mechanisms exist to identify, prioritize and assess suppliers and partners of critical Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) using a supply chain risk assessment process relative to their importance in supporting the delivery of high-value services.  | 8                        |       |
| GV-SC-05 | N/A      | Requirements to address cybersecurity risks in supply chains are established, prioritized, and integrated into contracts and other types of agreements with suppliers and other relevant third parties. | Functional     | Intersects With   | Statutory, Regulatory & Contractual Compliance                           | CPL-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the identification and implementation of relevant statutory, regulatory and contractual controls.  | 5                        |       |
| GV-SC-05 | N/A      | Requirements to address cybersecurity risks in supply chains are established, prioritized, and integrated into contracts and other types of agreements with suppliers and other relevant third parties. | Functional     | Intersects With   | Compliance Scope   | CPL-01.2 | Mechanisms exist to document and validate the scope of cybersecurity and data protection controls that are determined to meet statutory, regulatory and/or contractual compliance obligations.  | 5                        |       |
| GV-SC-05 | N/A      | Requirements to address cybersecurity risks in supply chains are established, prioritized, and integrated into contracts and other types of agreements with suppliers and other relevant third parties. | Functional     | Intersects With   | Adequate Security for Sensitive / Regulated Data in Support of Contracts | IAO-03.2 | Mechanisms exist to protect sensitive / regulated data that is collected, developed, received, transmitted, used or stored in support of the performance of a contract.   | 5                        |       |
| GV-SC-05 | N/A      | Requirements to address cybersecurity risks in supply chains are established, prioritized, and integrated into contracts and other types of agreements with suppliers and other relevant third parties. | Functional     | Intersects With   | Data Privacy Requirements for Contractors & Service Providers            | PHI-07.1 | Mechanisms exist to include data privacy requirements in contracts and other acquisition-related documents that establish data privacy roles and responsibilities for contractors and service providers.  | 5                        |       |
| GV-SC-05 | N/A      | Requirements to address cybersecurity risks in supply chains are established, prioritized, and integrated into contracts and other types of agreements with suppliers and other relevant third parties. | Functional     | Intersects With   | Risk Management Program  | RSK-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of strategic, operational and tactical risk management controls.  | 5                        |       |
| GV-SC-05 | N/A      | Requirements to address cybersecurity risks in supply chains are established, prioritized, and integrated into contracts and other types of agreements with suppliers and other relevant third parties. | Functional     | Intersects With   | Supply Chain Risk Management (SCRM) Plan                                 | RSK-09   | Mechanisms exist to develop a plan for Supply Chain Risk Management (SCRM) associated with the development, acquisition, maintenance and disposal of Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS), including documenting selected mitigating actions and monitoring performance against those plans.                    | 5                        |       |
| GV-SC-05 | N/A      | Requirements to address cybersecurity risks in supply chains are established, prioritized, and integrated into contracts and other types of agreements with suppliers and other relevant third parties. | Functional     | Intersects With   | Third-Party Contract Requirements  | TPM-05   | Mechanisms exist to require contractual requirements for cybersecurity and data protection requirements with third-parties, reflecting the organization's needs to protect its Technology Assets, Applications, Services and/or Data (TAASD).   | 5                        |       |
| GV-SC-05 | N/A      | Requirements to address cybersecurity risks in supply chains are established, prioritized, and integrated into contracts and other types of agreements with suppliers and other relevant third parties. | Functional     | Intersects With   | Contract Flow-Down Requirements  | TPM-05.2 | Mechanisms exist to ensure cybersecurity and data protection requirements are included in contracts that flow-down to applicable sub-contractors and suppliers.   | 5                        |       |
| GV-SC-06 | N/A      | Planning and due diligence are performed to reduce risks before entering into formal supplier or other third-party relationships.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Third-Party Management   | TPM-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of third-party management controls.   | 5                        |       |
| GV-SC-06 | N/A      | Planning and due diligence are performed to reduce risks before entering into formal supplier or other third-party relationships.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Third-Party Criticality Assessments                                      | TPM-02   | Mechanisms exist to identify, prioritize and assess suppliers and partners of critical Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) using a supply chain risk assessment process relative to their importance in supporting the delivery of high-value services.  | 5                        |       |
| GV-SC-06 | N/A      | Planning and due diligence are performed to reduce risks before entering into formal supplier or other third-party relationships.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Supply Chain Risk Management (SCRM)                                      | TPM-03   | Mechanisms exist to: (1) Evaluate security risks and threats associated with Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) supply chains; and (2) Take appropriate remediation actions to minimize the organization's exposure to those risks and threats, as necessary.   | 5                        |       |
| GV-SC-06 | N/A      | Planning and due diligence are performed to reduce risks before entering into formal supplier or other third-party relationships.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Limits Potential Harm  | TPM-03.2 | Mechanisms exist to utilize security safeguards to limit harm from potential adversaries who identify and target the organization's supply chain.   | 5                        |       |
| GV-SC-06 | N/A      | Planning and due diligence are performed to reduce risks before entering into formal supplier or other third-party relationships.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Processes to Address Weaknesses or Deficiencies                          | TPM-03.3 | Mechanisms exist to address identified weaknesses or deficiencies in the security of the supply chain.  | 5                        |       |
| GV-SC-06 | N/A      | Planning and due diligence are performed to reduce risks before entering into formal supplier or other third-party relationships.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Third-Party Services   | TPM-04   | Mechanisms exist to mitigate the risks associated with third-party access to the organization's Technology Assets, Applications, Services and/or Data (TAASD).  | 5                        |       |
| GV-SC-06 | N/A      | Planning and due diligence are performed to reduce risks before entering into formal supplier or other third-party relationships.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Third-Party Risk Assessments & Approvals                                 | TPM-04.1 | Mechanisms exist to conduct a risk assessment prior to the acquisition or outsourcing of technology related Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS).   | 5                        |       |
| GV-SC-06 | N/A      | Planning and due diligence are performed to reduce risks before entering into formal supplier or other third-party relationships.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Conflict of Interests  | TPM-04.3 | Mechanisms exist to ensure that the interests of external service providers are consistent with and reflect organizational interests.   | 5                        |       |
| GV-SC-06 | N/A      | Planning and due diligence are performed to reduce risks before entering into formal supplier or other third-party relationships.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Third-Party Processing, Storage and Service Locations                    | TPM-04.4 | Mechanisms exist to restrict the location of information processing/storage based on business requirements.   | 5                        |       |
| GV-SC-06 | N/A      | Planning and due diligence are performed to reduce risks before entering into formal supplier or other third-party relationships.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Third-Party Contract Requirements  | TPM-05   | Mechanisms exist to require contractual requirements for cybersecurity and data protection requirements with third-parties, reflecting the organization's needs to protect its Technology Assets, Applications, Services and/or Data (TAASD).   | 5                        |       |
| GV-SC-06 | N/A      | Planning and due diligence are performed to reduce risks before entering into formal supplier or other third-party relationships.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Contract Flow-Down Requirements  | TPM-05.2 | Mechanisms exist to ensure cybersecurity and data protection requirements are included in contracts that flow-down to applicable sub-contractors and suppliers.   | 5                        |       |
| GV-SC-06 | N/A      | Planning and due diligence are performed to reduce risks before entering into formal supplier or other third-party relationships.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Third-Party Authentication Practices                                     | TPM-05.3 | Mechanisms exist to ensure External Service Providers (ESPs) use unique authentication factors for each of its customers.   | 5                        |       |
| GV-SC-06 | N/A      | Planning and due diligence are performed to reduce risks before entering into formal supplier or other third-party relationships.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Responsible, Accountable, Supportive, Consulted & Informed (RASC) Matrix | TPM-05.4 | Mechanisms exist to document and maintain a Responsible, Accountable, Supportive, Consulted & Informed (RASC) matrix, or similar documentation, to delineate assignment for cybersecurity and data protection controls between internal stakeholders and External Service Providers (ESPs).                                       | 5                        |       |
| GV-SC-06 | N/A      | Planning and due diligence are performed to reduce risks before entering into formal supplier or other third-party relationships.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Third-Party Scope Review   | TPM-05.5 | Mechanisms exist to perform recurring validation of the Responsible, Accountable, Supportive, Consulted & Informed (RASC) matrix, or similar documentation, to ensure cybersecurity and data protection control assignments accurately reflect current business practices, compliance obligations, technologies and stakeholders. | 5                        |       |
| GV-SC-06 | N/A      | Planning and due diligence are performed to reduce risks before entering into formal supplier or other third-party relationships.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | First-Party Declaration (FPD)  | TPM-05.6 | Mechanisms exist to obtain a First-Party Declaration (FPD) from applicable External Service Providers (ESPs) that provides assurance of compliance with specified statutory, regulatory and contractual obligations for cybersecurity and data protection controls, including any flow-down requirements to subcontractors.       | 5                        |       |
| GV-SC-06 | N/A      | Planning and due diligence are performed to reduce risks before entering into formal supplier or other third-party relationships.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Break Clauses  | TPM-05.7 | Mechanisms exist to include "break clauses" within contracts for failure to meet contract terms for cybersecurity and/or data privacy controls.   | 5                        |       |
| GV-SC-06 | N/A      | Planning and due diligence are performed to reduce risks before entering into formal supplier or other third-party relationships.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Third-Party Personnel Security   | TPM-06   | Mechanisms exist to control personnel security requirements including security roles and responsibilities for third-party providers.  | 5                        |       |
| GV-SC-06 | N/A      | Planning and due diligence are performed to reduce risks before entering into formal supplier or other third-party relationships.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Third-Party Deficiency Remediation                                       | TPM-09   | Mechanisms exist to address weaknesses or deficiencies in supply chain elements identified during independent or organizational assessments of such elements.   | 5                        |       |
| GV-SC-07 | N/A      | The risks posed by a supplier, their products and services, and other third parties are understood, recorded, prioritized, assessed, responded to, and monitored over the course of the relationship.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Third-Party Management   | TPM-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of third-party management controls.   | 5                        |       |
| GV-SC-07 | N/A      | The risks posed by a supplier, their products and services, and other third parties are understood, recorded, prioritized, assessed, responded to, and monitored over the course of the relationship.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Third-Party Inventories  | TPM-01.1 | Mechanisms exist to maintain a current, accurate and complete list of External Service Providers (ESPs) that can potentially impact the Confidentiality, Integrity, Availability and/or Safety (CIAS) of the organization's Technology Assets, Applications, Services and/or Data (TAASD).  | 5                        |       |
| GV-SC-07 | N/A      | The risks posed by a supplier, their products and services, and other third parties are understood, recorded, prioritized, assessed, responded to, and monitored over the course of the relationship.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Third-Party Criticality Assessments                                      | TPM-02   | Mechanisms exist to identify, prioritize and assess suppliers and partners of critical Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) using a supply chain risk assessment process relative to their importance in supporting the delivery of high-value services.  | 5                        |       |

| FDE #    | FDE Name | Focal Document Element (FDE) Description   | STRM Rationale | STRM Relationship | SCF Control   | SCF #    | Secure Controls Framework (SCF) Control Description   | Strength of Relationship | Notes |
|----------|----------|--|----------------|-------------------|---|----------|---|--------------------------|-------|
| GV-SC-07 | N/A      | The risks posed by a supplier, their products and services, and other third parties are understood, recorded, prioritized, assessed, responded to, and monitored over the course of the relationship.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Supply Chain Risk Management (SCRM)                   | TPM-03   | Mechanisms exist to:<br>(1) Evaluate security risks and threats associated with Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) supply chains; and<br>(2) Take appropriate remediation actions to minimize the organization's exposure to these risks and threats, as necessary.   | 5                        |       |
| GV-SC-07 | N/A      | The risks posed by a supplier, their products and services, and other third parties are understood, recorded, prioritized, assessed, responded to, and monitored over the course of the relationship.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Limit Potential Harm                                  | TPM-03.2 | Mechanisms exist to utilize security safeguards to limit harm from potential adversaries who identify and target the organization's supply chain.   | 5                        |       |
| GV-SC-07 | N/A      | The risks posed by a supplier, their products and services, and other third parties are understood, recorded, prioritized, assessed, responded to, and monitored over the course of the relationship.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Processes To Address Weaknesses or Deficiencies       | TPM-03.3 | Mechanisms exist to address identified weaknesses or deficiencies in the security of the supply chain.  | 5                        |       |
| GV-SC-07 | N/A      | The risks posed by a supplier, their products and services, and other third parties are understood, recorded, prioritized, assessed, responded to, and monitored over the course of the relationship.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Third-Party Services                                  | TPM-04   | Mechanisms exist to mitigate the risks associated with third-party access to the organization's Technology Assets, Applications, Services and/or Data (TAASD).  | 5                        |       |
| GV-SC-07 | N/A      | The risks posed by a supplier, their products and services, and other third parties are understood, recorded, prioritized, assessed, responded to, and monitored over the course of the relationship.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Third-Party Risk Assessments & Approvals              | TPM-04.1 | Mechanisms exist to conduct a risk assessment prior to the acquisition or outsourcing of technology-related Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS).   | 5                        |       |
| GV-SC-07 | N/A      | The risks posed by a supplier, their products and services, and other third parties are understood, recorded, prioritized, assessed, responded to, and monitored over the course of the relationship.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Review of Third-Party Services                        | TPM-08   | Mechanisms exist to monitor, regularly review and assess External Service Providers (ESPs) for compliance with established contractual requirements for cybersecurity and data protection controls.   | 5                        |       |
| GV-SC-07 | N/A      | The risks posed by a supplier, their products and services, and other third parties are understood, recorded, prioritized, assessed, responded to, and monitored over the course of the relationship.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Third-Party Deficiency Remediation                    | TPM-09   | Mechanisms exist to address weaknesses or deficiencies in supply chain elements identified during independent or organizational assessments of such elements.   | 5                        |       |
| GV-SC-08 | N/A      | Relevant suppliers and other third parties are included in incident planning, response, and recovery activities.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Business Continuity Management System (BCMS)          | BCD-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of contingency planning controls to ensure resilient Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) (e.g., Continuity of Operations Plan (COP) or Business Continuity & Disaster Recovery (BC/DR) playbooks).   | 5                        |       |
| GV-SC-08 | N/A      | Relevant suppliers and other third parties are included in incident planning, response, and recovery activities.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Coordinate With External Service Providers            | BCD-01.2 | Mechanisms exist to coordinate internal contingency plans with the contingency plans of external service providers to ensure that contingency requirements can be satisfied.  | 5                        |       |
| GV-SC-08 | N/A      | Relevant suppliers and other third parties are included in incident planning, response, and recovery activities.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Incident Response Operations                          | IRO-01   | Mechanisms exist to implement and govern processes and documentation to facilitate an organization-wide response capability for cybersecurity and data protection-related incidents.  | 5                        |       |
| GV-SC-08 | N/A      | Relevant suppliers and other third parties are included in incident planning, response, and recovery activities.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Incident Handling                                     | IRO-02   | Mechanisms exist to cover:<br>(1) Preparation;<br>(2) Automated event detection or manual incident report intake;<br>(3) Analysis;<br>(4) Containment;<br>(5) Eradication; and<br>(6) Recovery.   | 5                        |       |
| GV-SC-08 | N/A      | Relevant suppliers and other third parties are included in incident planning, response, and recovery activities.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Correlation with External Organizations               | IRO-02.5 | Mechanisms exist to coordinate with approved third-parties to achieve a cross-organization perspective on incident awareness and more effective incident responses.   | 5                        |       |
| GV-SC-08 | N/A      | Relevant suppliers and other third parties are included in incident planning, response, and recovery activities.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Third-Party Management                                | TPM-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of third-party management controls.   | 5                        |       |
| GV-SC-08 | N/A      | Relevant suppliers and other third parties are included in incident planning, response, and recovery activities.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Third-Party Inventories                               | TPM-01.1 | Mechanisms exist to maintain a current, accurate and complete list of External Service Providers (ESPs) that can potentially impact the Confidentiality, Integrity, Availability and/or Safety (CIAS) of the organization's Technology Assets, Applications, Services and/or Data (TAASD).  | 5                        |       |
| GV-SC-08 | N/A      | Relevant suppliers and other third parties are included in incident planning, response, and recovery activities.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Third-Party Criticality Assessments                   | TPM-02   | Mechanisms exist to identify, prioritize and assess suppliers and partners of critical Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) using a supply chain risk assessment process relative to their importance in supporting the delivery of high-value services.  | 5                        |       |
| GV-SC-08 | N/A      | Relevant suppliers and other third parties are included in incident planning, response, and recovery activities.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Third-Party Deficiency Remediation                    | TPM-09   | Mechanisms exist to address weaknesses or deficiencies in supply chain elements identified during independent or organizational assessments of such elements.   | 5                        |       |
| GV-SC-08 | N/A      | Relevant suppliers and other third parties are included in incident planning, response, and recovery activities.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Managing Changes To Third-Party Services              | TPM-10   | Mechanisms exist to control changes to services by suppliers, taking into account the privacy of business Technology Assets, Applications, Services and/or Data (TAASD) that are in scope by the third-party.   | 5                        |       |
| GV-SC-08 | N/A      | Relevant suppliers and other third parties are included in incident planning, response, and recovery activities.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Third-Party Incident Response & Recovery Capabilities | TPM-11   | Mechanisms exist to ensure response/recovery planning and testing are conducted with critical suppliers/providers.  | 5                        |       |
| GV-SC-09 | N/A      | Supply chain security practices are integrated into cybersecurity and enterprise risk management programs, and their performance is monitored throughout the technology product and service life cycle.  | Functional     | Subset Of         | Cybersecurity & Data Protection Governance Program    | GOV-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of cybersecurity and data protection governance controls.   | 10                       |       |
| GV-SC-09 | N/A      | Supply chain security practices are integrated into cybersecurity and enterprise risk management programs, and their performance is monitored throughout the technology product and service life cycle.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Steering Committee & Program Oversight                | GOV-01.1 | Mechanisms exist to coordinate cybersecurity, data protection and business alignment through a steering committee or advisory board, comprised of key cybersecurity, data privacy and business executives, which meets formally and on a regular basis.   | 5                        |       |
| GV-SC-09 | N/A      | Supply chain security practices are integrated into cybersecurity and enterprise risk management programs, and their performance is monitored throughout the technology product and service life cycle.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Status Reporting To Governing Body                    | GOV-01.2 | Mechanisms exist to provide governance oversight reporting and recommendations to the organization's governing body on matters considered material to the organization's cybersecurity and data protection program.   | 5                        |       |
| GV-SC-09 | N/A      | Supply chain security practices are integrated into cybersecurity and enterprise risk management programs, and their performance is monitored throughout the technology product and service life cycle.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Measures of Performance                               | GOV-05   | Mechanisms exist to develop, report and monitor cybersecurity and data protection program measures of performance.  | 5                        |       |
| GV-SC-09 | N/A      | Supply chain security practices are integrated into cybersecurity and enterprise risk management programs, and their performance is monitored throughout the technology product and service life cycle.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Secure Development Life Cycle (SDLC) Management       | PRM-07   | Mechanisms exist to ensure changes to Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) within the Secure Development Life Cycle (SDLC) are controlled through formal change control procedures.   | 5                        |       |
| GV-SC-09 | N/A      | Supply chain security practices are integrated into cybersecurity and enterprise risk management programs, and their performance is monitored throughout the technology product and service life cycle.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Risk Management Program                               | RSK-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of strategic, operational and tactical risk management controls.  | 5                        |       |
| GV-SC-09 | N/A      | Supply chain security practices are integrated into cybersecurity and enterprise risk management programs, and their performance is monitored throughout the technology product and service life cycle.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Supply Chain Risk Management (SCRM) Plan              | RSK-09   | Mechanisms exist to develop a plan for Supply Chain Risk Management (SCRM) associated with the development, acquisition, maintenance and disposal of Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS), including documenting selected mitigating actions and monitoring performance against those plans.  | 5                        |       |
| GV-SC-09 | N/A      | Supply chain security practices are integrated into cybersecurity and enterprise risk management programs, and their performance is monitored throughout the technology product and service life cycle.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Supply Chain Risk Assessment                          | RSK-09.1 | Mechanisms exist to periodically assess supply chain risks associated with Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS).  | 5                        |       |
| GV-SC-09 | N/A      | Supply chain security practices are integrated into cybersecurity and enterprise risk management programs, and their performance is monitored throughout the technology product and service life cycle.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Technology Lifecycle Management                       | SEA-07.1 | Mechanisms exist to manage the usable lifecycles of technology assets.  | 5                        |       |
| GV-SC-09 | N/A      | Supply chain security practices are integrated into cybersecurity and enterprise risk management programs, and their performance is monitored throughout the technology product and service life cycle.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Product Management                                    | TDA-01.1 | Mechanisms exist to design and implement product management processes to proactively govern the design, development and production of Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) across the System Development Life Cycle (SDLC) by:<br>(1) Improve functionality;<br>(2) Enhance security and resiliency capabilities;<br>(3) Correct security deficiencies; and<br>(4) Conform with applicable statutory, regulatory and/or contractual obligations.  | 5                        |       |
| GV-SC-10 | N/A      | Cybersecurity supply chain risk management plans include provisions for activities that occur after the conclusion of a partnership or service agreement.  | Functional     | Subset Of         | Supply Chain Risk Management (SCRM) Plan              | RSK-09   | Mechanisms exist to develop a plan for Supply Chain Risk Management (SCRM) associated with the development, acquisition, maintenance and disposal of Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS), including documenting selected mitigating actions and monitoring performance against those plans.  | 10                       |       |
| GV-SC-10 | N/A      | Cybersecurity supply chain risk management plans include provisions for activities that occur after the conclusion of a partnership or service agreement.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Third-Party Management                                | TPM-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of third-party management controls.   | 5                        |       |
| GV-SC-10 | N/A      | Cybersecurity supply chain risk management plans include provisions for activities that occur after the conclusion of a partnership or service agreement.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Contract Flow-Down Requirements                       | TPM-05.2 | Mechanisms exist to ensure cybersecurity and data protection requirements are included in contracts that flow-down to applicable sub-contractors and suppliers.   | 5                        |       |
| GV-SC-10 | N/A      | Cybersecurity supply chain risk management plans include provisions for activities that occur after the conclusion of a partnership or service agreement.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Third-Party Authentication Practices                  | TPM-05.3 | Mechanisms exist to ensure External Service Providers (ESPs) use unique authentication factors for each of its customers.   | 5                        |       |
| ID       | N/A      | The organization's current cybersecurity risks are understood.   | Functional     | Subset Of         | Steering Committee & Program Oversight                | GOV-01.1 | Mechanisms exist to coordinate cybersecurity, data protection and business alignment through a steering committee or advisory board, comprised of key cybersecurity, data privacy and business executives, which meets formally and on a regular basis.   | 10                       |       |
| ID       | N/A      | The organization's current cybersecurity risks are understood.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Status Reporting To Governing Body                    | GOV-01.2 | Mechanisms exist to provide governance oversight reporting and recommendations to the organization's governing body on matters considered material to the organization's cybersecurity and data protection program.   | 5                        |       |
| ID       | N/A      | The organization's current cybersecurity risks are understood.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Risk Management Program                               | RSK-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of strategic, operational and tactical risk management controls.  | 5                        |       |
| ID       | N/A      | The organization's current cybersecurity risks are understood.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Risk Framing  | RSK-01.1 | Mechanisms exist to identify:<br>(1) Assumptions affecting risk assessments, risk response and risk monitoring;<br>(2) Constraints affecting risk assessments, risk response and risk monitoring;<br>(3) The organization's risk tolerance; and<br>(4) Priorities, benefits and trade-offs considered by the organization for managing risk.  | 5                        |       |
| II       | N/A      | The organization's current cybersecurity risks are understood.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Risk Identification                                   | RSK-03   | Mechanisms exist to identify and document risks, both internal and external.  | 5                        |       |
| ID       | N/A      | The organization's current cybersecurity risks are understood.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Risk Catalog  | RSK-03.1 | Mechanisms exist to develop and keep current a catalog of applicable risks associated with the organization's business operations and technologies in use.  | 5                        |       |
| ID       | N/A      | The organization's current cybersecurity risks are understood.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Risk Assessment                                       | RSK-04   | Mechanisms exist to conduct recurring assessments of risk that includes the likelihood and magnitude of harm, from unauthorized access, use, disclosure, disruption, modification or destruction of the organization's Technology Assets, Applications, Services and/or Data (TAASD).   | 5                        |       |
| ID       | N/A      | The organization's current cybersecurity risks are understood.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Risk Register   | RSK-04.1 | Mechanisms exist to maintain a risk register that facilitates monitoring and reporting of risks.  | 5                        |       |
| ID       | N/A      | The organization's current cybersecurity risks are understood.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Risk Ranking  | RSK-05   | Mechanisms exist to identify and assign a risk ranking to newly discovered security vulnerabilities that is based on industry-recognized practices.   | 5                        |       |
| ID       | N/A      | The organization's current cybersecurity risks are understood.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Supply Chain Risk Management (SCRM) Plan              | RSK-09   | Mechanisms exist to develop a plan for Supply Chain Risk Management (SCRM) associated with the development, acquisition, maintenance and disposal of Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS), including documenting selected mitigating actions and monitoring performance against those plans.  | 5                        |       |
| ID-AM    | N/A      | Assets (e.g., data, hardware, software, systems, facilities, services, people) that enable the organization to achieve business purposes are identified and managed consistent with their relative importance to organizational objectives and the organization's risk strategy. | Functional     | Subset Of         | Asset Governance                                      | AST-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate an IT Asset Management (ITAM) program to implement and manage asset management controls.   | 10                       |       |
| ID-AM    | N/A      | Assets (e.g., data, hardware, software, systems, facilities, services, people) that enable the organization to achieve business purposes are identified and managed consistent with their relative importance to organizational objectives and the organization's risk strategy. | Functional     | Intersects With   | Asset Service Dependencies                            | AST-01.1 | Mechanisms exist to identify and assess the security of Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS), Applications and/or Services (TAAS) that support more than one critical business function.  | 5                        |       |
| ID-AM    | N/A      | Assets (e.g., data, hardware, software, systems, facilities, services, people) that enable the organization to achieve business purposes are identified and managed consistent with their relative importance to organizational objectives and the organization's risk strategy. | Functional     | Intersects With   | Stakeholder Identification & Involvement              | AST-01.2 | Mechanisms exist to identify and involve pertinent stakeholders of critical Technology Assets, Applications, Services and/or Data (TAASD) to support the ongoing secure management of those assets.   | 5                        |       |
| ID-AM    | N/A      | Assets (e.g., data, hardware, software, systems, facilities, services, people) that enable the organization to achieve business purposes are identified and managed consistent with their relative importance to organizational objectives and the organization's risk strategy. | Functional     | Intersects With   | Asset Inventories                                     | AST-02   | Mechanisms exist to perform inventories of Technology Assets, Applications, Services and/or Data (TAASD) that:<br>(1) Accurately reflects the current TAASD in use;<br>(2) Identifies authorized software products, including business justification details;<br>(3) Is at the level of granularity deemed necessary for tracking and reporting;<br>(4) Includes organization-defined information deemed necessary to achieve effective property accountability; and<br>(5) Is available for review and audit by designated organizational personnel. | 5                        |       |

| FDE #    | FDE Name | Focal Document Element (FDE) Description   | STRM Rationale | STRM Relationship | SCF Control  | SCF #    | Secure Controls Framework (SCF)  |                     | Strength of Relationship | Notes |
|----------|----------|--|----------------|-------------------|--|----------|--|---------------------|--------------------------|-------|
|          |          |  |                |                   |  |          | Control Description  | Control Description |                          |       |
| ID-AM    | N/A      | Assets (e.g., data, hardware, software, systems, facilities, services, people) that enable the organization to achieve business purposes are identified and managed consistent with their relative importance to organizational objectives and the organization's risk strategy. | Functional     | Intersects With   | Asset Ownership Assignment   | AST-03   | Mechanisms exist to ensure asset ownership responsibilities are assigned, tracked and managed at a team, individual, or responsible organization level to establish a common understanding of requirements for asset protection.   | 5                   |                          |       |
| ID-AM    | N/A      | Assets (e.g., data, hardware, software, systems, facilities, services, people) that enable the organization to achieve business purposes are identified and managed consistent with their relative importance to organizational objectives and the organization's risk strategy. | Functional     | Intersects With   | Accountability Information   | AST-03.1 | Mechanisms exist to include capturing the name, position and/or role of individuals responsible/accountable for administering assets as part of the technology asset inventory process.  | 5                   |                          |       |
| ID-AM    | N/A      | Assets (e.g., data, hardware, software, systems, facilities, services, people) that enable the organization to achieve business purposes are identified and managed consistent with their relative importance to organizational objectives and the organization's risk strategy. | Functional     | Intersects With   | Human Resources Security Management                                      | HRS-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of personnel security controls.  | 5                   |                          |       |
| ID-AM    | N/A      | Assets (e.g., data, hardware, software, systems, facilities, services, people) that enable the organization to achieve business purposes are identified and managed consistent with their relative importance to organizational objectives and the organization's risk strategy. | Functional     | Intersects With   | Defined Roles & Responsibilities   | HRS-03   | Mechanisms exist to define cybersecurity roles & responsibilities for all personnel.   | 5                   |                          |       |
| ID-AM    | N/A      | Assets (e.g., data, hardware, software, systems, facilities, services, people) that enable the organization to achieve business purposes are identified and managed consistent with their relative importance to organizational objectives and the organization's risk strategy. | Functional     | Intersects With   | Terms of Employment  | HRS-05   | Mechanisms exist to require all employees and contractors to apply cybersecurity and data protection principles in their daily work.   | 5                   |                          |       |
| ID-AM    | N/A      | Assets (e.g., data, hardware, software, systems, facilities, services, people) that enable the organization to achieve business purposes are identified and managed consistent with their relative importance to organizational objectives and the organization's risk strategy. | Functional     | Intersects With   | Rules of Behavior  | HRS-05.1 | Mechanisms exist to define acceptable and unacceptable rules of behavior for the use of technologies, including consequences for unacceptable behavior.  | 5                   |                          |       |
| ID-AM    | N/A      | Assets (e.g., data, hardware, software, systems, facilities, services, people) that enable the organization to achieve business purposes are identified and managed consistent with their relative importance to organizational objectives and the organization's risk strategy. | Functional     | Intersects With   | Physical & Environmental Protections                                     | PES-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the operation of physical and environmental protection controls.  | 5                   |                          |       |
| ID-AM    | N/A      | Assets (e.g., data, hardware, software, systems, facilities, services, people) that enable the organization to achieve business purposes are identified and managed consistent with their relative importance to organizational objectives and the organization's risk strategy. | Functional     | Intersects With   | Risk-Based Security Categorization                                       | RSK-02   | Mechanisms exist to categorize Technology Assets, Applications, Services and/or Data (TAAS) in accordance with applicable laws, regulations and contractual obligations that: (1) Document the security categorization results (including supporting rationale) in the asset plan for systems; and (2) Ensure the security categorization decision is reviewed and approved by the asset owner.  | 5                   |                          |       |
| ID-AM    | N/A      | Assets (e.g., data, hardware, software, systems, facilities, services, people) that enable the organization to achieve business purposes are identified and managed consistent with their relative importance to organizational objectives and the organization's risk strategy. | Functional     | Intersects With   | Third-Party Management   | TPM-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of third-party management controls.  | 5                   |                          |       |
| ID-AM    | N/A      | Assets (e.g., data, hardware, software, systems, facilities, services, people) that enable the organization to achieve business purposes are identified and managed consistent with their relative importance to organizational objectives and the organization's risk strategy. | Functional     | Intersects With   | Third-Party Inventories  | TPM-01.1 | Mechanisms exist to maintain a current, accurate and complete list of External Service Providers (ESPs) that can potentially impact the Confidentiality, Integrity, Availability and/or Safety (CIAS) of the organization's Technology Assets, Applications, Services and/or Data (TAAS).  | 5                   |                          |       |
| ID-AM    | N/A      | Assets (e.g., data, hardware, software, systems, facilities, services, people) that enable the organization to achieve business purposes are identified and managed consistent with their relative importance to organizational objectives and the organization's risk strategy. | Functional     | Intersects With   | Responsible, Accountable, Supportive, Consulted & Informed (RASC) Matrix | TPM-05.4 | Mechanisms exist to document and maintain a Responsible, Accountable, Supportive, Consulted & Informed (RASC) matrix, or similar documentation, to delineate assignment for cybersecurity and data protection controls between internal stakeholders and External Service Providers (ESPs).  | 5                   |                          |       |
| ID-AM    | N/A      | Assets (e.g., data, hardware, software, systems, facilities, services, people) that enable the organization to achieve business purposes are identified and managed consistent with their relative importance to organizational objectives and the organization's risk strategy. | Functional     | Intersects With   | Third-Party Personnel Security   | TPM-06   | Mechanisms exist to control personnel security requirements including security roles and responsibilities for third-party providers.   | 5                   |                          |       |
| ID-AM-01 | N/A      | Inventories of hardware managed by the organization are maintained.  | Functional     | Subset Of         | Asset Inventories  | AST-02   | Mechanisms exist to perform inventories of Technology Assets, Applications, Services and/or Data (TAAS) that: (1) Accurately reflects the current TAAS in use; (2) Identifies authorized software products, including business justification details; (3) Is at the level of granularity deemed necessary for tracking and reporting; (4) Includes organization-defined information deemed necessary to achieve effective property accountability; and (5) Is available for review and audit by designated organizational personnel. | 10                  |                          |       |
| ID-AM-01 | N/A      | Inventories of hardware managed by the organization are maintained.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Third-Party Inventories  | TPM-01.1 | Mechanisms exist to maintain a current, accurate and complete list of External Service Providers (ESPs) that can potentially impact the Confidentiality, Integrity, Availability and/or Safety (CIAS) of the organization's Technology Assets, Applications, Services and/or Data (TAAS).  | 5                   |                          |       |
| ID-AM-02 | N/A      | Inventories of software, services, and systems managed by the organization are maintained.   | Functional     | Subset Of         | Asset Inventories  | AST-02   | Mechanisms exist to perform inventories of Technology Assets, Applications, Services and/or Data (TAAS) that: (1) Accurately reflects the current TAAS in use; (2) Identifies authorized software products, including business justification details; (3) Is at the level of granularity deemed necessary for tracking and reporting; (4) Includes organization-defined information deemed necessary to achieve effective property accountability; and (5) Is available for review and audit by designated organizational personnel. | 10                  |                          |       |
| ID-AM-02 | N/A      | Inventories of software, services, and systems managed by the organization are maintained.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Third-Party Inventories  | TPM-01.1 | Mechanisms exist to maintain a current, accurate and complete list of External Service Providers (ESPs) that can potentially impact the Confidentiality, Integrity, Availability and/or Safety (CIAS) of the organization's Technology Assets, Applications, Services and/or Data (TAAS).  | 5                   |                          |       |
| ID-AM-03 | N/A      | Representations of the organization's authorized network communication and internal and external network data flows are maintained.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Network Diagrams & Data Flow Diagrams (DFDs)                             | AST-04   | Mechanisms exist to maintain network architecture diagrams that: (1) Contain sufficient detail to assess the security of the network's architecture; (2) Reflect the current architecture of the network environment; and (3) Document all sensitive-regulated data flows.   | 5                   |                          |       |
| ID-AM-03 | N/A      | Representations of the organization's authorized network communication and internal and external network data flows are maintained.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Control Applicability Boundary Graphical Representation                  | AST-04.2 | Mechanisms exist to ensure control applicability is appropriately determined for Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) and third parties by graphically representing applicable boundaries.   | 5                   |                          |       |
| ID-AM-03 | N/A      | Representations of the organization's authorized network communication and internal and external network data flows are maintained.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Geographic Location of Data  | DCH-19   | Mechanisms exist to inventory, document and maintain data flows for data that is stored (permanently or temporarily) within a service's geographically distributed applications (physical and virtual), infrastructure, systems components and/or shared with other third-parties.   | 5                   |                          |       |
| ID-AM-04 | N/A      | Inventories of services provided by suppliers are maintained.  | Functional     | Equal             | Third-Party Inventories  | TPM-01.1 | Mechanisms exist to maintain a current, accurate and complete list of External Service Providers (ESPs) that can potentially impact the Confidentiality, Integrity, Availability and/or Safety (CIAS) of the organization's Technology Assets, Applications, Services and/or Data (TAAS).  | 10                  |                          |       |
| ID-AM-05 | N/A      | Assets are prioritized based on classification, criticality, resources, and impact on the mission.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Asset Scope Classification   | AST-04.1 | Mechanisms exist to determine cybersecurity and data protection control applicability by identifying, assigning and documenting the appropriate asset scope categorization for all Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) and personnel (Internal and third-parties).  | 5                   |                          |       |
| ID-AM-05 | N/A      | Assets are prioritized based on classification, criticality, resources, and impact on the mission.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Identify Critical Assets   | BCI-02   | Mechanisms exist to identify and document the critical Technology Assets, Applications, Services and/or Data (TAAS) that support essential missions and business functions.  | 5                   |                          |       |
| ID-AM-05 | N/A      | Assets are prioritized based on classification, criticality, resources, and impact on the mission.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Data & Asset Classification  | DCH-02   | Mechanisms exist to ensure data and assets are categorized in accordance with applicable statutory, regulatory and contractual requirements.   | 5                   |                          |       |
| ID-AM-05 | N/A      | Assets are prioritized based on classification, criticality, resources, and impact on the mission.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Third-Party Criticality Assessments                                      | TPM-02   | Mechanisms exist to identify, prioritize and assess suppliers and partners of critical Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) using a supply chain risk assessment process relative to their importance in supporting the delivery of high-value services.   | 5                   |                          |       |
| ID-AM-07 | N/A      | Inventories of data and corresponding metadata for designated data types are maintained.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Media Storage  | DCH-06   | Mechanisms exist to: (1) Physically control and securely store digital and non-digital media within controlled areas using organization-defined security measures; and (2) Protect system media until the media are destroyed or sanitized using approved equipment, techniques and procedures.  | 5                   |                          |       |
| ID-AM-07 | N/A      | Inventories of data and corresponding metadata for designated data types are maintained.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Sensitive Data Inventories   | DCH-06.2 | Mechanisms exist to maintain inventory logs of all sensitive media and conduct sensitive media inventories at least annually.  | 5                   |                          |       |
| ID-AM-07 | N/A      | Inventories of data and corresponding metadata for designated data types are maintained.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Periodic Scans for Sensitive / Regulated Data                            | DCH-06.3 | Mechanisms exist to periodically scan unstructured data sources for sensitive/regulated data or data requiring special protection measures by statutory, regulatory or contractual obligations.  | 5                   |                          |       |
| ID-AM-07 | N/A      | Inventories of data and corresponding metadata for designated data types are maintained.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Personal Data (PD) Retention & Disposal                                  | PRH-05   | Mechanisms exist to: (1) Retain Personal Data (PD), including metadata, for an organization-defined time period to fulfill the purpose(s) identified in the notice or as required by law; (2) Dispose of, destroys, erases, and/or anonymizes the PD, regardless of the method of storage; and (3) Use organization-defined techniques or methods to ensure secure deletion or destruction of PD (including originals, copies and archived records).   | 5                   |                          |       |
| ID-AM-07 | N/A      | Inventories of data and corresponding metadata for designated data types are maintained.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Inventory of Personal Data (PD)  | PRH-05.5 | Mechanisms exist to establish and maintain a current inventory of all Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) that collect, receive, process, store, transmit, update and/or share Personal Data (PD).  | 5                   |                          |       |
| ID-AM-08 | N/A      | Systems, hardware, software, services, and data are managed throughout their life cycles.  | Functional     | Subset Of         | Asset Governance   | AST-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate an IT Asset Management (ITAM) program to implement and manage asset management controls.  | 10                  |                          |       |
| ID-AM-08 | N/A      | Systems, hardware, software, services, and data are managed throughout their life cycles.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Stakeholder Identification & Involvement                                 | AST-01.2 | Mechanisms exist to identify and involve pertinent stakeholders of critical Technology Assets, Applications, Services and/or Data (TAAS) to support the ongoing secure management of those assets.   | 5                   |                          |       |
| ID-AM-08 | N/A      | Systems, hardware, software, services, and data are managed throughout their life cycles.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Data Protection  | DCH-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of data protection controls.   | 5                   |                          |       |
| ID-AM-08 | N/A      | Systems, hardware, software, services, and data are managed throughout their life cycles.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Data Stewardship   | DCH-01.1 | Mechanisms exist to ensure data stewardship is assigned, documented and communicated.  | 5                   |                          |       |
| ID-AM-08 | N/A      | Systems, hardware, software, services, and data are managed throughout their life cycles.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Secure Development Life Cycle (SDLC) Management                          | PRM-07   | Mechanisms exist to ensure changes to Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) within the Secure Development Life Cycle (SDLC) are controlled through formal change control procedures.  | 5                   |                          |       |
| ID-AM-08 | N/A      | Systems, hardware, software, services, and data are managed throughout their life cycles.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Predictable Failure Analysis   | SEA-07   | Mechanisms exist to determine the Mean Time to Failure (MTTF) for system components in specific environments of operation.   | 5                   |                          |       |
| ID-AM-08 | N/A      | Systems, hardware, software, services, and data are managed throughout their life cycles.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Technology Lifecycle Management  | SEA-07.1 | Mechanisms exist to manage the usable lifecycles of technology assets.   | 5                   |                          |       |
| ID-RA    | N/A      | The cybersecurity risk to the organization, assets, and individuals is understood by the organization.   | Functional     | Subset Of         | Cybersecurity & Data Protection Governance Program                       | GOV-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of cybersecurity and data protection governance controls.  | 10                  |                          |       |
| ID-RA    | N/A      | The cybersecurity risk to the organization, assets, and individuals is understood by the organization.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Steering Committee & Program Oversight                                   | GOV-01.1 | Mechanisms exist to coordinate cybersecurity, data protection and business alignment through a steering committee or advisory board, comprised of key cybersecurity, data privacy and business executives, which meets formally and on a regular basis.  | 5                   |                          |       |
| ID-RA    | N/A      | The cybersecurity risk to the organization, assets, and individuals is understood by the organization.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Publishing Cybersecurity & Data Protection Documentation                 | GOV-02   | Mechanisms exist to establish, maintain and disseminate cybersecurity and data protection policies, standards and procedures.  | 5                   |                          |       |
| ID-RA    | N/A      | The cybersecurity risk to the organization, assets, and individuals is understood by the organization.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Risk Management Program  | RSK-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of strategic, operational and tactical risk management controls.   | 5                   |                          |       |
| ID-RA    | N/A      | The cybersecurity risk to the organization, assets, and individuals is understood by the organization.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Supply Chain Risk Management (SCRM) Plan                                 | RSK-09   | Mechanisms exist to develop a plan for Supply Chain Risk Management (SCRM) associated with the development, acquisition, maintenance and disposal of Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS), including documenting selected mitigating actions and monitoring performance against those plans.   | 5                   |                          |       |
| ID-RA-01 | N/A      | Vulnerabilities in assets are identified, validated, and recorded.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Information Assurance (IA) Operations                                    | IAO-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of cybersecurity and data protection assessment and authorization controls.  | 5                   |                          |       |
| ID-RA-01 | N/A      | Vulnerabilities in assets are identified, validated, and recorded.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Assessments  | IAO-02   | Mechanisms exist to formally assess the cybersecurity and data protection controls in Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) through Information Assurance Program (IAP) activities to determine the extent to which the controls are implemented correctly, operating as intended and producing the desired outcome with respect to meeting expected requirements.  | 5                   |                          |       |
| ID-RA-01 | N/A      | Vulnerabilities in assets are identified, validated, and recorded.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Plan of Action & Milestones (POA&M)                                      | IAO-05   | Mechanisms exist to generate a Plan of Action and Milestones (POA&M), or similar risk register, to document planned remedial actions to correct weaknesses or deficiencies noted during the assessment of the security controls and to reduce or eliminate known vulnerabilities.  | 5                   |                          |       |

| FDE #    | FDE Name | Focal Document Element (FDE) Description   | STRM Rationale | STRM Relationship | SCF Control  | SCF #    | Secure Controls Framework (SCF) Control Description  | Strength of Relationship | Notes |
|----------|----------|--|----------------|-------------------|--|----------|--|--------------------------|-------|
| ID-RA-01 | N/A      | Vulnerabilities in assets are identified, validated, and recorded.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Risk Assessment  | RSK-04   | Mechanisms exist to conduct recurring assessments of risk that includes the likelihood and magnitude of harm, from unauthorized access, use, disclosure, disruption, modification or destruction of the organization's Technology Assets, Applications, Services and/or Data (TAASD).  | 5                        |       |
| ID-RA-01 | N/A      | Vulnerabilities in assets are identified, validated, and recorded.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Risk Register  | RSK-04.1 | Mechanisms exist to maintain a risk register that facilitates monitoring and reporting of risks.   | 5                        |       |
| ID-RA-01 | N/A      | Vulnerabilities in assets are identified, validated, and recorded.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Cybersecurity & Data Protection Testing Throughout Development | TDA-09   | Mechanisms exist to require system developers/integrators consult with cybersecurity and data protection personnel to:<br>(1) Create and implement a Security Testing and Evaluation (ST&E) plan, or similar capability;<br>(2) Implement a verifiable flaw remediation process to correct weaknesses and deficiencies identified during the security testing and evaluation process; and<br>(3) Document the results of the security testing/evaluation and flaw remediation processes.                       | 5                        |       |
| ID-RA-01 | N/A      | Vulnerabilities in assets are identified, validated, and recorded.   | Functional     | Subset Of         | Vulnerability & Patch Management Program (VPM)                 | VPM-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation and monitoring of vulnerability management controls.   | 10                       |       |
| ID-RA-01 | N/A      | Vulnerabilities in assets are identified, validated, and recorded.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Vulnerability Scanning   | VPM-06   | Mechanisms exist to detect vulnerabilities and configuration errors by routine vulnerability scanning of systems and applications.   | 5                        |       |
| ID-RA-02 | N/A      | Cyber threat intelligence is received from information sharing forums and sources.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Contacts With Groups & Associations                            | GOV-07   | Mechanisms exist to establish contact with selected groups and associations within the cybersecurity and data protection communities to:<br>(1) Facilitate ongoing cybersecurity and data protection education and training for organizational personnel;<br>(2) Maintain currency with recommended cybersecurity and data protection practices, techniques and technologies; and<br>(3) Share current cybersecurity and/or data privacy-related information including threats, vulnerabilities and incidents. | 5                        |       |
| ID-RA-02 | N/A      | Cyber threat intelligence is received from information sharing forums and sources.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Threat Intelligence Feeds                                      | THR-03   | Mechanisms exist to maintain situational awareness of vulnerabilities and evolving threats by leveraging the knowledge of attacker tactics, techniques and procedures to facilitate the implementation of preventative and compensating controls.  | 5                        |       |
| ID-RA-03 | N/A      | Internal and external threats to the organization are identified and recorded.   | Functional     | Subset Of         | Threat Intelligence Feeds Program                              | THR-01   | Mechanisms exist to implement a threat intelligence program that includes a cross-organization information-sharing capability that can influence the development of the system and security architectures, selection of security solutions, monitoring, threat hunting, response and recovery activities.  | 10                       |       |
| ID-RA-03 | N/A      | Internal and external threats to the organization are identified and recorded.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Indicators of Exposure (IOE)                                   | THR-02   | Mechanisms exist to develop indicators of Exposure (IOE) to understand the potential attack vectors that attackers could use to attack the organization.   | 5                        |       |
| ID-RA-03 | N/A      | Internal and external threats to the organization are identified and recorded.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Threat Intelligence Feeds                                      | THR-03   | Mechanisms exist to maintain situational awareness of vulnerabilities and evolving threats by leveraging the knowledge of attacker tactics, techniques and procedures to facilitate the implementation of preventative and compensating controls.  | 5                        |       |
| ID-RA-03 | N/A      | Internal and external threats to the organization are identified and recorded.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Insider Threat Program   | THR-04   | Mechanisms exist to implement an insider threat program that includes a cross-discipline insider threat incident handling team.  | 5                        |       |
| ID-RA-03 | N/A      | Internal and external threats to the organization are identified and recorded.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Insider Threat Awareness                                       | THR-05   | Mechanisms exist to utilize security awareness training on recognizing and reporting potential indicators of insider threat.   | 5                        |       |
| ID-RA-03 | N/A      | Internal and external threats to the organization are identified and recorded.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Threat Hunting   | THR-07   | Mechanisms exist to perform cyber threat hunting that uses indicators of Compromise (IOC) to detect, track and disrupt threats that evade existing security controls.  | 5                        |       |
| ID-RA-03 | N/A      | Internal and external threats to the organization are identified and recorded.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Threat Catalog   | THR-09   | Mechanisms exist to develop and keep current a catalog of applicable internal and external threats to the organization, both natural and manmade.  | 5                        |       |
| ID-RA-04 | N/A      | Potential impacts and likelihoods of threats exploiting vulnerabilities are identified and recorded.                                       | Functional     | Intersects With   | Threat Catalog   | THR-09   | Mechanisms exist to develop and keep current a catalog of applicable internal and external threats to the organization, both natural and manmade.  | 5                        |       |
| ID-RA-04 | N/A      | Potential impacts and likelihoods of threats exploiting vulnerabilities are identified and recorded.                                       | Functional     | Intersects With   | Threat Analysis  | THR-10   | Mechanisms exist to identify, assess, prioritize and document the potential impact(s) and likelihood(s) of applicable internal and external threats.   | 5                        |       |
| ID-RA-05 | N/A      | Threats, vulnerabilities, likelihoods, and impacts are used to understand inherent risk and inform risk response prioritization.           | Functional     | Intersects With   | Risk Framing   | RSK-011  | Mechanisms exist to identify:<br>(1) Assumptions affecting risk assessments, risk response and risk monitoring;<br>(2) Constraints affecting risk assessments, risk response and risk monitoring;<br>(3) The organizational risk tolerance; and<br>(4) Priorities, benefits and trade-offs considered by the organization for managing risk.   | 5                        |       |
| ID-RA-05 | N/A      | Threats, vulnerabilities, likelihoods, and impacts are used to understand inherent risk and inform risk response prioritization.           | Functional     | Intersects With   | Impact-Level Prioritization                                    | RSK-02.1 | Mechanisms exist to prioritize the impact level for Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) to prevent potential disruptions.   | 5                        |       |
| ID-RA-05 | N/A      | Threats, vulnerabilities, likelihoods, and impacts are used to understand inherent risk and inform risk response prioritization.           | Functional     | Intersects With   | Risk Assessment  | RSK-04   | Mechanisms exist to conduct recurring assessments of risk that includes the likelihood and magnitude of harm, from unauthorized access, use, disclosure, disruption, modification or destruction of the organization's Technology Assets, Applications, Services and/or Data (TAASD).  | 5                        |       |
| ID-RA-05 | N/A      | Threats, vulnerabilities, likelihoods, and impacts are used to understand inherent risk and inform risk response prioritization.           | Functional     | Intersects With   | Risk Ranking   | RSK-05   | Mechanisms exist to identify and assign a risk ranking to newly discovered security vulnerabilities based on industry recognized practices.  | 5                        |       |
| ID-RA-05 | N/A      | Threats, vulnerabilities, likelihoods, and impacts are used to understand inherent risk and inform risk response prioritization.           | Functional     | Intersects With   | Risk Remediation   | RSK-06   | Mechanisms exist to remediate risks to an acceptable level.  | 5                        |       |
| ID-RA-05 | N/A      | Threats, vulnerabilities, likelihoods, and impacts are used to understand inherent risk and inform risk response prioritization.           | Functional     | Intersects With   | Risk Response  | RSK-06.1 | Mechanisms exist to respond to findings from cybersecurity and data protection assessments, incidents and audits to ensure proper remediation has been performed.  | 5                        |       |
| ID-RA-05 | N/A      | Threats, vulnerabilities, likelihoods, and impacts are used to understand inherent risk and inform risk response prioritization.           | Functional     | Intersects With   | Indicators of Exposure (IOE)                                   | THR-02   | Mechanisms exist to develop indicators of Exposure (IOE) to understand the potential attack vectors that attackers could use to attack the organization.   | 5                        |       |
| ID-RA-05 | N/A      | Threats, vulnerabilities, likelihoods, and impacts are used to understand inherent risk and inform risk response prioritization.           | Functional     | Intersects With   | Threat Catalog   | THR-09   | Mechanisms exist to develop and keep current a catalog of applicable internal and external threats to the organization, both natural and manmade.  | 5                        |       |
| ID-RA-05 | N/A      | Threats, vulnerabilities, likelihoods, and impacts are used to understand inherent risk and inform risk response prioritization.           | Functional     | Intersects With   | Threat Analysis  | THR-10   | Mechanisms exist to identify, assess, prioritize and document the potential impact(s) and likelihood(s) of applicable internal and external threats.   | 5                        |       |
| ID-RA-06 | N/A      | Risk responses are chosen, prioritized, planned, tracked, and communicated.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Risk Framing   | RSK-011  | Mechanisms exist to identify:<br>(1) Assumptions affecting risk assessments, risk response and risk monitoring;<br>(2) Constraints affecting risk assessments, risk response and risk monitoring;<br>(3) The organizational risk tolerance; and<br>(4) Priorities, benefits and trade-offs considered by the organization for managing risk.   | 5                        |       |
| ID-RA-06 | N/A      | Risk responses are chosen, prioritized, planned, tracked, and communicated.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Impact-Level Prioritization                                    | RSK-02.1 | Mechanisms exist to prioritize the impact level for Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) to prevent potential disruptions.   | 5                        |       |
| ID-RA-06 | N/A      | Risk responses are chosen, prioritized, planned, tracked, and communicated.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Risk Ranking   | RSK-05   | Mechanisms exist to identify and assign a risk ranking to newly discovered security vulnerabilities based on industry recognized practices.  | 5                        |       |
| ID-RA-06 | N/A      | Risk responses are chosen, prioritized, planned, tracked, and communicated.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Risk Remediation   | RSK-06   | Mechanisms exist to remediate risks to an acceptable level.  | 5                        |       |
| ID-RA-06 | N/A      | Risk responses are chosen, prioritized, planned, tracked, and communicated.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Risk Response  | RSK-06.1 | Mechanisms exist to respond to findings from cybersecurity and data protection assessments, incidents and audits to ensure proper remediation has been performed.  | 5                        |       |
| ID-RA-06 | N/A      | Risk responses are chosen, prioritized, planned, tracked, and communicated.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Compensating Countermeasures                                   | RSK-06.2 | Mechanisms exist to identify and implement compensating countermeasures to reduce risk and exposure to threats.  | 5                        |       |
| ID-RA-07 | N/A      | Changes and exceptions are managed, assessed for risk impact, recorded, and tracked.   | Functional     | Subset Of         | Change Management Program                                      | CHG-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of a change management program.  | 10                       |       |
| ID-RA-07 | N/A      | Changes and exceptions are managed, assessed for risk impact, recorded, and tracked.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Configuration Change Control                                   | CHG-02   | Mechanisms exist to govern the technical configuration change control processes.   | 5                        |       |
| ID-RA-07 | N/A      | Changes and exceptions are managed, assessed for risk impact, recorded, and tracked.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Prohibition of Changes   | CHG-02.1 | Mechanisms exist to prohibit unauthorized changes, unless organization-approved change requests are received.  | 5                        |       |
| ID-RA-07 | N/A      | Changes and exceptions are managed, assessed for risk impact, recorded, and tracked.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Test, Validate & Document Changes                              | CHG-02.2 | Mechanisms exist to appropriately test and document proposed changes in a non-production environment before changes are implemented in a production environment.   | 5                        |       |
| ID-RA-07 | N/A      | Changes and exceptions are managed, assessed for risk impact, recorded, and tracked.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Security Impact Analysis for Changes                           | CHG-03   | Mechanisms exist to analyze proposed changes for potential security impacts, prior to the implementation of the change.  | 5                        |       |
| ID-RA-07 | N/A      | Changes and exceptions are managed, assessed for risk impact, recorded, and tracked.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Access Restriction For Change                                  | CHG-04   | Mechanisms exist to enforce configuration restrictions in an effort to restrict the ability of users to conduct unauthorized changes.  | 5                        |       |
| ID-RA-07 | N/A      | Changes and exceptions are managed, assessed for risk impact, recorded, and tracked.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Exception Management   | GOV-02.1 | Mechanisms exist to prohibit exceptions to standards, except when the exception has been formally assessed for risk impact, approved and recorded.   | 5                        |       |
| ID-RA-08 | N/A      | Processes for receiving, analyzing, and responding to vulnerability disclosures are established.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Threat Intelligence Feeds Program                              | THR-01   | Mechanisms exist to implement a threat intelligence program that includes a cross-organization information-sharing capability that can influence the development of the system and security architectures, selection of security solutions, monitoring, threat hunting, response and recovery activities.  | 5                        |       |
| ID-RA-08 | N/A      | Processes for receiving, analyzing, and responding to vulnerability disclosures are established.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Indicators of Exposure (IOE)                                   | THR-02   | Mechanisms exist to develop indicators of Exposure (IOE) to understand the potential attack vectors that attackers could use to attack the organization.   | 5                        |       |
| ID-RA-08 | N/A      | Processes for receiving, analyzing, and responding to vulnerability disclosures are established.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Threat Intelligence Feeds                                      | THR-03   | Mechanisms exist to maintain situational awareness of vulnerabilities and evolving threats by leveraging the knowledge of attacker tactics, techniques and procedures to facilitate the implementation of preventative and compensating controls.  | 5                        |       |
| ID-RA-08 | N/A      | Processes for receiving, analyzing, and responding to vulnerability disclosures are established.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Vulnerability & Patch Management Program (VPM)                 | VPM-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation and monitoring of vulnerability management controls.   | 5                        |       |
| ID-RA-08 | N/A      | Processes for receiving, analyzing, and responding to vulnerability disclosures are established.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Vulnerability Remediation Process                              | VPM-02   | Mechanisms exist to ensure that vulnerabilities are properly identified, tracked and remediated.   | 5                        |       |
| ID-RA-08 | N/A      | Processes for receiving, analyzing, and responding to vulnerability disclosures are established.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Vulnerability Ranking  | VPM-03   | Mechanisms exist to identify and assign a risk ranking to newly discovered security vulnerabilities using reputable outside sources for security vulnerability information.  | 5                        |       |
| ID-RA-09 | N/A      | The authenticity and integrity of hardware and software are assessed prior to acquisition and use.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Logical Tampering Protection                                   | AST-15   | Mechanisms exist to verify logical configuration settings and the physical integrity of hardware technology assets throughout their lifecycle.   | 5                        |       |
| ID-RA-09 | N/A      | The authenticity and integrity of hardware and software are assessed prior to acquisition and use.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Roots of Trust Protection                                      | AST-18   | Mechanisms exist to provision and protect the confidentiality, integrity and authenticity of product supply keys and data that can be used as a "roots of trust" basis for integrity verification.   | 5                        |       |
| ID-RA-09 | N/A      | The authenticity and integrity of hardware and software are assessed prior to acquisition and use.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Technology Development & Acquisition                           | TDA-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of tailored development and acquisition strategies, contract tools and procurement methods to meet unique business needs.  | 5                        |       |
| ID-RA-09 | N/A      | The authenticity and integrity of hardware and software are assessed prior to acquisition and use.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Integrity Mechanisms for Software / Firmware Updates           | TDA-01.2 | Mechanisms exist to utilize integrity validation mechanisms for security updates.  | 5                        |       |
| ID-RA-09 | N/A      | The authenticity and integrity of hardware and software are assessed prior to acquisition and use.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Developer Configuration Management                             | TDA-14   | Mechanisms exist to require system developers and integrators to perform configuration management during system design, development, implementation and operation.   | 5                        |       |
| ID-RA-09 | N/A      | The authenticity and integrity of hardware and software are assessed prior to acquisition and use.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Software / Firmware Integrity Verification                     | TDA-14.1 | Mechanisms exist to require developers of Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) to enable integrity verification of software and firmware components.   | 5                        |       |
| ID-RA-09 | N/A      | The authenticity and integrity of hardware and software are assessed prior to acquisition and use.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Hardware Integrity Verification                                | TDA-14.2 | Mechanisms exist to require developers of Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) to enable integrity verification of hardware components.  | 5                        |       |
| ID-RA-10 | N/A      | Critical suppliers are assessed prior to acquisition.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Third-Party Inventories  | TPM-01.1 | Mechanisms exist to maintain a current, accurate and complete list of External Service Providers (ESPs) that can potentially impact the Confidentiality, Integrity, Availability and/or Safety (CIAS) of the organization's Technology Assets, Applications, Services and/or Data (TAASD).   | 5                        |       |
| ID-RA-10 | N/A      | Critical suppliers are assessed prior to acquisition.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Third-Party Criticality Assessments                            | TPM-02   | Mechanisms exist to identify, prioritize and assess suppliers and partners of critical Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) using a supply chain risk assessment process relative to their importance in supporting the delivery of high-value services.   | 5                        |       |
| ID-RA-10 | N/A      | Critical suppliers are assessed prior to acquisition.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Third-Party Risk Assessments & Approvals                       | TPM-04.1 | Mechanisms exist to conduct a risk assessment prior to the acquisition or outsourcing of technology-related Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS).  | 5                        |       |
| ID-IM    | N/A      | Improvements to organizational cybersecurity risk management processes, procedures and activities are identified across all CSF Functions. | Functional     | Intersects With   | Operations Security  | OPS-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of operational security controls.  | 5                        |       |
| ID-IM    | N/A      | Improvements to organizational cybersecurity risk management processes, procedures and activities are identified across all CSF Functions. | Functional     | Intersects With   | Standardized Operating Procedures (SOP)                        | OPS-01.1 | Mechanisms exist to identify and document Standardized Operating Procedures (SOP), or similar documentation, to enable the proper execution of day-to-day / assigned tasks.  | 5                        |       |
| ID-IM    | N/A      | Improvements to organizational cybersecurity risk management processes, procedures and activities are identified across all CSF Functions. | Functional     | Subset Of         | Risk Management Program  | RSK-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of strategic, operational and tactical risk management controls.   | 10                       |       |
| ID-IM    | N/A      | Improvements to organizational cybersecurity risk management processes, procedures and activities are identified across all CSF Functions. | Functional     | Intersects With   | Supply Chain Risk Management (SCRM) Plan                       | RSK-09   | Mechanisms exist to develop a plan for Supply Chain Risk Management (SCRM) associated with the development, acquisition, maintenance and disposal of Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS), including documenting selected mitigating actions and monitoring performance against those plans.   | 5                        |       |
| ID-IM-01 | N/A      | Improvements are identified from evaluations.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Cybersecurity & Data Protection Assessments                    | CP-03    | Mechanisms exist to regularly review processes and documented procedures to ensure conformity with the organization's cybersecurity and data protection policies, standards and other applicable requirements.   | 5                        |       |
| ID-IM-01 | N/A      | Improvements are identified from evaluations.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Functional Review Of Cybersecurity & Data Protection Controls  | CP-03.2  | Mechanisms exist to regularly review technology assets for adherence to the organization's cybersecurity and data protection policies and standards.   | 5                        |       |

| FDE #    | FDE Name | Focal Document Element (FDE) Description   | STRM Rationale | STRM Relationship | SCF Control  | SCF #    | Secure Controls Framework (SCF) Control Description   | Strength of Relationship | Notes |
|----------|----------|--|----------------|-------------------|--|----------|---|--------------------------|-------|
| ID-IM-01 | N/A      | Improvements are identified from evaluations.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Assessments  | IAO-02   | Mechanisms exist to formally assess the cybersecurity and data protection controls in Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) through Information Assurance Program (IAP) activities to determine the extent to which the controls are implemented correctly, operating as intended and producing the desired outcome with respect to meeting expected requirements.   | 5                        |       |
| ID-IM-01 | N/A      | Improvements are identified from evaluations.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Security Assessment Report (SAR)   | IAO-02.4 | Mechanisms exist to produce a Security Assessment Report (SAR) at the conclusion of a security assessment to certify the results of the assessment and assist with any remediation actions.   | 5                        |       |
| ID-IM-01 | N/A      | Improvements are identified from evaluations.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Plan of Action & Milestones (POA&M)  | IAO-05   | Mechanisms exist to generate a Plan of Action and Milestones (POA&M), or similar risk register, to document planned remedial actions to correct weaknesses or deficiencies noted during the assessment of the security controls and to reduce or eliminate known vulnerabilities.   | 5                        |       |
| ID-IM-01 | N/A      | Improvements are identified from evaluations.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Cybersecurity & Data Protection Testing Throughout Development   | TDA-09   | Mechanisms exist to require system developers/integrators consult with cybersecurity and data protection personnel to:<br>(1) Create and implement a Security Testing and Evaluation (ST&E) plan, or similar capability;<br>(2) Implement a verifiable flaw remediation process to correct weaknesses or deficiencies identified during the security testing and evaluation process; and<br>(3) Document the results of the security testing/evaluation and flaw remediation processes.                                     | 5                        |       |
| ID-IM-01 | N/A      | Improvements are identified from evaluations.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Continuous Monitoring Plan   | TDA-09.1 | Mechanisms exist to require the developers of Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) to produce a plan for the continuous monitoring of cybersecurity and data protection control effectiveness.  | 5                        |       |
| ID-IM-01 | N/A      | Improvements are identified from evaluations.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Third-Party Risk Assessments & Approvals   | TPM-04.1 | Mechanisms exist to conduct a risk assessment prior to the acquisition or outsourcing of technology-related Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS).   | 5                        |       |
| ID-IM-01 | N/A      | Improvements are identified from evaluations.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Review of Third-Party Services   | TPM-08   | Mechanisms exist to monitor, regularly review and assess External Service Providers (ESPs) for compliance with established contractual requirements for cybersecurity and data protection controls.   | 5                        |       |
| ID-IM-02 | N/A      | Improvements are identified from security tests and exercises, including those done in coordination with suppliers and relevant third parties.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Contingency Plan Root Cause Analysis (RCA) & Lessons Learned   | BCD-05   | Mechanisms exist to conduct a Root Cause Analysis (RCA) and "lessons learned" activity every time the contingency plan is activated.  | 5                        |       |
| ID-IM-02 | N/A      | Improvements are identified from security tests and exercises, including those done in coordination with suppliers and relevant third parties.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Cybersecurity & Data Protection Assessments  | CPL-03   | Mechanisms exist to regularly review processes and documented procedures to ensure conformity with the organization's cybersecurity and data protection policies, standards and other applicable requirements.  | 5                        |       |
| ID-IM-02 | N/A      | Improvements are identified from security tests and exercises, including those done in coordination with suppliers and relevant third parties.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Functional Review Of Cybersecurity & Data Protection Controls  | CPL-03.2 | Mechanisms exist to regularly review technology assets for adherence to the organization's cybersecurity and data protection policies and standards.  | 5                        |       |
| ID-IM-02 | N/A      | Improvements are identified from security tests and exercises, including those done in coordination with suppliers and relevant third parties.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Assessments  | IAO-02   | Mechanisms exist to formally assess the cybersecurity and data protection controls in Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) through Information Assurance Program (IAP) activities to determine the extent to which the controls are implemented correctly, operating as intended and producing the desired outcome with respect to meeting expected requirements.   | 5                        |       |
| ID-IM-02 | N/A      | Improvements are identified from security tests and exercises, including those done in coordination with suppliers and relevant third parties.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Security Assessment Report (SAR)   | IAO-02.4 | Mechanisms exist to produce a Security Assessment Report (SAR) at the conclusion of a security assessment to certify the results of the assessment and assist with any remediation actions.   | 5                        |       |
| ID-IM-02 | N/A      | Improvements are identified from security tests and exercises, including those done in coordination with suppliers and relevant third parties.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Plan of Action & Milestones (POA&M)  | IAO-05   | Mechanisms exist to generate a Plan of Action and Milestones (POA&M), or similar risk register, to document planned remedial actions to correct weaknesses or deficiencies noted during the assessment of the security controls and to reduce or eliminate known vulnerabilities.   | 5                        |       |
| ID-IM-02 | N/A      | Improvements are identified from security tests and exercises, including those done in coordination with suppliers and relevant third parties.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Root Cause Analysis (RCA) & Lessons Learned  | IRO-13   | Mechanisms exist to incorporate lessons learned from analyzing and resolving cybersecurity and data protection incidents to reduce the likelihood or impact of future incidents.  | 5                        |       |
| ID-IM-02 | N/A      | Improvements are identified from security tests and exercises, including those done in coordination with suppliers and relevant third parties.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Cybersecurity & Data Protection Testing Throughout Development   | TDA-09   | Mechanisms exist to require system developers/integrators consult with cybersecurity and data protection personnel to:<br>(1) Create and implement a Security Testing and Evaluation (ST&E) plan, or similar capability;<br>(2) Implement a verifiable flaw remediation process to correct weaknesses or deficiencies identified during the security testing and evaluation process; and<br>(3) Document the results of the security testing/evaluation and flaw remediation processes.                                     | 5                        |       |
| ID-IM-02 | N/A      | Improvements are identified from security tests and exercises, including those done in coordination with suppliers and relevant third parties.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Continuous Monitoring Plan   | TDA-09.1 | Mechanisms exist to require the developers of Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) to produce a plan for the continuous monitoring of cybersecurity and data protection control effectiveness.  | 5                        |       |
| ID-IM-02 | N/A      | Improvements are identified from security tests and exercises, including those done in coordination with suppliers and relevant third parties.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Third-Party Risk Assessments & Approvals   | TPM-04.1 | Mechanisms exist to conduct a risk assessment prior to the acquisition or outsourcing of technology-related Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS).   | 5                        |       |
| ID-IM-02 | N/A      | Improvements are identified from security tests and exercises, including those done in coordination with suppliers and relevant third parties.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Review of Third-Party Services   | TPM-08   | Mechanisms exist to monitor, regularly review and assess External Service Providers (ESPs) for compliance with established contractual requirements for cybersecurity and data protection controls.   | 5                        |       |
| ID-IM-03 | N/A      | Improvements are identified from execution of operational processes, procedures, and activities.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Measures of Performance  | GOV-05   | Mechanisms exist to develop, report and monitor cybersecurity and data protection program measures of performance.  | 5                        |       |
| ID-IM-03 | N/A      | Improvements are identified from execution of operational processes, procedures, and activities.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Contingency Plan Root Cause Analysis (RCA) & Lessons Learned   | BCD-05   | Mechanisms exist to conduct a Root Cause Analysis (RCA) and "lessons learned" activity every time the contingency plan is activated.  | 5                        |       |
| ID-IM-03 | N/A      | Improvements are identified from execution of operational processes, procedures, and activities.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Root Cause Analysis (RCA) & Lessons Learned  | IRO-13   | Mechanisms exist to incorporate lessons learned from analyzing and resolving cybersecurity and data protection incidents to reduce the likelihood or impact of future incidents.  | 5                        |       |
| ID-IM-04 | N/A      | Incident response plans and other cybersecurity plans that affect operations are established, communicated, maintained, and improved.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Business Continuity Management System (BCMS)   | BCD-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of contingency planning controls to reduce resident Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) (e.g., Continuity of Operations Plan (COP) or Business Continuity & Disaster Recovery (BC/DR) playbooks).  | 5                        |       |
| ID-IM-04 | N/A      | Incident response plans and other cybersecurity plans that affect operations are established, communicated, maintained, and improved.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Ongoing Contingency Planning   | BCD-06   | Mechanisms exist to update contingency plans due to changes affecting:<br>(1) People (e.g., personnel changes);<br>(2) Processes (e.g., new, altered or decommissioned business practices, including third-party services);<br>(3) Technologies (e.g., new, altered or decommissioned technologies);<br>(4) Data (e.g., changes to data flows and/or data repositories);<br>(5) Facilities (e.g., new, altered or decommissioned physical infrastructure); and/or<br>(6) Feedback from contingency plan testing activities. | 5                        |       |
| ID-IM-04 | N/A      | Incident response plans and other cybersecurity plans that affect operations are established, communicated, maintained, and improved.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Incident Response Plan (IRP)   | IRO-04   | Mechanisms exist to maintain and make available a current and viable Incident Response Plan (IRP) to all stakeholders.  | 5                        |       |
| ID-IM-04 | N/A      | Incident response plans and other cybersecurity plans that affect operations are established, communicated, maintained, and improved.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | IRP Update   | IRO-04.2 | Mechanisms exist to regularly review and modify incident response practices to incorporate lessons learned, business process changes and industry developments, as necessary.   | 5                        |       |
| PR       | N/A      | Safeguards to manage the organization's cybersecurity risks are used.  | Functional     | Subset Of         | Cybersecurity & Data Protection Governance Program   | GOV-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of cybersecurity and data protection governance controls.   | 10                       |       |
| PR       | N/A      | Safeguards to manage the organization's cybersecurity risks are used.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Steering Committee & Program Oversight   | GOV-01.1 | Mechanisms exist to coordinate cybersecurity, data protection and business alignment through a steering committee or advisory board, comprised of key cybersecurity, data privacy and business executives, which meets formally and on a regular basis.   | 5                        |       |
| PR       | N/A      | Safeguards to manage the organization's cybersecurity risks are used.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Statutory, Regulatory & Contractual Compliance   | CPL-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the identification and implementation of relevant statutory, regulatory and contractual controls.  | 5                        |       |
| PR       | N/A      | Safeguards to manage the organization's cybersecurity risks are used.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Risk Management Program  | RSK-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of strategic, operational and tactical risk management controls.  | 5                        |       |
| PR       | N/A      | Safeguards to manage the organization's cybersecurity risks are used.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Supply Chain Risk Management (SCRM) Plan   | RSK-09   | Mechanisms exist to develop a plan for Supply Chain Risk Management (SCRM) associated with the development, acquisition, maintenance and disposal of Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS), including documenting selected mitigating actions and monitoring performance against those plans.  | 5                        |       |
| PR-AA    | N/A      | Access to physical and logical assets is limited to authorized users, services, and hardware and managed commensurate with the assessed risk of unauthorized access.                       | Functional     | Intersects With   | Identify & Access Management (IAM)   | IAC-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of identification and access management controls.   | 5                        |       |
| PR-AA    | N/A      | Access to physical and logical assets is limited to authorized users, services, and hardware and managed commensurate with the assessed risk of unauthorized access.                       | Functional     | Intersects With   | Authenticate, Authorize and Audit (AAA)  | IAC-01.2 | Mechanisms exist to strictly govern the use of Authenticate, Authorize and Audit (AAA) solutions, both on-premises and those hosted by an External Service Provider (ESP).  | 5                        |       |
| PR-AA    | N/A      | Access to physical and logical assets is limited to authorized users, services, and hardware and managed commensurate with the assessed risk of unauthorized access.                       | Functional     | Intersects With   | Physical & Environmental Protections   | PE5-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the operation of physical and environmental protection controls.   | 5                        |       |
| PR-AA    | N/A      | Access to physical and logical assets is limited to authorized users, services, and hardware and managed commensurate with the assessed risk of unauthorized access.                       | Functional     | Intersects With   | Physical Access Authorizations   | PE5-02   | Physical access control mechanisms exist to maintain a current list of personnel with authorized access to organizational facilities (except for those areas within the facility officially designated as publicly accessible).   | 5                        |       |
| PR-AA    | N/A      | Access to physical and logical assets is limited to authorized users, services, and hardware and managed commensurate with the assessed risk of unauthorized access.                       | Functional     | Intersects With   | Physical Access Control  | PE5-03   | Physical access control mechanisms exist to enforce physical access authorizations for all physical access points (including designated entry/exit points) to facilities (excluding those areas within the facility officially designated as publicly accessible).  | 5                        |       |
| PR-AA-01 | N/A      | Identities and credentials for authorized users, services, and hardware are managed by the organization.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Identification & Authentication for Organizational Users   | IAC-02   | Mechanisms exist to uniquely identify and centrally Authenticate, Authorize and Audit (AAA) organizational users and processes acting on behalf of organizational users.  | 5                        |       |
| PR-AA-01 | N/A      | Identities and credentials for authorized users, services, and hardware are managed by the organization.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Identification & Authentication for Non-Organizational Users   | IAC-03   | Mechanisms exist to uniquely identify and centrally Authenticate, Authorize and Audit (AAA) third-party users and processes that provide services to the organization.  | 5                        |       |
| PR-AA-01 | N/A      | Identities and credentials for authorized users, services, and hardware are managed by the organization.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Identification & Authentication for Devices  | IAC-04   | Mechanisms exist to uniquely identify and centrally Authenticate, Authorize and Audit (AAA) devices before establishing a connection using bidirectional authentication that is cryptographically based and replay resistant.   | 5                        |       |
| PR-AA-01 | N/A      | Identities and credentials for authorized users, services, and hardware are managed by the organization.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Identification & Authentication for Third-Party Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) | IAC-05   | Mechanisms exist to identify and authenticate third-party Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS).   | 5                        |       |
| PR-AA-02 | N/A      | Identities are proofed and bound to credentials based on the context of interactions.  | Functional     | Equal             | Identify Proofing Identity Verification  | IAC-28   | Mechanisms exist to verify the identity of a user before issuing authenticators or modifying access permissions.  | 10                       |       |
| PR-AA-03 | N/A      | Users, services, and hardware are authenticated.   | Functional     | Subset Of         | Authenticate, Authorize and Audit (AAA)  | IAC-01.2 | Mechanisms exist to strictly govern the use of Authenticate, Authorize and Audit (AAA) solutions, both on-premises and those hosted by an External Service Provider (ESP).  | 10                       |       |
| PR-AA-03 | N/A      | Users, services, and hardware are authenticated.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Identification & Authentication for Organizational Users   | IAC-02   | Mechanisms exist to uniquely identify and centrally Authenticate, Authorize and Audit (AAA) organizational users and processes acting on behalf of organizational users.  | 5                        |       |
| PR-AA-03 | N/A      | Users, services, and hardware are authenticated.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Identification & Authentication for Non-Organizational Users   | IAC-03   | Mechanisms exist to uniquely identify and centrally Authenticate, Authorize and Audit (AAA) third-party users and processes that provide services to the organization.  | 5                        |       |
| PR-AA-03 | N/A      | Users, services, and hardware are authenticated.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Identification & Authentication for Devices  | IAC-04   | Mechanisms exist to uniquely identify and centrally Authenticate, Authorize and Audit (AAA) devices before establishing a connection using bidirectional authentication that is cryptographically based and replay resistant.   | 5                        |       |
| PR-AA-03 | N/A      | Users, services, and hardware are authenticated.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Identification & Authentication for Third-Party Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) | IAC-05   | Mechanisms exist to identify and authenticate third-party Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS).   | 5                        |       |
| PR-AA-04 | N/A      | Identity assertions are protected, conveyed, and verified.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Authenticate, Authorize and Audit (AAA)  | IAC-01.2 | Mechanisms exist to strictly govern the use of Authenticate, Authorize and Audit (AAA) solutions, both on-premises and those hosted by an External Service Provider (ESP).  | 5                        |       |
| PR-AA-04 | N/A      | Identity assertions are protected, conveyed, and verified.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Replay-Resistant Authentication  | IAC-02.2 | Automated mechanisms exist to employ replay-resistant authentication.   | 5                        |       |
| PR-AA-04 | N/A      | Identity assertions are protected, conveyed, and verified.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Acceptance of External Authenticators  | IAC-03.5 | Mechanisms exist to restrict the use of external authenticators to those that are National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) compliant and maintain a list of accepted external authenticators.  | 5                        |       |
| PR-AA-05 | N/A      | Access permissions, entitlements, and authorizations are defined in a policy, managed, enforced, and reviewed, and incorporate the principles of least privilege and separation of duties. | Functional     | Intersects With   | Position Categorization  | HRS-02   | Mechanisms exist to manage personnel security risk by assigning a risk designation to all positions and establishing screening criteria for individuals filling those positions.  | 5                        |       |
| PR-AA-05 | N/A      | Access permissions, entitlements, and authorizations are defined in a policy, managed, enforced, and reviewed, and incorporate the principles of least privilege and separation of duties. | Functional     | Intersects With   | Separation of Duties (SoD)   | HRS-11   | Mechanisms exist to implement and maintain Separation of Duties (SoD) to prevent potential inappropriate activity without collusion.  | 5                        |       |
| PR-AA-05 | N/A      | Access permissions, entitlements, and authorizations are defined in a policy, managed, enforced, and reviewed, and incorporate the principles of least privilege and separation of duties. | Functional     | Subset Of         | Identify & Access Management (IAM)   | IAC-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of identification and access management controls.   | 10                       |       |
| PR-AA-05 | N/A      | Access permissions, entitlements, and authorizations are defined in a policy, managed, enforced, and reviewed, and incorporate the principles of least privilege and separation of duties. | Functional     | Intersects With   | Authenticate, Authorize and Audit (AAA)  | IAC-01.2 | Mechanisms exist to strictly govern the use of Authenticate, Authorize and Audit (AAA) solutions, both on-premises and those hosted by an External Service Provider (ESP).  | 5                        |       |

| FDE #    | FDE Name | Focal Document Element (FDE) Description   | STRM Rationale | STRM Relationship | SCF Control  | SCF #    | Secure Controls Framework (SCF) Control Description  | Strength of Relationship | Notes |
|----------|----------|--|----------------|-------------------|--|----------|--|--------------------------|-------|
| PR-AA-05 | N/A      | Access permissions, entitlements, and authorizations are defined in a policy, managed, enforced, and reviewed, and incorporate the principles of least privilege and separation of duties.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Identification & Authentication for Organizational Users   | IAI-02   | Mechanisms exist to uniquely identify and centrally Authenticate, Authorize and Audit (AAA) organizational users and processes acting on behalf of organizational users.   | 5                        |       |
| PR-AA-05 | N/A      | Access permissions, entitlements, and authorizations are defined in a policy, managed, enforced, and reviewed, and incorporate the principles of least privilege and separation of duties.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Identification & Authentication for Non-Organizational Users   | IAI-03   | Mechanisms exist to uniquely identify and centrally Authenticate, Authorize and Audit (AAA) third-party users and processes that provide services to the organization.   | 5                        |       |
| PR-AA-05 | N/A      | Access permissions, entitlements, and authorizations are defined in a policy, managed, enforced, and reviewed, and incorporate the principles of least privilege and separation of duties.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Identification & Authentication for Devices  | IAI-04   | Mechanisms exist to uniquely identify and centrally Authenticate, Authorize and Audit (AAA) devices before establishing a connection using bidirectional authentication that is cryptographically based and replay resistant.  | 5                        |       |
| PR-AA-05 | N/A      | Access permissions, entitlements, and authorizations are defined in a policy, managed, enforced, and reviewed, and incorporate the principles of least privilege and separation of duties.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Identification & Authentication for Third-Party Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) | IAI-05   | Mechanisms exist to identify and authenticate third-party Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS).  | 5                        |       |
| PR-AA-05 | N/A      | Access permissions, entitlements, and authorizations are defined in a policy, managed, enforced, and reviewed, and incorporate the principles of least privilege and separation of duties.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Role-Based Access Control (RBAC)   | IAI-08   | Mechanisms exist to enforce Role-Based Access Control (RBAC) for Technology Assets, Applications, Services and/or Data (TAAS) to restrict access to individuals assigned specific roles with legitimate business needs.  | 5                        |       |
| PR-AA-05 | N/A      | Access permissions, entitlements, and authorizations are defined in a policy, managed, enforced, and reviewed, and incorporate the principles of least privilege and separation of duties.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Least Privilege  | IAI-21   | Mechanisms exist to utilize the concept of least privilege, allowing only authorized access to processes necessary to accomplish assigned tasks in accordance with organizational business functions.  | 5                        |       |
| PR-AA-06 | N/A      | Physical access to assets is managed, monitored, and enforced commensurate with risk.  | Functional     | Subset Of         | Physical Access Protections  | PEI-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the operation of physical and environmental protection controls.  | 10                       |       |
| PR-AA-06 | N/A      | Physical access to assets is managed, monitored, and enforced commensurate with risk.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Physical Access Authorizations   | PEI-02   | Physical access control mechanisms exist to maintain a current list of personnel with authorized access to organizational facilities (except for those areas within the facility officially designated as publicly accessible).  | 5                        |       |
| PR-AA-06 | N/A      | Physical access to assets is managed, monitored, and enforced commensurate with risk.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Role-Based Physical Access   | PEI-02.1 | Physical access control mechanisms exist to authorize physical access to facilities based on the position or role of the individual.   | 5                        |       |
| PR-AA-06 | N/A      | Physical access to assets is managed, monitored, and enforced commensurate with risk.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Physical Access Control  | PEI-03   | Physical access control mechanisms exist to enforce physical access authorizations for all physical access points (including designated entry/exit points to facilities (excluding those areas within the facility officially designated as publicly accessible).  | 5                        |       |
| PR-AT    | N/A      | The organization's personnel are provided with cybersecurity awareness and training so that they can perform their cybersecurity-related tasks.  | Functional     | Subset Of         | Cybersecurity & Data Protection-Related Workforce  | SAT-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of security workforce development and awareness controls.  | 10                       |       |
| PR-AT    | N/A      | The organization's personnel are provided with cybersecurity awareness and training so that they can perform their cybersecurity-related tasks.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Cybersecurity & Data Protection Awareness Training   | SAT-02   | Mechanisms exist to provide all employees and contractors appropriate awareness education and training that is relevant for their job function.  | 5                        |       |
| PR-AT    | N/A      | The organization's personnel are provided with cybersecurity awareness and training so that they can perform their cybersecurity-related tasks.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Role-Based Cybersecurity & Data Protection Training  | SAT-03   | Mechanisms exist to provide role-based cybersecurity and data protection-related training: (1) Before authorizing access to the system or performing assigned duties; (2) When required by system changes; and (3) Annually thereafter.  | 5                        |       |
| PR-AT-01 | N/A      | Personnel are provided with awareness and training so that they possess the knowledge and skills to perform general tasks with cybersecurity risks in mind.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Cybersecurity & Data Protection Awareness Training   | SAT-02   | Mechanisms exist to provide all employees and contractors appropriate awareness education and training that is relevant for their job function.  | 5                        |       |
| PR-AT-01 | N/A      | Personnel are provided with awareness and training so that they possess the knowledge and skills to perform general tasks with cybersecurity risks in mind.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Role-Based Cybersecurity & Data Protection Training  | SAT-03   | Mechanisms exist to provide role-based cybersecurity and data protection-related training: (1) Before authorizing access to the system or performing assigned duties; (2) When required by system changes; and (3) Annually thereafter.  | 5                        |       |
| PR-AT-01 | N/A      | Personnel are provided with awareness and training so that they possess the knowledge and skills to perform general tasks with cybersecurity risks in mind.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Cyber Threat Environment   | SAT-03.6 | Mechanisms exist to provide role-based cybersecurity and data protection awareness training that is current and relevant to the cyber threats that users might encounter in day-to-day business operations.  | 5                        |       |
| PR-AT-02 | N/A      | Individuals in specialized roles are provided with awareness and training so that they possess the knowledge and skills to perform relevant tasks with cybersecurity risks in mind.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Role-Based Cybersecurity & Data Protection Training  | SAT-03   | Mechanisms exist to provide role-based cybersecurity and data protection-related training: (1) Before authorizing access to the system or performing assigned duties; (2) When required by system changes; and (3) Annually thereafter.  | 5                        |       |
| PR-AT-02 | N/A      | Individuals in specialized roles are provided with awareness and training so that they possess the knowledge and skills to perform relevant tasks with cybersecurity risks in mind.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Privileged Users   | SAT-03.5 | Mechanisms exist to provide specific training for privileged users to ensure privileged users understand their unique roles and responsibilities.  | 5                        |       |
| PR-AT-02 | N/A      | Individuals in specialized roles are provided with awareness and training so that they possess the knowledge and skills to perform relevant tasks with cybersecurity risks in mind.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Cyber Threat Environment   | SAT-03.6 | Mechanisms exist to provide role-based cybersecurity and data protection awareness training that is current and relevant to the cyber threats that users might encounter in day-to-day business operations.  | 5                        |       |
| PR-AT-02 | N/A      | Individuals in specialized roles are provided with awareness and training so that they possess the knowledge and skills to perform relevant tasks with cybersecurity risks in mind.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Continuing Professional Education (CPE) - Cybersecurity & Data Protection Personnel                    | SAT-03.7 | Mechanisms exist to ensure cybersecurity and data protection personnel receive Continuing Professional Education (CPE) training to maintain currency and proficiency with industry-recognized secure practices that are pertinent to their assigned roles and responsibilities.  | 5                        |       |
| PR-DS    | N/A      | Data are managed consistent with the organization's risk strategy to protect the confidentiality, integrity, and availability of information.  | Functional     | Subset Of         | Data Protection  | DCH-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of data protection controls.   | 10                       |       |
| PR-DS    | N/A      | Data are managed consistent with the organization's risk strategy to protect the confidentiality, integrity, and availability of information.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Data Stewardship   | DCH-01.1 | Mechanisms exist to ensure data stewardship is assigned, documented and communicated.  | 5                        |       |
| PR-DS    | N/A      | Data are managed consistent with the organization's risk strategy to protect the confidentiality, integrity, and availability of information.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Sensitive / Regulated Data Protection  | DCH-01.2 | Mechanisms exist to protect sensitive/regulated data wherever it is stored.  | 5                        |       |
| PR-DS    | N/A      | Data are managed consistent with the organization's risk strategy to protect the confidentiality, integrity, and availability of information.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Sensitive / Regulated Media Records  | DCH-01.3 | Mechanisms exist to ensure media records for sensitive/regulated data contain sufficient information to determine the potential impact in the event of a data loss incident.   | 5                        |       |
| PR-DS    | N/A      | Data are managed consistent with the organization's risk strategy to protect the confidentiality, integrity, and availability of information.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Defining Access Authorizations for Sensitive/Regulated Data  | DCH-01.4 | Mechanisms exist to explicitly define authorizations for specific individuals and/or roles for logical and/or physical access to sensitive/regulated data.   | 5                        |       |
| PR-DS    | N/A      | Data are managed consistent with the organization's risk strategy to protect the confidentiality, integrity, and availability of information.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Data & Asset Classification  | DCH-02   | Mechanisms exist to ensure data and assets are categorized in accordance with applicable statutory, regulatory and contractual requirements.   | 5                        |       |
| PR-DS    | N/A      | Data are managed consistent with the organization's risk strategy to protect the confidentiality, integrity, and availability of information.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Media Access   | DCH-03   | Mechanisms exist to control and restrict access to digital and non-digital media to authorized individuals.  | 5                        |       |
| PR-DS-01 | N/A      | The confidentiality, integrity, and availability of data-at-rest are protected.  | Functional     | Subset Of         | Data Protection  | DCH-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of data protection controls.   | 10                       |       |
| PR-DS-01 | N/A      | The confidentiality, integrity, and availability of data-at-rest are protected.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Use of Cryptographic Controls  | CRY-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of cryptographic protections controls using known public standards and trusted cryptographic technologies.   | 5                        |       |
| PR-DS-01 | N/A      | The confidentiality, integrity, and availability of data-at-rest are protected.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Alternate Physical Protection  | CRY-01.1 | Cryptographic mechanisms exist to prevent unauthorized disclosure of information as an alternative to physical safeguards.   | 5                        |       |
| PR-DS-01 | N/A      | The confidentiality, integrity, and availability of data-at-rest are protected.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Encrypting Data At Rest  | CRY-05   | Cryptographic mechanisms exist to prevent unauthorized disclosure of data at rest.   | 5                        |       |
| PR-DS-02 | N/A      | The confidentiality, integrity, and availability of data-in-transit are protected.   | Functional     | Subset Of         | Data Protection  | DCH-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of data protection controls.   | 10                       |       |
| PR-DS-02 | N/A      | The confidentiality, integrity, and availability of data-in-transit are protected.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Use of Cryptographic Controls  | CRY-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of cryptographic protections controls using known public standards and trusted cryptographic technologies.   | 5                        |       |
| PR-DS-02 | N/A      | The confidentiality, integrity, and availability of data-in-transit are protected.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Transmission Confidentiality   | CRY-03   | Cryptographic mechanisms exist to protect the confidentiality of data being transmitted, as well as the integrity and availability of the data.  | 5                        |       |
| PR-DS-02 | N/A      | The confidentiality, integrity, and availability of data-in-transit are protected.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Transmission Integrity   | CRY-04   | Cryptographic mechanisms exist to protect the integrity of data being transmitted.   | 5                        |       |
| PR-DS-10 | N/A      | The confidentiality, integrity, and availability of data-in-use are protected.   | Functional     | Subset Of         | Data Protection  | DCH-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of data protection controls.   | 10                       |       |
| PR-DS-10 | N/A      | The confidentiality, integrity, and availability of data-in-use are protected.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Use of Cryptographic Controls  | CRY-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of cryptographic protections controls using known public standards and trusted cryptographic technologies.   | 5                        |       |
| PR-DS-10 | N/A      | The confidentiality, integrity, and availability of data-in-use are protected.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Secure Baseline Configurations   | CFG-02   | Mechanisms exist to develop, document and maintain secure baseline configurations for Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) that are consistent with industry-accepted system hardening standards.  | 5                        |       |
| PR-DS-10 | N/A      | The confidentiality, integrity, and availability of data-in-use are protected.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Least Privilege  | IAI-21   | Mechanisms exist to utilize the concept of least privilege, allowing only authorized access to processes necessary to accomplish assigned tasks in accordance with organizational business functions.  | 5                        |       |
| PR-DS-11 | N/A      | Backups of data are created, protected, maintained, and tested.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Data Backups   | BCD-11   | Mechanisms exist to create recurring backups of data, software and/or system images, as well as verify the integrity of these backups, to ensure the availability of the data to satisfy Recovery Time Objectives (RTO) and Recovery Point Objectives (RPO).   | 5                        |       |
| PR-DS-11 | N/A      | Backups of data are created, protected, maintained, and tested.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Testing for Reliability & Integrity  | BCD-11.1 | Mechanisms exist to routinely test backups that verify the reliability of the backup process, as well as the integrity and availability of the data.   | 5                        |       |
| PR-DS-11 | N/A      | Backups of data are created, protected, maintained, and tested.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Test Restoration Using Sampling  | BCD-11.5 | Mechanisms exist to utilize sampling of available backups to test recovery capabilities as part of business continuity plan testing.   | 5                        |       |
| PR-DS-11 | N/A      | Backups of data are created, protected, maintained, and tested.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Transfer to Alternate Storage Site   | BCD-11.6 | Mechanisms exist to transfer backup data to the alternate storage site at a rate that is capable of meeting both Recovery Time Objectives (RTO) and Recovery Point Objectives (RPO).   | 5                        |       |
| PR-PS    | N/A      | The hardware, software (e.g., firmware, operating systems, applications), and services of physical and virtual platforms are managed consistent with the organization's risk strategy to protect their confidentiality, integrity, and availability. | Functional     | Intersects With   | Configuration Management Program   | CFG-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of configuration management controls.  | 5                        |       |
| PR-PS    | N/A      | The hardware, software (e.g., firmware, operating systems, applications), and services of physical and virtual platforms are managed consistent with the organization's risk strategy to protect their confidentiality, integrity, and availability. | Functional     | Intersects With   | Secure Baseline Configurations   | CFG-02   | Mechanisms exist to develop, document and maintain secure baseline configurations for Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) that are consistent with industry-accepted system hardening standards.  | 5                        |       |
| PR-PS    | N/A      | The hardware, software (e.g., firmware, operating systems, applications), and services of physical and virtual platforms are managed consistent with the organization's risk strategy to protect their confidentiality, integrity, and availability. | Functional     | Intersects With   | Reviews & Updates  | CFG-02.1 | Mechanisms exist to review and update baseline configurations: (1) At least annually; (2) When required due to so; or (3) As part of system component installations and upgrades.  | 5                        |       |
| PR-PS    | N/A      | The hardware, software (e.g., firmware, operating systems, applications), and services of physical and virtual platforms are managed consistent with the organization's risk strategy to protect their confidentiality, integrity, and availability. | Functional     | Intersects With   | Configure Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) for High-Risk Areas                   | CFG-02.5 | Mechanisms exist to configure Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) utilized in high-risk areas with more restrictive baseline configurations.  | 5                        |       |
| PR-PS    | N/A      | The hardware, software (e.g., firmware, operating systems, applications), and services of physical and virtual platforms are managed consistent with the organization's risk strategy to protect their confidentiality, integrity, and availability. | Functional     | Intersects With   | Maintenance Operations   | MNT-01   | Mechanisms exist to develop, disseminate, review & update procedures to facilitate the implementation of maintenance controls across the enterprise.   | 5                        |       |
| PR-PS    | N/A      | The hardware, software (e.g., firmware, operating systems, applications), and services of physical and virtual platforms are managed consistent with the organization's risk strategy to protect their confidentiality, integrity, and availability. | Functional     | Intersects With   | Controlled Maintenance   | MNT-02   | Mechanisms exist to conduct controlled maintenance activities throughout the lifecycle of the system, application or service.  | 5                        |       |
| PR-PS-01 | N/A      | Configuration management practices are established and applied.  | Functional     | Equal             | Configuration Management Program   | CFG-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of configuration management controls.  | 10                       |       |
| PR-PS-02 | N/A      | Software is maintained, replaced, and removed commensurate with risk.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Maintenance Operations   | MNT-01   | Mechanisms exist to develop, disseminate, review & update procedures to facilitate the implementation of maintenance controls across the enterprise.   | 5                        |       |
| PR-PS-02 | N/A      | Software is maintained, replaced, and removed commensurate with risk.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Controlled Maintenance   | MNT-02   | Mechanisms exist to conduct controlled maintenance activities throughout the lifecycle of the system, application or service.  | 5                        |       |
| PR-PS-02 | N/A      | Software is maintained, replaced, and removed commensurate with risk.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Timely Maintenance   | MNT-03   | Mechanisms exist to obtain maintenance support and/or spare parts for Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) within a defined Recovery Time Objective (RTO).   | 5                        |       |
| PR-PS-02 | N/A      | Software is maintained, replaced, and removed commensurate with risk.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Preventive Maintenance   | MNT-03.1 | Mechanisms exist to perform preventive maintenance on critical Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS).   | 5                        |       |
| PR-PS-02 | N/A      | Software is maintained, replaced, and removed commensurate with risk.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Secure Development Life Cycle (SDLC) Management  | PRM-07   | Mechanisms exist to ensure changes to Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) within the Secure Development Life Cycle (SDLC) are controlled through formal change control procedures.  | 5                        |       |
| PR-PS-02 | N/A      | Software is maintained, replaced, and removed commensurate with risk.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Technology Lifecycle Management  | SEA-07.1 | Mechanisms exist to manage the usable lifecycles of technology assets.   | 5                        |       |
| PR-PS-02 | N/A      | Software is maintained, replaced, and removed commensurate with risk.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Unsupported Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS)                                     | TAI-17   | Mechanisms exist to prevent unsupported Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) by: (1) Removing and/or replacing TAAS when support for the components is no longer available from the developer, vendor or manufacturer; and (2) Requiring justification and documented approval for the continued use of unsupported TAAS required to satisfy mission/business needs. | 5                        |       |
| PR-PS-02 | N/A      | Software is maintained, replaced, and removed commensurate with risk.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Vulnerability & Patch Management Program (VPM)   | VPM-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation and monitoring of vulnerability management controls.   | 5                        |       |
| PR-PS-02 | N/A      | Software is maintained, replaced, and removed commensurate with risk.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Attack Surface Scope   | VPM-01.1 | Mechanisms exist to define and manage the scope for its attack surface management activities.  | 5                        |       |
| PR-PS-02 | N/A      | Software is maintained, replaced, and removed commensurate with risk.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Vulnerability Remediation Process  | VPM-02   | Mechanisms exist to ensure that vulnerabilities are properly identified, tracked and remediated.   | 5                        |       |
| PR-PS-02 | N/A      | Software is maintained, replaced, and removed commensurate with risk.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Software & Firmware Patching   | VPM-05   | Mechanisms exist to conduct software patching for all deployed Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS), including firmware.   | 5                        |       |
| PR-PS-03 | N/A      | Hardware is maintained, replaced, and removed commensurate with risk.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Maintenance Operations   | MNT-01   | Mechanisms exist to develop, disseminate, review & update procedures to facilitate the implementation of maintenance controls across the enterprise.   | 5                        |       |

| FDE #    | FDE Name | Focal Document Element (FDE) Description   | STRM Rationale | STRM Relationship | SCF Control  | SCF #    | Secure Controls Framework (SCF) Control Description   | Strength of Relationship | Notes |
|----------|----------|--|----------------|-------------------|--|----------|---|--------------------------|-------|
| PR-PS-03 | N/A      | Hardware is maintained, replaced, and removed commensurate with risk.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Controlled Maintenance   | MNT-02   | Mechanisms exist to conduct controlled maintenance activities throughout the lifecycle of the system, application or service.   | 5                        |       |
| PR-PS-03 | N/A      | Hardware is maintained, replaced, and removed commensurate with risk.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Timely Maintenance   | MNT-03   | Mechanisms exist to obtain maintenance support and/or spare parts for Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) within a defined Recovery Time Objective (RTO).  | 5                        |       |
| PR-PS-03 | N/A      | Hardware is maintained, replaced, and removed commensurate with risk.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Preventative Maintenance   | MNT-03.1 | Mechanisms exist to perform preventative maintenance on critical Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS).  | 5                        |       |
| PR-PS-03 | N/A      | Hardware is maintained, replaced, and removed commensurate with risk.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Secure Development Life Cycle (SDLC) Management                    | PRM-07   | Mechanisms exist to ensure changes to Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) within the Secure Development Life Cycle (SDLC) are controlled through formal change control procedures.   | 5                        |       |
| PR-PS-03 | N/A      | Hardware is maintained, replaced, and removed commensurate with risk.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Technology Lifecycle Management                                    | SEA-07.1 | Mechanisms exist to manage the usable lifecycles of technology assets.  | 5                        |       |
| PR-PS-03 | N/A      | Hardware is maintained, replaced, and removed commensurate with risk.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Unsupported Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) | TDA-17   | Mechanisms exist to prevent unsupported Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) by:<br>(1) Removing and/or replacing TAAS when support for the components is no longer available from the developer, vendor or manufacturer; and<br>(2) Requiring justification and documented approval for the continued use of unsupported TAAS required to satisfy mission/business needs.  | 5                        |       |
| PR-PS-04 | N/A      | Log records are generated and made available for continuous monitoring.  | Functional     | Subset Of         | Continuous Monitoring  | MON-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of enterprise-wide monitoring controls.   | 10                       |       |
| PR-PS-04 | N/A      | Log records are generated and made available for continuous monitoring.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | System Generated Alerts  | MON-01.4 | Mechanisms exist to generate, monitor, correlate and respond to alerts from physical, cybersecurity, data privacy and supply chain activities to achieve integrated situational awareness.  | 5                        |       |
| PR-PS-04 | N/A      | Log records are generated and made available for continuous monitoring.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Content of Event Logs  | MON-03   | Mechanisms exist to configure Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) to ensure event logs contain sufficient information to, at a minimum:<br>(1) Establish what type of event occurred;<br>(2) When (date and time) the event occurred;<br>(3) Where the event occurred;<br>(4) The source of the event;<br>(5) The outcome (success or failure) of the event; and<br>(6) The identity of any user/subject associated with the event.                                  | 5                        |       |
| PR-PS-05 | N/A      | Installation and execution of unauthorized software are prevented.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Configuration Management Program                                   | CFG-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of configuration management controls.   | 5                        |       |
| PR-PS-05 | N/A      | Installation and execution of unauthorized software are prevented.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Secure Baseline Configurations                                     | CFG-02   | Mechanisms exist to develop, document and maintain secure baseline configurations for Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) that are consistent with industry-accepted system hardening standards.   | 5                        |       |
| PR-PS-05 | N/A      | Installation and execution of unauthorized software are prevented.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Least Functionality  | CFG-03   | Mechanisms exist to configure systems to provide only essential capabilities by specifically prohibiting or restricting the use of ports, protocols, and/or services.   | 5                        |       |
| PR-PS-05 | N/A      | Installation and execution of unauthorized software are prevented.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Prevent Unauthorized Software Execution                            | CFG-03.2 | Mechanisms exist to configure systems to prevent the execution of unauthorized software programs.   | 5                        |       |
| PR-PS-05 | N/A      | Installation and execution of unauthorized software are prevented.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | User-Installed Software  | CFG-05   | Mechanisms exist to restrict the ability of non-privileged users to install unauthorized software.  | 5                        |       |
| PR-PS-05 | N/A      | Installation and execution of unauthorized software are prevented.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Prohibit Installation Without Privileged Status                    | END-03   | Automated mechanisms exist to prohibit software installations without explicitly assigned privileged status.  | 5                        |       |
| PR-PS-06 | N/A      | Secure software development practices are integrated, and their performance is monitored throughout the software development life cycle.                               | Functional     | Intersects With   | Technology Development & Acquisition                               | TDA-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of tailored development and acquisition strategies, contract tools and procurement methods to meet unique business needs.   | 5                        |       |
| PR-PS-06 | N/A      | Secure software development practices are integrated, and their performance is monitored throughout the software development life cycle.                               | Functional     | Intersects With   | Product Management   | TDA-01.1 | Mechanisms exist to design and implement product management processes to proactively govern the design, development and production of Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) across the System Development Life Cycle (SDLC) to:<br>(1) Improve functionality;<br>(2) Enhance security and resiliency capabilities;<br>(3) Correct security deficiencies; and<br>(4) Conform with applicable statutory, regulatory and/or contractual obligations.                      | 5                        |       |
| PR-PS-06 | N/A      | Secure software development practices are integrated, and their performance is monitored throughout the software development life cycle.                               | Functional     | Intersects With   | Secure Software Development Practices (SSDP)                       | TDA-06   | Mechanisms exist to develop applications based on Secure Software Development Practices (SSDP).   | 5                        |       |
| PR-PS-06 | N/A      | Secure software development practices are integrated, and their performance is monitored throughout the software development life cycle.                               | Functional     | Intersects With   | Criticality Analysis   | TDA-06.1 | Mechanisms exist to require the developer of the system, system component or service to perform a criticality analysis at organization-defined decision points in the Secure Development Life Cycle (SDLC).   | 5                        |       |
| PR-PS-06 | N/A      | Secure software development practices are integrated, and their performance is monitored throughout the software development life cycle.                               | Functional     | Intersects With   | Threat Modeling  | TDA-06.2 | Mechanisms exist to perform threat modeling and other secure design techniques, to ensure that threats to software and solutions are identified and accounted for.  | 5                        |       |
| PR-PS-06 | N/A      | Secure software development practices are integrated, and their performance is monitored throughout the software development life cycle.                               | Functional     | Intersects With   | Software Assurance Maturity Model (SAMM)                           | TDA-06.3 | Mechanisms exist to utilize a Software Assurance Maturity Model (SAMM) to govern a secure development lifecycle for the development of Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS).  | 5                        |       |
| PR-PS-06 | N/A      | Secure software development practices are integrated, and their performance is monitored throughout the software development life cycle.                               | Functional     | Intersects With   | Cybersecurity & Data Protection Testing Throughout Development     | TDA-09   | Mechanisms exist to require system developer/integrators consult with cybersecurity and data protection personnel to:<br>(1) Create and implement a Security Testing and Evaluation (ST&E) plan, or similar capability;<br>(2) Implement a verifiable flaw remediation process to correct weaknesses and deficiencies identified during the security testing and evaluation process; and<br>(3) Document the results of the security testing/evaluation and flaw remediation processes. | 5                        |       |
| PR-IR    | N/A      | Security architectures are managed with the organization's risk strategy to protect asset confidentiality, integrity, and availability, and organizational resilience. | Functional     | Subset Of         | Cybersecurity & Data Protection Governance Program                 | GOV-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of cybersecurity and data protection governance controls.   | 10                       |       |
| PR-IR    | N/A      | Security architectures are managed with the organization's risk strategy to protect asset confidentiality, integrity, and availability, and organizational resilience. | Functional     | Intersects With   | Steering Committee & Program Oversight                             | GOV-01.1 | Mechanisms exist to coordinate cybersecurity, data protection and business alignment through a steering committee or advisory board, comprised of key cybersecurity, data privacy and business executives, which meets formally and on a regular basis.   | 5                        |       |
| PR-IR    | N/A      | Security architectures are managed with the organization's risk strategy to protect asset confidentiality, integrity, and availability, and organizational resilience. | Functional     | Intersects With   | Risk Management Program  | RSK-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of strategic, operational and tactical risk management controls.  | 5                        |       |
| PR-IR    | N/A      | Security architectures are managed with the organization's risk strategy to protect asset confidentiality, integrity, and availability, and organizational resilience. | Functional     | Subset Of         | Secure Engineering Principles                                      | SEA-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of industry-recognized cybersecurity and data protection practices in the specification, design, development, implementation and modification of Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS).  | 10                       |       |
| PR-IR    | N/A      | Security architectures are managed with the organization's risk strategy to protect asset confidentiality, integrity, and availability, and organizational resilience. | Functional     | Intersects With   | Centralized Management of Cybersecurity & Data Protection Controls | SEA-01.1 | Mechanisms exist to centrally-manage the organization-wide management and implementation of cybersecurity and data protection controls and related processes.   | 5                        |       |
| PR-IR    | N/A      | Security architectures are managed with the organization's risk strategy to protect asset confidentiality, integrity, and availability, and organizational resilience. | Functional     | Intersects With   | Achieving Resilience Requirements                                  | SEA-01.2 | Mechanisms exist to achieve resilience requirements in normal and adverse situations.   | 5                        |       |
| PR-IR    | N/A      | Security architectures are managed with the organization's risk strategy to protect asset confidentiality, integrity, and availability, and organizational resilience. | Functional     | Intersects With   | Alignment With Enterprise Architecture                             | SEA-02   | Mechanisms exist to develop an enterprise architecture, aligned with industry-recognized best practices, with consideration for cybersecurity and data protection principles that addresses risk to organizational operations, assets, individuals, other organizations.  | 5                        |       |
| PR-IR-01 | N/A      | Networks and environments are protected from unauthorized logical access and usage.  | Functional     | Subset Of         | Network Security Controls (NSC)                                    | NET-01   | Mechanisms exist to develop, govern & update procedures to facilitate the implementation of Network Security Controls (NSC).  | 10                       |       |
| PR-IR-01 | N/A      | Networks and environments are protected from unauthorized logical access and usage.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Layered Network Defenses   | NET-02   | Mechanisms exist to implement security functions as a layered structure that minimizes interactions between layers of the design and avoids any dependence by lower layers on the functionality or correctness of higher layers.  | 5                        |       |
| PR-IR-01 | N/A      | Networks and environments are protected from unauthorized logical access and usage.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Secure Engineering Principles                                      | SEA-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of industry-recognized cybersecurity and data protection practices in the specification, design, development, implementation and modification of Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS).  | 5                        |       |
| PR-IR-01 | N/A      | Networks and environments are protected from unauthorized logical access and usage.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Alignment With Enterprise Architecture                             | SEA-02   | Mechanisms exist to develop an enterprise architecture, aligned with industry-recognized best practices, with consideration for cybersecurity and data protection principles that addresses risk to organizational operations, assets, individuals, other organizations.  | 5                        |       |
| PR-IR-02 | N/A      | The organization's technology assets are protected from environmental threats.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Business Continuity Management System (BCMS)                       | BCD-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of contingency planning controls to help ensure resilient Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) (e.g., Continuity of Operations Plan (COOP) or Business Continuity & Disaster Recovery (BC/DR) playbooks).   | 5                        |       |
| PR-IR-02 | N/A      | The organization's technology assets are protected from environmental threats.   | Functional     | Subset Of         | Physical & Environmental Protections                               | PE5-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the operation of physical and environmental protection controls.   | 10                       |       |
| PR-IR-02 | N/A      | The organization's technology assets are protected from environmental threats.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Supporting Utilities   | PE5-07   | Facility security mechanisms exist to protect power equipment and power cabling for the system from damage and destruction.   | 5                        |       |
| PR-IR-02 | N/A      | The organization's technology assets are protected from environmental threats.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Water Damage Protection  | PE5-07.5 | Facility security mechanisms exist to protect systems from damage resulting from water leakage by providing master shutoff valves that are accessible, working properly and known to key personnel.   | 5                        |       |
| PR-IR-02 | N/A      | The organization's technology assets are protected from environmental threats.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Fire Protection  | PE5-08   | Facility security mechanisms exist to utilize and maintain fire suppression and detection devices/systems for the system that are supported by an independent energy source.  | 5                        |       |
| PR-IR-02 | N/A      | The organization's technology assets are protected from environmental threats.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Temperature & Humidity Controls                                    | PE5-09   | Facility security mechanisms exist to maintain and monitor temperature and humidity levels within the facility.   | 5                        |       |
| PR-IR-02 | N/A      | The organization's technology assets are protected from environmental threats.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Achieving Resilience Requirements                                  | SEA-01.2 | Mechanisms exist to achieve resilience requirements in normal and adverse situations.   | 5                        |       |
| PR-IR-02 | N/A      | The organization's technology assets are protected from environmental threats.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Threat Catalog   | THR-09   | Mechanisms exist to develop and keep current a catalog of applicable internal and external threats to the organization, both natural and manmade.   | 5                        |       |
| PR-IR-03 | N/A      | Mechanisms are implemented to achieve resilience requirements in normal and adverse situations.  | Functional     | Subset Of         | Business Continuity Management System (BCMS)                       | BCD-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of contingency planning controls to help ensure resilient Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) (e.g., Continuity of Operations Plan (COOP) or Business Continuity & Disaster Recovery (BC/DR) playbooks).   | 10                       |       |
| PR-IR-03 | N/A      | Mechanisms are implemented to achieve resilience requirements in normal and adverse situations.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Secure Engineering Principles                                      | SEA-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of industry-recognized cybersecurity and data protection practices in the specification, design, development, implementation and modification of Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS).  | 5                        |       |
| PR-IR-03 | N/A      | Mechanisms are implemented to achieve resilience requirements in normal and adverse situations.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Alignment With Enterprise Architecture                             | SEA-02   | Mechanisms exist to develop an enterprise architecture, aligned with industry-recognized best practices, with consideration for cybersecurity and data protection principles that addresses risk to organizational operations, assets, individuals, other organizations.  | 5                        |       |
| PR-IR-03 | N/A      | Mechanisms are implemented to achieve resilience requirements in normal and adverse situations.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Achieving Resilience Requirements                                  | SEA-01.2 | Mechanisms exist to achieve resilience requirements in normal and adverse situations.   | 5                        |       |
| PR-IR-04 | N/A      | Adequate resource capacity to ensure availability is maintained.   | Functional     | Subset Of         | Capacity & Performance Management                                  | CAP-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of capacity management controls to ensure optimal system performance to meet expected and anticipated future capacity requirements.   | 10                       |       |
| PR-IR-04 | N/A      | Adequate resource capacity to ensure availability is maintained.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Resource Priority  | CAP-02   | Mechanisms exist to control resource utilization of Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) that are susceptible to Denial of Service (DoS) attacks to limit and prioritize the use of resources.  | 5                        |       |
| PR-IR-04 | N/A      | Adequate resource capacity to ensure availability is maintained.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Capacity Planning  | CAP-03   | Mechanisms exist to conduct capacity planning so that necessary capacity for information processing, telecommunications and environmental support will exist during contingency operations.   | 5                        |       |
| PR-IR-04 | N/A      | Adequate resource capacity to ensure availability is maintained.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Performance Monitoring   | CAP-04   | Automated mechanisms exist to centrally-monitor and alert on the operating state and health status of critical Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS).  | 5                        |       |
| PR-IR-04 | N/A      | Adequate resource capacity to ensure availability is maintained.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Elastic Expansion  | CAP-05   | Mechanisms exist to automatically scale the resources available for Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS), as demand conditions change.  | 5                        |       |
| DE       | N/A      | Possible cybersecurity attacks and compromises are found and analyzed.   | Functional     | Subset Of         | Threat Intelligence Feeds Program                                  | THR-01   | Mechanisms exist to implement a threat intelligence program that includes a cross-organization information-sharing capability that can influence the development of the system and security architectures, selection of security solutions, monitoring, threat hunting, response and recovery activities.   | 10                       |       |
| DE       | N/A      | Possible cybersecurity attacks and compromises are found and analyzed.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Indicators of Exposure (IOE)                                       | THR-02   | Mechanisms exist to develop indicators of Exposure (IOE) to understand the potential threat vectors that attackers could use to attack the organization.  | 5                        |       |
| DE       | N/A      | Possible cybersecurity attacks and compromises are found and analyzed.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Threat Intelligence Feeds  | THR-03   | Mechanisms exist to maintain situational awareness of vulnerabilities and evolving threats by leveraging the knowledge of attacker tactics, techniques and procedures to facilitate the implementation of preventative and compensating controls.   | 5                        |       |
| DE       | N/A      | Possible cybersecurity attacks and compromises are found and analyzed.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Threat Hunting   | THR-07   | Mechanisms exist to perform cyber threat hunting that uses Indicators of Compromise (IOC) to detect, track and disrupt threats that evade existing security controls.   | 5                        |       |
| DE       | N/A      | Possible cybersecurity attacks and compromises are found and analyzed.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Threat Catalog   | THR-09   | Mechanisms exist to develop and keep current a catalog of applicable internal and external threats to the organization, both natural and manmade.   | 5                        |       |
| DE       | N/A      | Possible cybersecurity attacks and compromises are found and analyzed.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Threat Analysis  | THR-10   | Mechanisms exist to identify, assess, prioritize and document the potential impacts and likelihoods of applicable internal and external threats.  | 5                        |       |

| FDE #    | FDE Name | Focal Document Element (FDE) Description  | STRM Rationale | STRM Relationship | SCF Control  | SCF #     | Secure Controls Framework (SCF) Control Description   | Strength of Relationship | Notes |
|----------|----------|---|----------------|-------------------|--|-----------|---|--------------------------|-------|
| DE-CM    | N/A      | Assets are monitored to find anomalies, indicators of compromise, and other potentially adverse events.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Monitoring for Indicators of Compromise (IOC)        | MON-11.3  | Automated mechanisms exist to identify and alert on indicators of compromise (IOC).   | 5                        |       |
| DE-CM    | N/A      | Assets are monitored to find anomalies, indicators of compromise, and other potentially adverse events.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Anomalous Behavior                                   | MON-16    | Mechanisms exist to utilize User & Entity Behavior Analytics (UEBA) and/or User Activity Monitoring (UAM) solutions to detect and respond to anomalous behavior that could indicate account compromise or other malicious activities.   | 5                        |       |
| DE-CM    | N/A      | Assets are monitored to find anomalies, indicators of compromise, and other potentially adverse events.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Indicators of Compromise (IOC)                       | IRO-03    | Mechanisms exist to define specific indicators of compromise (IOC) to identify the signs of potential cybersecurity events.   | 5                        |       |
| DE-CM    | N/A      | Assets are monitored to find anomalies, indicators of compromise, and other potentially adverse events.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Indicators of Exposure (IOE)                         | THR-02    | Mechanisms exist to develop indicators of exposure (IOE) to understand the potential for threats that attackers could use to attack the organization.   | 5                        |       |
| DE-CM-01 | N/A      | Networks and network services are monitored to find potentially adverse events.   | Functional     | Subset Of         | Continuous Monitoring                                | MON-01    | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of enterprise-wide monitoring controls.   | 10                       |       |
| DE-CM-01 | N/A      | Networks and network services are monitored to find potentially adverse events.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Intrusion Detection & Prevention Systems (IDS & IPS) | MON-01.1  | Mechanisms exist to implement Intrusion Detection / Prevention Systems (IDS / IPS) technologies on critical systems, key network segments and network choke points.   | 5                        |       |
| DE-CM-01 | N/A      | Networks and network services are monitored to find potentially adverse events.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Inbound & Outbound Communications Traffic            | MON-01.3  | Mechanisms exist to continuously monitor inbound and outbound communications traffic for unusual or unauthorized activities or conditions.  | 5                        |       |
| DE-CM-01 | N/A      | Networks and network services are monitored to find potentially adverse events.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | System Generated Alerts                              | MON-01.4  | Mechanisms exist to generate, monitor, correlate and respond to alerts from physical, cybersecurity, data privacy and supply chain activities to achieve integrated situational awareness.  | 5                        |       |
| DE-CM-01 | N/A      | Networks and network services are monitored to find potentially adverse events.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Security Event Monitoring                            | MON-01.8  | Mechanisms exist to review event logs on an ongoing basis and escalate incidents in accordance with established timelines and procedures.   | 5                        |       |
| DE-CM-02 | N/A      | The physical environment is monitored to find potentially adverse events.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Physical & Environmental Protections                 | PES-01    | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the operation of physical and environmental protection controls.   | 5                        |       |
| DE-CM-02 | N/A      | The physical environment is monitored to find potentially adverse events.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Physical Access Control                              | PES-03    | Physical access control mechanisms exist to enforce physical access authorizations for all physical access points (including designated entry/exit points) to facilities (excluding those areas within the facility officially designated as publicly accessible).  | 5                        |       |
| DE-CM-02 | N/A      | The physical environment is monitored to find potentially adverse events.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Physical Access Logs                                 | PES-03.3  | Physical access control mechanisms generate a log entry for each access attempt through controlled ingress and egress points.   | 5                        |       |
| DE-CM-02 | N/A      | The physical environment is monitored to find potentially adverse events.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Monitoring Physical Access                           | PES-05    | Physical access control mechanisms exist to monitor for, detect and respond to physical security incidents.   | 5                        |       |
| DE-CM-03 | N/A      | Personnel activity and technology usage are monitored to find potentially adverse events.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Continuous Monitoring                                | MON-01    | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of enterprise-wide monitoring controls.   | 5                        |       |
| DE-CM-03 | N/A      | Personnel activity and technology usage are monitored to find potentially adverse events.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Anomalous Behavior                                   | MON-16    | Mechanisms exist to utilize User & Entity Behavior Analytics (UEBA) and/or User Activity Monitoring (UAM) solutions to detect and respond to anomalous behavior that could indicate account compromise or other malicious activities.   | 5                        |       |
| DE-CM-03 | N/A      | Personnel activity and technology usage are monitored to find potentially adverse events.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Insider Threats                                      | MON-16.1  | Mechanisms exist to monitor internal personnel activity for potential security incidents.   | 5                        |       |
| DE-CM-03 | N/A      | Personnel activity and technology usage are monitored to find potentially adverse events.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Unauthorized Activities                              | MON-16.3  | Mechanisms exist to monitor for unauthorized activities, accounts, connections, devices and software.   | 5                        |       |
| DE-CM-03 | N/A      | Personnel activity and technology usage are monitored to find potentially adverse events.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | DNS & Content Filtering                              | NET-18    | Mechanisms exist to force internet-bound network traffic through a proxy device (e.g., Proxy Enforcement Point (PEP)) for URL content filtering and DNS filtering to limit a user's ability to connect to dangerous or prohibited internet sites.   | 5                        |       |
| DE-CM-06 | N/A      | External service provider activities and services are monitored to find potentially adverse events.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Continuous Monitoring                                | MON-01    | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of enterprise-wide monitoring controls.   | 5                        |       |
| DE-CM-06 | N/A      | External service provider activities and services are monitored to find potentially adverse events.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Third-Party Threats                                  | MON-16.2  | Mechanisms exist to monitor third-party personnel activity for potential security incidents.  | 5                        |       |
| DE-CM-06 | N/A      | External service provider activities and services are monitored to find potentially adverse events.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Account Creation and Modification Logging            | MON-16.4  | Automated mechanisms exist to generate event logs for permissions changes to privileged accounts and/or groups.   | 5                        |       |
| DE-CM-09 | N/A      | Computing hardware and software, runtime environments, and their data are monitored to find potentially adverse events.                               | Functional     | Intersects With   | Continuous Monitoring                                | MON-01    | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of enterprise-wide monitoring controls.   | 5                        |       |
| DE-CM-09 | N/A      | Computing hardware and software, runtime environments, and their data are monitored to find potentially adverse events.                               | Functional     | Intersects With   | File Integrity Monitoring (FIM)                      | MON-01.7  | Mechanisms exist to utilize a File Integrity Monitor (FIM), or similar change-detection technology, on critical assets to generate alerts for unauthorized modifications.   | 5                        |       |
| DE-CM-09 | N/A      | Computing hardware and software, runtime environments, and their data are monitored to find potentially adverse events.                               | Functional     | Intersects With   | Enterprise Device Management (EDM)                   | END-01    | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of Enterprise Device Management (EDM) controls.   | 5                        |       |
| DE-CM-09 | N/A      | Computing hardware and software, runtime environments, and their data are monitored to find potentially adverse events.                               | Functional     | Intersects With   | Malicious Code Protection (Anti-Malware)             | END-04    | Mechanisms exist to utilize anti-malware technologies to detect and eradicate malicious code.   | 5                        |       |
| DE-CM-09 | N/A      | Computing hardware and software, runtime environments, and their data are monitored to find potentially adverse events.                               | Functional     | Intersects With   | Endpoint File Integrity Monitoring (FIM)             | END-06    | Mechanisms exist to utilize File Integrity Monitor (FIM), or similar technologies, to detect and report on unauthorized changes to selected files and configuration settings.   | 5                        |       |
| DE-AE    | N/A      | Anomalies, indicators of compromise, and other potentially adverse events are analyzed to characterize the events and detect cybersecurity incidents. | Functional     | Intersects With   | Continuous Monitoring                                | MON-01    | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of enterprise-wide monitoring controls.   | 5                        |       |
| DE-AE    | N/A      | Anomalies, indicators of compromise, and other potentially adverse events are analyzed to characterize the events and detect cybersecurity incidents. | Functional     | Intersects With   | Security Event Monitoring                            | MON-01.8  | Mechanisms exist to review event logs on an ongoing basis and escalate incidents in accordance with established timelines and procedures.   | 5                        |       |
| DE-AE    | N/A      | Anomalies, indicators of compromise, and other potentially adverse events are analyzed to characterize the events and detect cybersecurity incidents. | Functional     | Intersects With   | Automated Alerts                                     | MON-01.12 | Mechanisms exist to automatically alert incident response personnel to inappropriate or anomalous activities that have potential security incident implications.  | 5                        |       |
| DE-AE    | N/A      | Anomalies, indicators of compromise, and other potentially adverse events are analyzed to characterize the events and detect cybersecurity incidents. | Functional     | Subset Of         | Incident Response Operations                         | IRO-01    | Mechanisms exist to implement and govern processes and documentation to facilitate an organization-wide response capability for cybersecurity and data protection-related incidents.  | 10                       |       |
| DE-AE    | N/A      | Anomalies, indicators of compromise, and other potentially adverse events are analyzed to characterize the events and detect cybersecurity incidents. | Functional     | Intersects With   | Incident Handling                                    | IRO-02    | Mechanisms exist to cover:<br>(1) Preparation;<br>(2) Automated event detection or manual incident report intake;<br>(3) Analysis;<br>(4) Containment;<br>(5) Eradication; and<br>(6) Recovery.   | 5                        |       |
| DE-AE    | N/A      | Anomalies, indicators of compromise, and other potentially adverse events are analyzed to characterize the events and detect cybersecurity incidents. | Functional     | Intersects With   | Incident Classification & Prioritization             | IRO-02.4  | Mechanisms exist to identify classes of incidents and actions to take to ensure the continuation of organizational missions and business functions.   | 5                        |       |
| DE-AE-02 | N/A      | Potentially adverse events are analyzed to better understand associated activities.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Incident Handling                                    | IRO-02    | Mechanisms exist to cover:<br>(1) Preparation;<br>(2) Automated event detection or manual incident report intake;<br>(3) Analysis;<br>(4) Containment;<br>(5) Eradication; and<br>(6) Recovery.   | 5                        |       |
| DE-AE-02 | N/A      | Potentially adverse events are analyzed to better understand associated activities.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Incident Classification & Prioritization             | IRO-02.4  | Mechanisms exist to identify classes of incidents and actions to take to ensure the continuation of organizational missions and business functions.   | 5                        |       |
| DE-AE-03 | N/A      | Information is correlated from multiple sources.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Centralized Collection of Security Event Logs        | MON-02    | Mechanisms exist to utilize a Security Incident Event Manager (SIEM) or similar automated tool, to support the centralized collection of security-related event logs.   | 8                        |       |
| DE-AE-03 | N/A      | Information is correlated from multiple sources.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Correlate Monitoring Information                     | MON-02.1  | Automated mechanisms exist to correlate both technical and non-technical information from across the enterprise by a Security Incident Event Manager (SIEM) or similar automated tool, to enhance organization-wide situational awareness.  | 10                       |       |
| DE-AE-03 | N/A      | Information is correlated from multiple sources.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Incident Handling                                    | IRO-02    | Mechanisms exist to cover:<br>(1) Preparation;<br>(2) Automated event detection or manual incident report intake;<br>(3) Analysis;<br>(4) Containment;<br>(5) Eradication; and<br>(6) Recovery.   | 3                        |       |
| DE-AE-03 | N/A      | Information is correlated from multiple sources.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Correlation with External Organizations              | IRO-02.5  | Mechanisms exist to coordinate with approved third-parties to achieve a cross-organization perspective on incident awareness and more effective incident responses.   | 5                        |       |
| DE-AE-04 | N/A      | The estimated impact and scope of adverse events are understood.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Incident Handling                                    | IRO-02    | Mechanisms exist to cover:<br>(1) Preparation;<br>(2) Automated event detection or manual incident report intake;<br>(3) Analysis;<br>(4) Containment;<br>(5) Eradication; and<br>(6) Recovery.   | 5                        |       |
| DE-AE-04 | N/A      | The estimated impact and scope of adverse events are understood.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Incident Classification & Prioritization             | IRO-02.4  | Mechanisms exist to identify classes of incidents and actions to take to ensure the continuation of organizational missions and business functions.   | 5                        |       |
| DE-AE-04 | N/A      | The estimated impact and scope of adverse events are understood.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Materiality Determination                            | GOV-16    | Mechanisms exist to define materiality threshold criteria capable of designating an incident material.  | 5                        |       |
| DE-AE-06 | N/A      | Information on adverse events is provided to authorized staff and tools.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Security Event Monitoring                            | MON-01.8  | Mechanisms exist to review event logs on an ongoing basis and escalate incidents in accordance with established timelines and procedures.   | 5                        |       |
| DE-AE-06 | N/A      | Information on adverse events is provided to authorized staff and tools.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Automated Alerts                                     | MON-01.12 | Mechanisms exist to automatically alert incident response personnel to inappropriate or anomalous activities that have potential security incident implications.  | 5                        |       |
| DE-AE-06 | N/A      | Information on adverse events is provided to authorized staff and tools.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Centralized Collection of Security Event Logs        | MON-02    | Mechanisms exist to utilize a Security Incident Event Manager (SIEM) or similar automated tool, to support the centralized collection of security-related event logs.   | 5                        |       |
| DE-AE-06 | N/A      | Information on adverse events is provided to authorized staff and tools.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Correlate Monitoring Information                     | MON-02.1  | Automated mechanisms exist to correlate both technical and non-technical information from across the enterprise by a Security Incident Event Manager (SIEM) or similar automated tool, to enhance organization-wide situational awareness.  | 5                        |       |
| DE-AE-06 | N/A      | Information on adverse events is provided to authorized staff and tools.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Incident Handling                                    | IRO-02    | Mechanisms exist to cover:<br>(1) Preparation;<br>(2) Automated event detection or manual incident report intake;<br>(3) Analysis;<br>(4) Containment;<br>(5) Eradication; and<br>(6) Recovery.   | 5                        |       |
| DE-AE-06 | N/A      | Information on adverse events is provided to authorized staff and tools.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Incident Classification & Prioritization             | IRO-02.4  | Mechanisms exist to identify classes of incidents and actions to take to ensure the continuation of organizational missions and business functions.   | 5                        |       |
| DE-AE-06 | N/A      | Information on adverse events is provided to authorized staff and tools.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Incident Response Plan (IRP)                         | IRO-04    | Mechanisms exist to maintain and make available a current and viable Incident Response Plan (IRP) to all stakeholders.  | 5                        |       |
| DE-AE-06 | N/A      | Information on adverse events is provided to authorized staff and tools.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Integrated Security Incident Response Team (ISIRT)   | IRO-07    | Mechanisms exist to establish an integrated team of cybersecurity, IT and business function representatives that are capable of addressing cybersecurity and data protection incident response operations.  | 5                        |       |
| DE-AE-06 | N/A      | Information on adverse events is provided to authorized staff and tools.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Situational Awareness For Incidents                  | IRO-09    | Mechanisms exist to document, monitor and report the status of cybersecurity and data protection incidents to internal stakeholders all the way through the resolution of the incident.   | 5                        |       |
| DE-AE-06 | N/A      | Information on adverse events is provided to authorized staff and tools.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Incident Stakeholder Reporting                       | IRO-10    | Mechanisms exist to timely-report incidents to applicable:<br>(1) Internal stakeholders;<br>(2) Affected clients & third-parties; and<br>(3) Regulatory authorities.  | 5                        |       |
| DE-AE-07 | N/A      | Cyber threat intelligence and other contextual information are integrated into the analysis.  | Functional     | Subset Of         | Threat Intelligence Feeds Program                    | THR-01    | Mechanisms exist to implement a threat intelligence program that includes a cross-organization information-sharing capability that can influence the development of the system and security architectures, selection of security solutions, monitoring, threat hunting, response and recovery activities. | 10                       |       |
| DE-AE-07 | N/A      | Cyber threat intelligence and other contextual information are integrated into the analysis.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Threat Intelligence Feeds                            | THR-03    | Mechanisms exist to maintain situational awareness of vulnerabilities and evolving threats by leveraging the knowledge of attacker tactics, techniques and procedures to facilitate the implementation of preventative and compensating controls.   | 5                        |       |
| DE-AE-07 | N/A      | Cyber threat intelligence and other contextual information are integrated into the analysis.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Threat Analysis                                      | THR-10    | Mechanisms exist to identify, assess, prioritize and document the potential impact(s) and likelihood(s) of applicable internal and external threats.  | 5                        |       |
| DE-AE-08 | N/A      | Incidents are declared when adverse events meet the defined incident criteria.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Incident Handling                                    | IRO-02    | Mechanisms exist to cover:<br>(1) Preparation;<br>(2) Automated event detection or manual incident report intake;<br>(3) Analysis;<br>(4) Containment;<br>(5) Eradication; and<br>(6) Recovery.   | 5                        |       |
| DE-AE-08 | N/A      | Incidents are declared when adverse events meet the defined incident criteria.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Incident Classification & Prioritization             | IRO-02.4  | Mechanisms exist to identify classes of incidents and actions to take to ensure the continuation of organizational missions and business functions.   | 5                        |       |
| RS       | N/A      | Actions regarding a detected cybersecurity incident are taken.  | Functional     | Subset Of         | Incident Response Operations                         | IRO-01    | Mechanisms exist to implement and govern processes and documentation to facilitate an organization-wide response capability for cybersecurity and data protection-related incidents.  | 10                       |       |

| FDE #    | FDE Name | Focal Document Element (FDE) Description   | STRM Rationale | STRM Relationship | SCF Control  | SCF #    | Secure Controls Framework (SCF) Control Description   | Strength of Relationship | Notes |
|----------|----------|--|----------------|-------------------|--|----------|---|--------------------------|-------|
| RS       | N/A      | Actions regarding a detected cybersecurity incident are taken.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Incident Handling                                  | IRO-02   | Mechanisms exist to cover:<br>(1) Preparation;<br>(2) Automated event detection or manual incident report intake;<br>(3) Analysis;<br>(4) Containment;<br>(5) Eradication; and<br>(6) Recovery.   | 5                        |       |
| RS       | N/A      | Actions regarding a detected cybersecurity incident are taken.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Incident Response Plan (IRP)                       | IRO-04   | Mechanisms exist to maintain and make available a current and viable Incident Response Plan (IRP) to all stakeholders.  | 5                        |       |
| RS       | N/A      | Actions regarding a detected cybersecurity incident are taken.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Integrated Security Incident Response Team (ISIRT) | IRO-07   | Mechanisms exist to establish an integrated team of cybersecurity, IT and business function representatives that are capable of addressing cybersecurity and data protection incident response operations.  | 5                        |       |
| RS       | N/A      | Actions regarding a detected cybersecurity incident are taken.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Situational Awareness For Incidents                | IRO-09   | Mechanisms exist to document, monitor and report the status of cybersecurity and data protection incidents to internal stakeholders all the way through the resolution of the incident.   | 5                        |       |
| RS       | N/A      | Actions regarding a detected cybersecurity incident are taken.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Incident Stakeholder Reporting                     | IRO-10   | Mechanisms exist to timely-report incidents to applicable:<br>(1) Internal stakeholders;<br>(2) Affected clients & third parties; and<br>(3) Regulatory authorities.  | 5                        |       |
| RS.MA    | N/A      | Responses to detected cybersecurity incidents are managed.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Incident Handling                                  | IRO-02   | Mechanisms exist to cover:<br>(1) Preparation;<br>(2) Automated event detection or manual incident report intake;<br>(3) Analysis;<br>(4) Containment;<br>(5) Eradication; and<br>(6) Recovery.   | 5                        |       |
| RS.MA    | N/A      | Responses to detected cybersecurity incidents are managed.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Incident Response Plan (IRP)                       | IRO-04   | Mechanisms exist to maintain and make available a current and viable Incident Response Plan (IRP) to all stakeholders.  | 5                        |       |
| RS.MA    | N/A      | Responses to detected cybersecurity incidents are managed.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Integrated Security Incident Response Team (ISIRT) | IRO-07   | Mechanisms exist to establish an integrated team of cybersecurity, IT and business function representatives that are capable of addressing cybersecurity and data protection incident response operations.  | 5                        |       |
| RS.MA-01 | N/A      | The incident response plan is executed in coordination with relevant third parties once an incident is declared.           | Functional     | Intersects With   | Incident Handling                                  | IRO-02   | Mechanisms exist to cover:<br>(1) Preparation;<br>(2) Automated event detection or manual incident report intake;<br>(3) Analysis;<br>(4) Containment;<br>(5) Eradication; and<br>(6) Recovery.   | 5                        |       |
| RS.MA-01 | N/A      | The incident response plan is executed in coordination with relevant third parties once an incident is declared.           | Functional     | Intersects With   | Correlation with External Organizations            | IRO-02.5 | Mechanisms exist to coordinate with approved third parties to achieve a cross-organization perspective on incident awareness and more effective incident responses.   | 5                        |       |
| RS.MA-01 | N/A      | The incident response plan is executed in coordination with relevant third parties once an incident is declared.           | Functional     | Intersects With   | Incident Response Plan (IRP)                       | IRO-04   | Mechanisms exist to maintain and make available a current and viable Incident Response Plan (IRP) to all stakeholders.  | 5                        |       |
| RS.MA-01 | N/A      | The incident response plan is executed in coordination with relevant third parties once an incident is declared.           | Functional     | Intersects With   | Integrated Security Incident Response Team (ISIRT) | IRO-07   | Mechanisms exist to establish an integrated team of cybersecurity, IT and business function representatives that are capable of addressing cybersecurity and data protection incident response operations.  | 5                        |       |
| RS.MA-01 | N/A      | The incident response plan is executed in coordination with relevant third parties once an incident is declared.           | Functional     | Intersects With   | Incident Stakeholder Reporting                     | IRO-10   | Mechanisms exist to timely-report incidents to applicable:<br>(1) Internal stakeholders;<br>(2) Affected clients & third parties; and<br>(3) Regulatory authorities.  | 5                        |       |
| RS.MA-02 | N/A      | Incident reports are triaged and validated.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Incident Handling                                  | IRO-02   | Mechanisms exist to cover:<br>(1) Preparation;<br>(2) Automated event detection or manual incident report intake;<br>(3) Analysis;<br>(4) Containment;<br>(5) Eradication; and<br>(6) Recovery.   | 5                        |       |
| RS.MA-02 | N/A      | Incident reports are triaged and validated.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Incident Response Plan (IRP)                       | IRO-04   | Mechanisms exist to maintain and make available a current and viable Incident Response Plan (IRP) to all stakeholders.  | 5                        |       |
| RS.MA-03 | N/A      | Incidents are categorized and prioritized.   | Functional     | Equal             | Incident Classification & Prioritization           | IRO-02.4 | Mechanisms exist to identify classes of incidents and actions to take to ensure the continuation of organizational missions and business functions.   | 10                       |       |
| RS.MA-04 | N/A      | Incidents are escalated or elevated as needed.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Incident Handling                                  | IRO-02   | Mechanisms exist to cover:<br>(1) Preparation;<br>(2) Automated event detection or manual incident report intake;<br>(3) Analysis;<br>(4) Containment;<br>(5) Eradication; and<br>(6) Recovery.   | 5                        |       |
| RS.MA-04 | N/A      | Incidents are escalated or elevated as needed.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Incident Response Plan (IRP)                       | IRO-04   | Mechanisms exist to maintain and make available a current and viable Incident Response Plan (IRP) to all stakeholders.  | 5                        |       |
| RS.MA-04 | N/A      | Incidents are escalated or elevated as needed.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Integrated Security Incident Response Team (ISIRT) | IRO-07   | Mechanisms exist to establish an integrated team of cybersecurity, IT and business function representatives that are capable of addressing cybersecurity and data protection incident response operations.  | 5                        |       |
| RS.MA-05 | N/A      | The criteria for initiating incident recovery are applied.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Business Continuity Management System (BCMS)       | BCD-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of contingency planning controls to ensure resilient Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) (e.g., Continuity of Operations Plan (COOP) or Business Continuity & Disaster Recovery (BC/DR) playbooks).                  | 5                        |       |
| RS.MA-05 | N/A      | The criteria for initiating incident recovery are applied.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Recovery Operations Criteria                       | BCD-01.5 | Mechanisms exist to define specific criteria necessary that must be met to execute Disaster Recovery / Business Continuity (BC/DR) plans to facilitate business continuity operations capable of meeting applicable Recovery Time Objectives (RTOs) and Recovery Point Objectives (RPOs). | 5                        |       |
| RS.AN    | N/A      | Investigations are conducted to ensure effective response and support forensics and recovery activities.                   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Incident Handling                                  | IRO-02   | Mechanisms exist to cover:<br>(1) Preparation;<br>(2) Automated event detection or manual incident report intake;<br>(3) Analysis;<br>(4) Containment;<br>(5) Eradication; and<br>(6) Recovery.   | 5                        |       |
| RS.AN    | N/A      | Investigations are conducted to ensure effective response and support forensics and recovery activities.                   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Chain of Custody & Forensics                       | IRO-08   | Mechanisms exist to perform digital forensics and maintain the integrity of the chain of custody, in accordance with applicable laws, regulations and industry-recognized secure practices.   | 5                        |       |
| RS.AN-03 | N/A      | Analysis is performed to establish what has taken place during an incident and the root cause of the incident.             | Functional     | Equal             | Root Cause Analysis (RCA) & Lessons Learned        | IRO-13   | Mechanisms exist to incorporate lessons learned from analyzing and resolving cybersecurity and data protection incidents to reduce the likelihood or impact of future incidents.  | 10                       |       |
| RS.AN-06 | N/A      | Actions performed during an investigation are recorded, and the records' integrity and provenance are preserved.           | Functional     | Intersects With   | Incident Handling                                  | IRO-02   | Mechanisms exist to cover:<br>(1) Preparation;<br>(2) Automated event detection or manual incident report intake;<br>(3) Analysis;<br>(4) Containment;<br>(5) Eradication; and<br>(6) Recovery.   | 5                        |       |
| RS.AN-06 | N/A      | Actions performed during an investigation are recorded, and the records' integrity and provenance are preserved.           | Functional     | Intersects With   | Chain of Custody & Forensics                       | IRO-08   | Mechanisms exist to perform digital forensics and maintain the integrity of the chain of custody, in accordance with applicable laws, regulations and industry-recognized secure practices.   | 5                        |       |
| RS.AN-06 | N/A      | Actions performed during an investigation are recorded, and the records' integrity and provenance are preserved.           | Functional     | Intersects With   | Situational Awareness For Incidents                | IRO-09   | Mechanisms exist to document, monitor and report the status of cybersecurity and data protection incidents to internal stakeholders all the way through the resolution of the incident.   | 5                        |       |
| RS.AN-07 | N/A      | Incident data and metadata are collected, and their integrity and provenance are preserved.                                | Functional     | Subset Of         | Chain of Custody & Forensics                       | IRO-08   | Mechanisms exist to perform digital forensics and maintain the integrity of the chain of custody, in accordance with applicable laws, regulations and industry-recognized secure practices.   | 10                       |       |
| RS.AN-08 | N/A      | An incident's magnitude is estimated and validated.  | Functional     | Equal             | Incident Classification & Prioritization           | IRO-02.4 | Mechanisms exist to identify classes of incidents and actions to take to ensure the continuation of organizational missions and business functions.   | 10                       |       |
| RS.CO    | N/A      | Response activities are coordinated with internal and external stakeholders as required by laws, regulations, or policies. | Functional     | Intersects With   | Incident Handling                                  | IRO-02   | Mechanisms exist to cover:<br>(1) Preparation;<br>(2) Automated event detection or manual incident report intake;<br>(3) Analysis;<br>(4) Containment;<br>(5) Eradication; and<br>(6) Recovery.   | 5                        |       |
| RS.CO    | N/A      | Response activities are coordinated with internal and external stakeholders as required by laws, regulations, or policies. | Functional     | Intersects With   | Correlation with External Organizations            | IRO-02.5 | Mechanisms exist to coordinate with approved third parties to achieve a cross-organization perspective on incident awareness and more effective incident responses.   | 5                        |       |
| RS.CO    | N/A      | Response activities are coordinated with internal and external stakeholders as required by laws, regulations, or policies. | Functional     | Intersects With   | Coordination with Related Plans                    | IRO-06.1 | Mechanisms exist to coordinate incident response testing with organizational elements responsible for related plans.  | 5                        |       |
| RS.CO    | N/A      | Response activities are coordinated with internal and external stakeholders as required by laws, regulations, or policies. | Functional     | Intersects With   | Situational Awareness For Incidents                | IRO-09   | Mechanisms exist to document, monitor and report the status of cybersecurity and data protection incidents to internal stakeholders all the way through the resolution of the incident.   | 5                        |       |
| RS.CO    | N/A      | Response activities are coordinated with internal and external stakeholders as required by laws, regulations, or policies. | Functional     | Intersects With   | Incident Stakeholder Reporting                     | IRO-10   | Mechanisms exist to timely-report incidents to applicable:<br>(1) Internal stakeholders;<br>(2) Affected clients & third parties; and<br>(3) Regulatory authorities.  | 5                        |       |
| RS.CO    | N/A      | Response activities are coordinated with internal and external stakeholders as required by laws, regulations, or policies. | Functional     | Intersects With   | Cyber Incident Reporting for Sensitive Data        | IRO-10.2 | Mechanisms exist to report sensitive/regulatory data incidents in a timely manner.  | 5                        |       |
| RS.CO    | N/A      | Response activities are coordinated with internal and external stakeholders as required by laws, regulations, or policies. | Functional     | Intersects With   | Supply Chain Coordination                          | IRO-10.4 | Mechanisms exist to provide cybersecurity and data protection incident information to the provider of the Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) and other organizations involved in the supply chain for TAAS related to the incident.                                   | 5                        |       |
| RS.CO-02 | N/A      | Internal and external stakeholders are notified of incidents.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Incident Handling                                  | IRO-02   | Mechanisms exist to cover:<br>(1) Preparation;<br>(2) Automated event detection or manual incident report intake;<br>(3) Analysis;<br>(4) Containment;<br>(5) Eradication; and<br>(6) Recovery.   | 5                        |       |
| RS.CO-02 | N/A      | Internal and external stakeholders are notified of incidents.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Incident Stakeholder Reporting                     | IRO-10   | Mechanisms exist to timely-report incidents to applicable:<br>(1) Internal stakeholders;<br>(2) Affected clients & third parties; and<br>(3) Regulatory authorities.  | 5                        |       |
| RS.CO-02 | N/A      | Internal and external stakeholders are notified of incidents.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Cyber Incident Reporting for Sensitive Data        | IRO-10.2 | Mechanisms exist to report sensitive/regulatory data incidents in a timely manner.  | 5                        |       |
| RS.CO-02 | N/A      | Internal and external stakeholders are notified of incidents.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Supply Chain Coordination                          | IRO-10.4 | Mechanisms exist to provide cybersecurity and data protection incident information to the provider of the Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) and other organizations involved in the supply chain for TAAS related to the incident.                                   | 5                        |       |
| RS.CO-03 | N/A      | Information is shared with designated internal and external stakeholders.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Incident Handling                                  | IRO-02   | Mechanisms exist to cover:<br>(1) Preparation;<br>(2) Automated event detection or manual incident report intake;<br>(3) Analysis;<br>(4) Containment;<br>(5) Eradication; and<br>(6) Recovery.   | 5                        |       |
| RS.CO-03 | N/A      | Information is shared with designated internal and external stakeholders.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Incident Stakeholder Reporting                     | IRO-10   | Mechanisms exist to timely-report incidents to applicable:<br>(1) Internal stakeholders;<br>(2) Affected clients & third parties; and<br>(3) Regulatory authorities.  | 5                        |       |
| RS.CO-03 | N/A      | Information is shared with designated internal and external stakeholders.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Cyber Incident Reporting for Sensitive Data        | IRO-10.2 | Mechanisms exist to report sensitive/regulatory data incidents in a timely manner.  | 5                        |       |
| RS.CO-03 | N/A      | Information is shared with designated internal and external stakeholders.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Supply Chain Coordination                          | IRO-10.4 | Mechanisms exist to provide cybersecurity and data protection incident information to the provider of the Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) and other organizations involved in the supply chain for TAAS related to the incident.                                   | 5                        |       |
| RS.MI    | N/A      | Activities are performed to prevent expansion of an event and mitigate its effects.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Incident Response Operations                       | IRO-01   | Mechanisms exist to implement and govern processes and documentation to facilitate an organization-wide response capability for cybersecurity and data protection-related incidents.  | 5                        |       |
| RS.MI    | N/A      | Activities are performed to prevent expansion of an event and mitigate its effects.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Incident Handling                                  | IRO-02   | Mechanisms exist to cover:<br>(1) Preparation;<br>(2) Automated event detection or manual incident report intake;<br>(3) Analysis;<br>(4) Containment;<br>(5) Eradication; and<br>(6) Recovery.   | 5                        |       |
| RS.MI    | N/A      | Activities are performed to prevent expansion of an event and mitigate its effects.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Incident Response Plan (IRP)                       | IRO-04   | Mechanisms exist to maintain and make available a current and viable Incident Response Plan (IRP) to all stakeholders.  | 5                        |       |

| FDE #    | FDE Name | Focal Document Element (FDE) Description  | STRM Rationale | STRM Relationship | SCF Control  | SCF #    | Secure Controls Framework (SCF) Control Description  | Strength of Relationship | Notes |
|----------|----------|---|----------------|-------------------|--|----------|--|--------------------------|-------|
| RS-M-01  | N/A      | Incidents are contained.  | Functional     | Subset Of         | Incident Handling  | IRO-02   | Mechanisms exist to cover:<br>(1) Preparation;<br>(2) Automated event detection or manual incident report intake;<br>(3) Analysis;<br>(4) Containment;<br>(5) Eradication; and<br>(6) Recovery.  | 10                       |       |
| RS-M-02  | N/A      | Incidents are eradicated.   | Functional     | Subset Of         | Incident Handling  | IRO-02   | Mechanisms exist to cover:<br>(1) Preparation;<br>(2) Automated event detection or manual incident report intake;<br>(3) Analysis;<br>(4) Containment;<br>(5) Eradication; and<br>(6) Recovery.  | 10                       |       |
| RC       | N/A      | Assets and operations affected by a cybersecurity incident are restored.  | Functional     | Subset Of         | Business Continuity Management System (BCMS)                                     | BCD-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of contingency planning controls to help ensure resilient Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) (e.g., Continuity of Operations Plan (COOP) or Business Continuity & Disaster Recovery (BC/DR) playbooks).            | 10                       |       |
| RC       | N/A      | Assets and operations affected by a cybersecurity incident are restored.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) Recovery & Reconstitution | BCD-12   | Mechanisms exist to ensure the secure recovery and reconstitution of Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) to a known state after a disruption, compromise or failure.  | 5                        |       |
| RC-RP    | N/A      | Restoration activities are performed to ensure operational availability of systems and services affected by cybersecurity incidents.      | Functional     | Subset Of         | Business Continuity Management System (BCMS)                                     | BCD-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of contingency planning controls to help ensure resilient Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) (e.g., Continuity of Operations Plan (COOP) or Business Continuity & Disaster Recovery (BC/DR) playbooks).            | 10                       |       |
| RC-RP    | N/A      | Restoration activities are performed to ensure operational availability of systems and services affected by cybersecurity incidents.      | Functional     | Intersects With   | Recovery Time / Point Objectives (RTO / RPO)                                     | BCD-01.4 | Mechanisms exist to facilitate recovery operations in accordance with Recovery Time Objectives (RTOs) and Recovery Point Objectives (RPOs).  | 5                        |       |
| RC-RP    | N/A      | Restoration activities are performed to ensure operational availability of systems and services affected by cybersecurity incidents.      | Functional     | Intersects With   | Identify Critical Assets   | BCD-02   | Mechanisms exist to identify and document the critical Technology Assets, Applications, Services and/or Data (TAASD) that support essential missions and business functions.   | 5                        |       |
| RC-RP    | N/A      | Restoration activities are performed to ensure operational availability of systems and services affected by cybersecurity incidents.      | Functional     | Intersects With   | Resume All Missions & Business Functions   | BCD-02.1 | Mechanisms exist to resume all missions and business functions within Recovery Time Objectives (RTOs) of the contingency plan's activation.  | 5                        |       |
| RC-RP-01 | N/A      | The recovery portion of the incident response plan is executed once initiated from the incident response process.                         | Functional     | Intersects With   | Recovery Operations Criteria   | BCD-01.5 | Mechanisms exist to define specific criteria necessary that must be met to execute Disaster Recover / Business Continuity (BC/DR) plans to facilitate business continuity operations capable of meeting applicable Recovery Time Objectives (RTOs) and Recovery Point Objectives (RPOs). | 5                        |       |
| RC-RP-01 | N/A      | The recovery portion of the incident response plan is executed once initiated from the incident response process.                         | Functional     | Intersects With   | Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) Recovery & Reconstitution | BCD-12   | Mechanisms exist to ensure the secure recovery and reconstitution of Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) to a known state after a disruption, compromise or failure.  | 5                        |       |
| RC-RP-02 | N/A      | Recovery actions are selected, scoped, prioritized, and performed.  | Functional     | Subset Of         | Business Continuity Management System (BCMS)                                     | BCD-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of contingency planning controls to help ensure resilient Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) (e.g., Continuity of Operations Plan (COOP) or Business Continuity & Disaster Recovery (BC/DR) playbooks).            | 10                       |       |
| RC-RP-02 | N/A      | Recovery actions are selected, scoped, prioritized, and performed.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Recovery Time / Point Objectives (RTO / RPO)                                     | BCD-01.4 | Mechanisms exist to facilitate recovery operations in accordance with Recovery Time Objectives (RTOs) and Recovery Point Objectives (RPOs).  | 5                        |       |
| RC-RP-02 | N/A      | Recovery actions are selected, scoped, prioritized, and performed.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Identify Critical Assets   | BCD-02   | Mechanisms exist to identify and document the critical Technology Assets, Applications, Services and/or Data (TAASD) that support essential missions and business functions.   | 5                        |       |
| RC-RP-02 | N/A      | Recovery actions are selected, scoped, prioritized, and performed.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Resume All Missions & Business Functions   | BCD-02.1 | Mechanisms exist to resume all missions and business functions within Recovery Time Objectives (RTOs) of the contingency plan's activation.  | 5                        |       |
| RC-RP-03 | N/A      | The integrity of backups and other restoration assets is verified before using them for restoration.                                      | Functional     | Intersects With   | Backup & Restoration Hardware Protection   | BCD-13   | Mechanisms exist to protect backup and restoration hardware and software.  | 5                        |       |
| RC-RP-03 | N/A      | The integrity of backups and other restoration assets is verified before using them for restoration.                                      | Functional     | Intersects With   | Restoration Integrity Verification   | BCD-13.1 | Mechanisms exist to verify the integrity of backups and other restoration assets prior to using them for restoration.  | 5                        |       |
| RC-RP-04 | N/A      | Critical mission functions and cybersecurity risk management are considered to establish post-incident operational norms.                 | Functional     | Subset Of         | Business Continuity Management System (BCMS)                                     | BCD-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of contingency planning controls to help ensure resilient Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) (e.g., Continuity of Operations Plan (COOP) or Business Continuity & Disaster Recovery (BC/DR) playbooks).            | 10                       |       |
| RC-RP-04 | N/A      | Critical mission functions and cybersecurity risk management are considered to establish post-incident operational norms.                 | Functional     | Intersects With   | Recovery Time / Point Objectives (RTO / RPO)                                     | BCD-01.4 | Mechanisms exist to facilitate recovery operations in accordance with Recovery Time Objectives (RTOs) and Recovery Point Objectives (RPOs).  | 5                        |       |
| RC-RP-04 | N/A      | Critical mission functions and cybersecurity risk management are considered to establish post-incident operational norms.                 | Functional     | Intersects With   | Identify Critical Assets   | BCD-02   | Mechanisms exist to identify and document the critical Technology Assets, Applications, Services and/or Data (TAASD) that support essential missions and business functions.   | 5                        |       |
| RC-RP-04 | N/A      | Critical mission functions and cybersecurity risk management are considered to establish post-incident operational norms.                 | Functional     | Intersects With   | Resume All Missions & Business Functions   | BCD-02.1 | Mechanisms exist to resume all missions and business functions within Recovery Time Objectives (RTOs) of the contingency plan's activation.  | 5                        |       |
| RC-RP-05 | N/A      | The integrity of restored assets is verified, systems and services are restored, and normal operating status is confirmed.                | Functional     | Subset Of         | Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) Recovery & Reconstitution | BCD-12   | Mechanisms exist to ensure the secure recovery and reconstitution of Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) to a known state after a disruption, compromise or failure.  | 10                       |       |
| RC-RP-06 | N/A      | The end of incident recovery is declared based on criteria, and incident related documentation is completed.                              | Functional     | Intersects With   | Incident Handling  | IRO-02   | Mechanisms exist to cover:<br>(1) Preparation;<br>(2) Automated event detection or manual incident report intake;<br>(3) Analysis;<br>(4) Containment;<br>(5) Eradication; and<br>(6) Recovery.  | 5                        |       |
| RC-RP-06 | N/A      | The end of incident recovery is declared based on criteria, and incident related documentation is completed.                              | Functional     | Intersects With   | Situational Awareness For Incidents  | IRO-09   | Mechanisms exist to document, monitor and report the status of cybersecurity and data protection incidents to internal stakeholders all the way through the resolution of the incident.  | 5                        |       |
| RC-CO    | N/A      | Restoration activities are coordinated with internal and external parties.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Coordinate with Related Plans  | BCD-01.1 | Mechanisms exist to coordinate contingency plan development with internal and external elements responsible for related plans.   | 5                        |       |
| RC-CO    | N/A      | Restoration activities are coordinated with internal and external parties.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Coordinate With External Service Providers                                       | BCD-01.2 | Mechanisms exist to coordinate internal contingency plans with the contingency plans of external service providers to ensure that contingency requirements can be satisfied.   | 5                        |       |
| RC-CO-03 | N/A      | Recovery activities and progress in restoring operational capabilities are communicated to designated internal and external stakeholders. | Functional     | Equal             | Recovery Operations Communications   | BCD-01.6 | Mechanisms exist to communicate the status of recovery activities and progress in restoring operational capabilities to designated internal and external stakeholders.   | 10                       |       |
| RC-CO-04 | N/A      | Public updates on incident recovery are shared using approved methods and messaging.  | Functional     | Subset Of         | Public Relations & Reputation Repair   | IRO-16   | Mechanisms exist to proactively manage public relations associated with incidents and employ appropriate measures to prevent further reputational damage and develop plans to repair any damage to the organization's reputation.  | 10                       |       |

**NIST IR 8477-Based Set Theory Relationship Mapping (STRM)**  
**Reference document:** Secure Controls Framework (SCF) version 2026.1  
**STRM Guidance:** <https://securecontrolsframework.com/set-theory-relationship-mapping-strm/>

**Focal Document:** **NIST Cybersecurity Framework (CSF) version 2.0**  
**Focal Document URL:** <https://nvlpubs.nist.gov/nistpubs/CSP/NIST-CSWP-29.pdf>  
**Published STRM URL:** <https://content.securecontrolsframework.com/strm/csfcstrm-general-nist-csf-2.0.pdf>

| FDE #    | FDE Name | Focal Document Element (FDE) Description  | STRM Rationale | STRM Relationship | SCF Control  | SCF #    | Secure Controls Framework (SCF) Control Description  | Strength of Relationship | Notes |
|----------|----------|---|----------------|-------------------|--|----------|--|--------------------------|-------|
| GV       | N/A      | The organization's cybersecurity risk management strategy, expectations, and policy are established, communicated, and monitored.   | Functional     | Subset Of         | Security, Compliance & Resilience Program (SCR)                          | GOV-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of security, compliance and resilience governance controls.  | 10                       |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Measures of Performance  | GOV-05   | Mechanisms exist to develop, report and monitor Security, Compliance & Resilience Program (SCR) measures of performance.   | 8                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Subset Of         | Risk Management Program  | RSK-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of strategic, operational and tactical risk management controls.   | 10                       |       |
| GV.OC    | N/A      | The circumstances — mission, stakeholder expectations, dependencies, and legal, regulatory, and contractual requirements — surrounding the organization's cybersecurity risk management decisions are understood. | Functional     | Intersects With   | Strategic Plan & Objectives  | PRM-01.1 | Mechanisms exist to establish a(1) Strategic security, compliance and resilience-specific business plan; and(2) Set of objectives to achieve that plan.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Subset Of         | Defining Business Context & Mission                                      | GOV-08   | Mechanisms exist to define the context of its business model and document the organization's mission.  | 10                       |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Asset-Services Dependencies  | AST-01.1 | Mechanisms exist to identify and assess the security of Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) that support more than one critical business function.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Stakeholder Identification & Involvement                                 | AST-01.2 | Mechanisms exist to identify and involve pertinent stakeholders of critical Technology Assets, Applications, Services and/or Data (TAASD) to support the ongoing security management of those assets.  | 5                        |       |
| GV.OC-01 | N/A      | The organizational mission is understood and informs cybersecurity risk management.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Statutory, Regulatory & Contractual Compliance                           | CPL-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the identification and implementation of relevant statutory, regulatory and contractual controls.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Responsible, Accountable, Supportive, Consulted & Informed (RASC) Matrix | TPM-05.4 | Mechanisms exist to document and maintain a Responsible, Accountable, Supportive, Consulted & Informed (RASC) matrix, or similar documentation, to delineate assignment for security, compliance and resilience controls between internal stakeholders and External Service Providers (ESPs).                                | 5                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Subset Of         | Defining Business Context & Mission                                      | GOV-08   | Mechanisms exist to define the context of its business model and document the organization's mission.  | 10                       |       |
| GV.OC-02 | N/A      | Internal and external stakeholders are understood, and their needs and expectations regarding cybersecurity risk management are understood and considered.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Stakeholder Identification & Involvement                                 | AST-01.2 | Mechanisms exist to identify and involve pertinent stakeholders of critical Technology Assets, Applications, Services and/or Data (TAASD) to support the ongoing security management of those assets.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Third-Party Contract Requirements  | TPM-05   | Mechanisms exist to require contractual requirements for applicable security, compliance and resilience requirements with third-parties, reflecting the organization's needs to protect its Technology Assets, Applications, Services and/or Data (TAASD).   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Responsible, Accountable, Supportive, Consulted & Informed (RASC) Matrix | TPM-05.4 | Mechanisms exist to document and maintain a Responsible, Accountable, Supportive, Consulted & Informed (RASC) matrix, or similar documentation, to delineate assignment for security, compliance and resilience controls between internal stakeholders and External Service Providers (ESPs).                                | 5                        |       |
| GV.OC-03 | N/A      | Legal, regulatory, and contractual requirements regarding cybersecurity — including privacy and civil liberties obligations — are understood and managed.   | Functional     | Subset Of         | Statutory, Regulatory & Contractual Compliance                           | CPL-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the identification and implementation of relevant statutory, regulatory and contractual controls.   | 10                       |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Security, Compliance & Resilience Controls Oversight                     | CPL-02   | Mechanisms exist to provide a security, compliance and resilience controls oversight function that reports to the organization's executive leadership.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Data Privacy Program   | PR1-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation and operation of data protection controls throughout the data lifecycle to ensure all forms of Personal Data (PD) are processed lawfully, fairly and transparently.  | 8                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Third-Party Contract Requirements  | TPM-05   | Mechanisms exist to require contractual requirements for applicable security, compliance and resilience requirements with third-parties, reflecting the organization's needs to protect its Technology Assets, Applications, Services and/or Data (TAASD).   | 5                        |       |
| GV.OC-04 | N/A      | Critical objectives, capabilities, and services that external stakeholders depend on or expect from the organization are understood and communicated.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Contract Flow-Down Requirements  | TPM-05.2 | Mechanisms exist to ensure applicable security, compliance and resilience requirements are included in contracts that flow-down to applicable sub-contractors and suppliers.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Defining Business Context & Mission                                      | GOV-08   | Mechanisms exist to define the context of its business model and document the organization's mission.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Identify Critical Assets   | BCD-02   | Mechanisms exist to identify and document the critical Technology Assets, Applications, Services and/or Data (TAASD) that support essential missions and business functions.   | 5                        |       |
| GV.OC-05 | N/A      | Outcomes, capabilities, and services that the organization depends on are understood and communicated.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Strategic Plan & Objectives  | PRM-01.1 | Mechanisms exist to establish a(1) Strategic security, compliance and resilience-specific business plan; and(2) Set of objectives to achieve that plan.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Third-Party Criticality Assessments                                      | TPM-02   | Mechanisms exist to identify, prioritize and assess suppliers and partners of critical Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) using a supply chain risk assessment process relative to their importance in supporting the delivery of high-value services.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Identify Critical Assets   | BCD-02   | Mechanisms exist to identify and document the critical Technology Assets, Applications, Services and/or Data (TAASD) that support essential missions and business functions.   | 5                        |       |
| GV.RM    | N/A      | The organization's priorities, constraints, risk tolerance and appetite statements, and assumptions are established, communicated, and used to support operational risk decisions.                                | Functional     | Intersects With   | Software Bill of Materials (SBOM)  | TOA-04.2 | Mechanisms exist to generate, or obtain, a Software Bill of Materials (SBOM) for Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) that lists software packages in use, including versions and applicable licenses.   | 4                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Third-Party Criticality Assessments                                      | TPM-02   | Mechanisms exist to identify, prioritize and assess suppliers and partners of critical Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) using a supply chain risk assessment process relative to their importance in supporting the delivery of high-value services.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Assigned Security, Compliance & Resilience Responsibilities              | GOV-04   | Mechanisms exist to assign one or more qualified individuals with the mission and resources to centrally manage, coordinate, develop, implement and maintain an enterprise-wide Security, Compliance & Resilience Program (SCR).   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Security, Compliance & Resilience Protection Portfolio Management        | PRM-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of resource planning controls that provide a portfolio management approach to achieve security, compliance and resilience objectives.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Strategic Plan & Objectives  | PRM-01.1 | Mechanisms exist to establish a(1) Strategic security, compliance and resilience-specific business plan; and(2) Set of objectives to achieve that plan.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Risk Management Program  | RSK-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of strategic, operational and tactical risk management controls.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Risk Framing   | RSK-01.1 | Mechanisms exist to identify:(1) Assumptions affecting risk assessments, risk response and risk monitoring;(2) Constraints affecting risk assessments, risk response and risk monitoring;(3) The organizational risk tolerance; and(4) Priorities, benefits and trade-offs considered by the organization for managing risk. | 8                        |       |
| GV.RM-01 | N/A      | Risk management objectives are established and agreed to by organizational stakeholders.  | Functional     | Subset Of         | Security, Compliance & Resilience Program (SCR)                          | GOV-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of security, compliance and resilience governance controls.  | 10                       |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Steering Committee & Program Oversight                                   | GOV-01.1 | Mechanisms exist to align security, compliance and resilience capabilities with business requirements through a steering committee or advisory board, comprised of key cybersecurity, data protection and business executives, which meets formally and on a regular basis.  | 10                       |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Key Risk Indicators (KRIs)   | GOV-05.2 | Mechanisms exist to develop, report and monitor Key Risk Indicators (KRIs) to assist senior management in performance monitoring and trend analysis of the Security, Compliance & Resilience Program (SCR).  | 3                        |       |
| GV.RM-02 | N/A      | Risk appetite and risk tolerance statements are established, communicated, and maintained.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Risk Management Program  | RSK-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of strategic, operational and tactical risk management controls.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Risk Tolerance   | RSK-01.3 | Mechanisms exist to define organizational risk tolerance, the specified range of acceptable results.   | 10                       |       |
| GV.RM-03 | N/A      | Cybersecurity risk management activities and outcomes are included in enterprise risk management processes.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Risk Appetite  | RSK-01.5 | Mechanisms exist to define organizational risk appetite, the degree of uncertainty the organization is willing to accept in anticipation of a reward.  | 10                       |       |
|          |          |   |                | Subset Of         | Security, Compliance & Resilience Program (SCR)                          | GOV-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of security, compliance and resilience governance controls.  | 10                       |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Steering Committee & Program Oversight                                   | GOV-01.1 | Mechanisms exist to align security, compliance and resilience capabilities with business requirements through a steering committee or advisory board, comprised of key cybersecurity, data protection and business executives, which meets formally and on a regular basis.  | 5                        |       |
| GV.RM-04 | N/A      | Strategic direction that describes appropriate risk response options is established and communicated.   | Functional     | Subset Of         | Risk Management Program  | RSK-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of strategic, operational and tactical risk management controls.   | 10                       |       |
|          |          |   |                | Subset Of         | Risk Management Program  | RSK-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of strategic, operational and tactical risk management controls.   | 10                       |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Risk Framing   | RSK-01.1 | Mechanisms exist to identify:(1) Assumptions affecting risk assessments, risk response and risk monitoring;(2) Constraints affecting risk assessments, risk response and risk monitoring;(3) The organizational risk tolerance; and(4) Priorities, benefits and trade-offs considered by the organization for managing risk. | 5                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Risk Remediation   | RSK-06   | Mechanisms exist to remediate risks to an acceptable level.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Superset Of       | Risk Response  | RSK-06.1 | Mechanisms exist to ensure proper risk response actions were performed to remediate findings from security, compliance and/or resilience-related:(1) Assessments;(2) Audits; and/or(3) Incidents.  | 5                        |       |
| GV.RM-05 | N/A      | Lines of communication across the organization are established for cybersecurity risks, including risks from suppliers and other third parties.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Compensating Countermeasures   | RSK-06.2 | Mechanisms exist to identify and implement compensating countermeasures to reduce risk and exposure to threats.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Assigned Security, Compliance & Resilience Responsibilities              | GOV-04   | Mechanisms exist to assign one or more qualified individuals with the mission and resources to centrally manage, coordinate, develop, implement and maintain an enterprise-wide Security, Compliance & Resilience Program (SCR).   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Stakeholder Accountability Structure                                     | GOV-04.1 | Mechanisms exist to enforce an accountability structure so that appropriate teams and individuals are empowered, responsible and trained for mapping, measuring and managing Technology Assets, Applications, Services and/or Data (TAASD)-related risks.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Defined Roles & Responsibilities   | HRS-03   | Mechanisms exist to define cybersecurity roles & responsibilities for all personnel.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Responsible, Accountable, Supportive, Consulted & Informed (RASC) Matrix | TPM-05.4 | Mechanisms exist to document and maintain a Responsible, Accountable, Supportive, Consulted & Informed (RASC) matrix, or similar documentation, to delineate assignment for security, compliance and resilience controls between internal stakeholders and External Service Providers (ESPs).                                | 5                        |       |

| FDE #           | FDE Name   | Focal Document Element (FDE) Description  | STRM Rationale  | STRM Relationship | SCF Control  | SCF #  | Secure Controls Framework (SCF) Control Description  | Strength of Relationship | Notes   |
|-----------------|--|---|---|-------------------|--|--|--|--------------------------|---|
| GV-RR-06        | N/A  | A standardized method for calculating, documenting, categorizing, and prioritizing cybersecurity risks is established and communicated.                                       | Functional  | Subset Of         | Risk Management Program  | RSK-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of strategic, operational and tactical risk management controls.   | 10                       |   |
|                 |  |   |   | Intersects With   | Risk Framing   | RSK-01.1   | Mechanisms exist to identify:(1) Assumptions affecting risk assessments, risk response and risk monitoring;(2) Constraints affecting risk assessments, risk response and risk monitoring;(3) The organizational risk tolerance; and(4) Priorities, benefits and trade-offs considered by the organization for managing risk. | 5                        |   |
|                 |  |   |   | Intersects With   | Risk Assessment  | RSK-04   | Mechanisms exist to conduct recurring assessments of risk that includes the likelihood and magnitude of harm, from unauthorized access, use, disclosure, disruption, modification or destruction of the organization's Technology Assets, Applications, Services and/or Data (TAASD).  | 5                        |   |
|                 |  |   |   | Intersects With   | Risk Register  | RSK-04.1   | Mechanisms exist to maintain a risk register that facilitates monitoring and reporting of risk.  | 5                        |   |
| GV-RR-07        | N/A  | Strategic opportunities (i.e., positive risks) are characterized and are included in organizational cybersecurity risk discussions.   | Functional  | Subset Of         | Risk Framing   | RSK-01.1   | Mechanisms exist to identify:(1) Assumptions affecting risk assessments, risk response and risk monitoring;(2) Constraints affecting risk assessments, risk response and risk monitoring;(3) The organizational risk tolerance; and(4) Priorities, benefits and trade-offs considered by the organization for managing risk. | 10                       |   |
| GV-RR           | N/A  | Cybersecurity roles, responsibilities, and authorities to foster accountability, performance assessment, and continuous improvement are established and communicated.         | Functional  | Intersects With   | Defined Roles & Responsibilities   | HRS-03   | Mechanisms exist to define cybersecurity roles & responsibilities for all personnel.   | 5                        |   |
|                 |  |   |   | Intersects With   | Responsible, Accountable, Supportive, Consulted & Informed (RASC) Matrix | TPM-05.4   | Mechanisms exist to document and maintain a Responsible, Accountable, Supportive, Consulted & Informed (RASC) matrix, or similar documentation, to delineate assignment for security, compliance and resilience controls between internal stakeholders and External Service Providers (ESPs).                                | 8                        |   |
| GV-RR-01        | N/A  | Organizational leadership is responsible and accountable for cybersecurity risk and fosters a culture that is risk-aware, ethical, and continually improving.                 | Functional  | Subset Of         | Security, Compliance & Resilience Program (SCR)                          | GOV-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of security, compliance and resilience governance controls.  | 10                       |   |
|                 |  |   |   | Intersects With   | Steering Committee & Program Oversight                                   | GOV-01.1   | Mechanisms exist to align security, compliance and resilience capabilities with business requirements through a steering committee or advisory board, comprised of key cybersecurity, data protection and business executives, which meets formally and on a regular basis.  | 8                        |   |
|                 |  |   |   | Intersects With   | Assigned Security, Compliance & Resilience Responsibilities              | GOV-04   | Mechanisms exist to assign one or more qualified individuals with the mission and resources to centrally manage, coordinate, develop, implement and maintain an enterprise-wide Security, Compliance & Resilience Program (SCR).   | 5                        |   |
|                 |  |   |   | Intersects With   | Stakeholder Accountability Structure                                     | GOV-04.1   | Mechanisms exist to enforce an accountability structure so that appropriate teams and individuals are empowered, responsible and trained for mapping, measuring and managing Technology Assets, Applications, Services and/or Data (TAASD)-related risks.  | 5                        |   |
|                 |  |   |   | Intersects With   | Risk Management Program  | RSK-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of strategic, operational and tactical risk management controls.   | 5                        |   |
|                 |  |   |   | Intersects With   | Risk Tolerance   | RSK-01.3   | Mechanisms exist to define organizational risk tolerance, the specified range of acceptable results.   | 5                        |   |
|                 |  |   |   | Intersects With   | Risk Threshold   | RSK-01.4   | Mechanisms exist to define organizational risk threshold, the level of risk exposure above which risks are addressed and below which risks may be accepted.  | 5                        |   |
|                 |  |   |   | Intersects With   | Risk Appetite  | RSK-01.5   | Mechanisms exist to define organizational risk appetite, the degree of uncertainty the organization is willing to accept in anticipation of a reward.  | 5                        |   |
|                 |  |   |   | Intersects With   | Risk Culture   | RSK-12   | Mechanisms exist to ensure teams are committed to a culture that considers and communicates technology-related risk.   | 5                        |   |
|                 |  |   |   | GV-RR-02          | N/A  | Roles, responsibilities, and authorities related to cybersecurity risk management are established, communicated, understood, and enforced. | Functional   | Intersects With          | Assigned Security, Compliance & Resilience Responsibilities |
| Intersects With | Position Categorization  | HRS-02  | Mechanisms exist to manage personnel security risk by assigning a risk designation to all positions and establishing screening criteria for individuals filling those positions.  |                   |  |  |  | 8                        |   |
| Intersects With | Defined Roles & Responsibilities   | HRS-03  | Mechanisms exist to define cybersecurity roles & responsibilities for all personnel.  |                   |  |  |  | 5                        |   |
| Intersects With | Responsible, Accountable, Supportive, Consulted & Informed (RASC) Matrix | TPM-05.4  | Mechanisms exist to document and maintain a Responsible, Accountable, Supportive, Consulted & Informed (RASC) matrix, or similar documentation, to delineate assignment for security, compliance and resilience controls between internal stakeholders and External Service Providers (ESPs). |                   |  |  |  | 5                        |   |
| GV-RR-03        | N/A  | Adequate resources are allocated commensurate with the cybersecurity risk strategy, roles, responsibilities, and policies.  | Functional  | Intersects With   | Security, Compliance & Resilience Protection Portfolio Management        | PRM-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of resource planning controls that provide a portfolio management approach to achieve security, compliance and resilience objectives.  | 5                        |   |
|                 |  |   |   | Intersects With   | Security, Compliance & Resilience Resource Management                    | PRM-02   | Mechanisms exist to address all capital planning and investment requests, including the resources needed to implement the Security, Compliance & Resilience Program (SCR) and document all exceptions to this requirement.   | 5                        |   |
|                 |  |   |   | Equal             | Allocation of Resources  | PRM-03   | Mechanisms exist to identify and allocate resources for management, operational, technical and data protection requirements within business process planning for projects / initiatives.   | 10                       |   |
| GV-RR-04        | N/A  | Cybersecurity is included in human resources practices.   | Functional  | Equal             | Human Resources Security Management                                      | HRS-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of personnel security controls.  | 10                       |   |
| GV-PO           | N/A  | Organizational cybersecurity policy is established, communicated, and enforced.   | Functional  | Intersects With   | User Awareness   | HRS-03.1   | Mechanisms exist to communicate with users about their roles and responsibilities to maintain a safe and secure working environment.   | 5                        |   |
|                 |  |   |   | Subset Of         | Publishing Security, Compliance & Resilience Documentation               | GOV-02   | Mechanisms exist to establish, maintain and disseminate policies, standards and procedures necessary for secure, compliant and resilient capabilities.   | 10                       |   |
|                 |  |   |   | Intersects With   | Policy Familiarization & Acknowledgement                                 | HRS-05.7   | Mechanisms exist to ensure personnel receive recurring familiarization with the organization's security, compliance and resilience policies and provide acknowledgement.   | 5                        |   |
| GV-PO-01        | N/A  | Policy for managing cybersecurity risks is established based on organizational context, cybersecurity strategy, and priorities and is communicated and enforced.              | Functional  | Intersects With   | Personnel Sanctions  | HRS-07   | Mechanisms exist to sanction personnel failing to comply with established security policies, standards and procedures.   | 5                        |   |
|                 |  |   |   | Subset Of         | Publishing Security, Compliance & Resilience Documentation               | GOV-02   | Mechanisms exist to establish, maintain and disseminate policies, standards and procedures necessary for secure, compliant and resilient capabilities.   | 10                       |   |
|                 |  |   |   | Intersects With   | Policy Familiarization & Acknowledgement                                 | HRS-05.7   | Mechanisms exist to ensure personnel receive recurring familiarization with the organization's security, compliance and resilience policies and provide acknowledgement.   | 5                        |   |
| GV-PO-02        | N/A  | Policy for managing cybersecurity risks is reviewed, updated, communicated, and enforced to reflect changes in requirements, threats, technology, and organizational mission. | Functional  | Intersects With   | Periodic Review & Update of Security, Compliance & Resilience Program    | GOV-03   | Mechanisms exist to review the Security, Compliance & Resilience Program (SCR) including policies, standards and procedures, at planned intervals or if significant changes occur to ensure their continuing suitability, adequacy and effectiveness.  | 8                        |   |
|                 |  |   |   | Intersects With   | Policy Familiarization & Acknowledgement                                 | HRS-05.7   | Mechanisms exist to ensure personnel receive recurring familiarization with the organization's security, compliance and resilience policies and provide acknowledgement.   | 8                        |   |
|                 |  |   |   | Intersects With   | Personnel Sanctions  | HRS-07   | Mechanisms exist to sanction personnel failing to comply with established security policies, standards and procedures.   | 8                        |   |
| GV-OV           | N/A  | Results of organization-wide cybersecurity risk management activities and performance are used to inform, improve, and adjust the risk management strategy.                   | Functional  | Intersects With   | Steering Committee & Program Oversight                                   | GOV-01.1   | Mechanisms exist to align security, compliance and resilience capabilities with business requirements through a steering committee or advisory board, comprised of key cybersecurity, data protection and business executives, which meets formally and on a regular basis.  | 5                        |   |
|                 |  |   |   | Intersects With   | Status Reporting To Governing Body                                       | GOV-01.2   | Mechanisms exist to provide governance oversight reporting and recommendations to those entrusted to make executive decisions about matters considered material to the organization's Security, Compliance & Resilience Program (SCR).   | 5                        |   |
|                 |  |   |   | Intersects With   | Measures of Performance  | GOV-05   | Mechanisms exist to develop, report and monitor Security, Compliance & Resilience Program (SCR) measures of performance.   | 5                        |   |
|                 |  |   |   | Intersects With   | Periodic Review & Update of Security, Compliance & Resilience Program    | GOV-03   | Mechanisms exist to review the Security, Compliance & Resilience Program (SCR), including policies, standards and procedures, at planned intervals or if significant changes occur to ensure their continuing suitability, adequacy and effectiveness.   | 5                        |   |
|                 |  |   |   | Intersects With   | Defining Business Context & Mission                                      | GOV-08   | Mechanisms exist to define the context of its business model and document the organization's mission.  | 5                        |   |
| GV-OV-01        | N/A  | Cybersecurity risk management strategy outcomes are reviewed to inform and adjust strategy and direction.   | Functional  | Intersects With   | Strategic Plan & Objectives  | PRM-01.1   | Mechanisms exist to establish a (1) Strategic security, compliance and resilience-specific business plan; and(2) Set of objectives to achieve that plan.   | 5                        |   |
|                 |  |   |   | Intersects With   | Steering Committee & Program Oversight                                   | GOV-01.1   | Mechanisms exist to align security, compliance and resilience capabilities with business requirements through a steering committee or advisory board, comprised of key cybersecurity, data protection and business executives, which meets formally and on a regular basis.  | 10                       |   |
|                 |  |   |   | Intersects With   | Periodic Review & Update of Security, Compliance & Resilience Program    | GOV-03   | Mechanisms exist to review the Security, Compliance & Resilience Program (SCR), including policies, standards and procedures, at planned intervals or if significant changes occur to ensure their continuing suitability, adequacy and effectiveness.   | 10                       |   |
|                 |  |   |   | Intersects With   | Risk Management Program  | RSK-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of strategic, operational and tactical risk management controls.   | 5                        |   |
| GV-OV-02        | N/A  | The cybersecurity risk management strategy is reviewed and adjusted to ensure coverage of organizational requirements and risks.  | Functional  | Intersects With   | Steering Committee & Program Oversight                                   | GOV-01.1   | Mechanisms exist to align security, compliance and resilience capabilities with business requirements through a steering committee or advisory board, comprised of key cybersecurity, data protection and business executives, which meets formally and on a regular basis.  | 5                        |   |
|                 |  |   |   | Subset Of         | Periodic Review & Update of Security, Compliance & Resilience Program    | GOV-03   | Mechanisms exist to review the Security, Compliance & Resilience Program (SCR), including policies, standards and procedures, at planned intervals or if significant changes occur to ensure their continuing suitability, adequacy and effectiveness.   | 10                       |   |
|                 |  |   |   | Intersects With   | Risk Management Program  | RSK-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of strategic, operational and tactical risk management controls.   | 5                        |   |
| GV-OV-03        | N/A  | Organizational cybersecurity risk management performance is evaluated and reviewed for adjustments needed.  | Functional  | Intersects With   | Steering Committee & Program Oversight                                   | GOV-01.1   | Mechanisms exist to align security, compliance and resilience capabilities with business requirements through a steering committee or advisory board, comprised of key cybersecurity, data protection and business executives, which meets formally and on a regular basis.  | 5                        |   |
|                 |  |   |   | Intersects With   | Status Reporting To Governing Body                                       | GOV-01.2   | Mechanisms exist to provide governance oversight reporting and recommendations to those entrusted to make executive decisions about matters considered material to the organization's Security, Compliance & Resilience Program (SCR).   | 5                        |   |
|                 |  |   |   | Intersects With   | Measures of Performance  | GOV-05   | Mechanisms exist to develop, report and monitor Security, Compliance & Resilience Program (SCR) measures of performance.   | 5                        |   |
| GV-OV-04        | N/A  | Cyber supply chain risk management processes are identified, established, managed, monitored, and improved by organizational stakeholders.                                    | Functional  | Subset Of         | Security, Compliance & Resilience Program (SCR)                          | GOV-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of security, compliance and resilience governance controls.  | 10                       |   |
|                 |  |   |   | Intersects With   | Steering Committee & Program Oversight                                   | GOV-01.1   | Mechanisms exist to align security, compliance and resilience capabilities with business requirements through a steering committee or advisory board, comprised of key cybersecurity, data protection and business executives, which meets formally and on a regular basis.  | 5                        |   |

| FDE #           | FDE Name                           | Focal Document Element (FDE) Description  | STRM Rationale  | STRM Relationship | SCF Control  | SCF #    | Security Controls Framework (SCF) Control Description  | Strength of Relationship | Notes |
|-----------------|------------------------------------|---|---|-------------------|--|----------|--|--------------------------|-------|
| GV-SC           | N/A                                |   | Functional  | Intersects With   | Status Reporting To Governing Body                                       | GOV-01.2 | Mechanisms exist to provide governance oversight reporting and recommendations to those entrusted to make executive decisions about matters considered material to the organization's Security, Compliance & Resilience Program (SCRPP).   | 5                        |       |
|                 |                                    |   |   | Intersects With   | Measures of Performance  | GOV-05   | Mechanisms exist to develop, report and monitor Security, Compliance & Resilience Program (SCRPP) measures of performance.   | 5                        |       |
|                 |                                    |   |   | Intersects With   | Risk Management Program  | RSK-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of strategic, operational and tactical risk management controls.   | 5                        |       |
|                 |                                    |   |   | Equal             | Supply Chain Risk Management (SCRM) Plan                                 | RSK-09   | Mechanisms exist to develop a plan for Supply Chain Risk Management (SCRM) associated with the development, acquisition, maintenance and disposal of Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS), including documenting selected mitigating actions and monitoring performance against those plans.   | 10                       |       |
|                 |                                    |   |   | Intersects With   | Supply Chain Risk Assessment   | RSK-09.1 | Mechanisms exist to periodically assess supply chain risks associated with Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS).   | 5                        |       |
| GV-SC-01        | N/A                                | A cybersecurity supply chain risk management program, strategy, objectives, policies, and processes are established and agreed to by organizational stakeholders.                                       | Functional  | Subset Of         | Security, Compliance & Resilience Program (SCRPP)                        | GOV-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of security, compliance and resilience governance controls.  | 10                       |       |
|                 |                                    |   |   | Intersects With   | Steering Committee & Program Oversight                                   | GOV-01.1 | Mechanisms exist to align security, compliance and resilience capabilities with business requirements through a steering committee or advisory board, comprised of key cybersecurity, data protection and business executives, which meets formally and on a regular basis.  | 5                        |       |
|                 |                                    |   |   | Intersects With   | Publishing Security, Compliance & Resilience Documentation               | GOV-02   | Mechanisms exist to establish, maintain and disseminate policies, standards and procedures necessary for secure, compliant and resilient capabilities.   | 5                        |       |
|                 |                                    |   |   | Intersects With   | Risk Management Program  | RSK-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of strategic, operational and tactical risk management controls.   | 5                        |       |
|                 |                                    |   |   | Equal             | Supply Chain Risk Management (SCRM) Plan                                 | RSK-09   | Mechanisms exist to develop a plan for Supply Chain Risk Management (SCRM) associated with the development, acquisition, maintenance and disposal of Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS), including documenting selected mitigating actions and monitoring performance against those plans.   | 10                       |       |
| GV-SC-02        | N/A                                | Cybersecurity roles and responsibilities for suppliers, customers, and partners are established, communicated, and coordinated internally and externally.   | Functional  | Intersects With   | Third-Party Contract Requirements  | TPM-05   | Mechanisms exist to require contractual requirements for applicable security, compliance and resilience requirements with third parties, reflecting the organization's needs to protect its Technology Assets, Applications, Services and/or Data (TAASD).   | 8                        |       |
|                 |                                    |   |   | Intersects With   | Contract Flow-Down Requirements  | TPM-05.2 | Mechanisms exist to ensure applicable security, compliance and resilience requirements are included in contracts that flow-down to applicable sub-contractors and suppliers.   | 8                        |       |
|                 |                                    |   |   | Intersects With   | Responsible, Accountable, Supportive, Consulted & Informed (RASC) Matrix | TPM-05.4 | Mechanisms exist to document and maintain a Responsible, Accountable, Supportive, Consulted & Informed (RASC) matrix, or similar documentation, to delineate assignment for security, compliance and resilience controls between internal stakeholders and External Service Providers (ESPs).  | 8                        |       |
| GV-SC-03        | N/A                                | Cybersecurity supply chain risk management is integrated into cybersecurity and enterprise risk management, risk assessment, and improvement processes.   | Functional  | Subset Of         | Security, Compliance & Resilience Program (SCRPP)                        | GOV-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of security, compliance and resilience governance controls.  | 10                       |       |
|                 |                                    |   |   | Intersects With   | Steering Committee & Program Oversight                                   | GOV-01.1 | Mechanisms exist to align security, compliance and resilience capabilities with business requirements through a steering committee or advisory board, comprised of key cybersecurity, data protection and business executives, which meets formally and on a regular basis.  | 5                        |       |
|                 |                                    |   |   | Intersects With   | Publishing Security, Compliance & Resilience Documentation               | GOV-02   | Mechanisms exist to establish, maintain and disseminate policies, standards and procedures necessary for secure, compliant and resilient capabilities.   | 5                        |       |
|                 |                                    |   |   | Intersects With   | Defining Business Context & Mission                                      | GOV-08   | Mechanisms exist to define the context of its business model and document the organization's mission.  | 5                        |       |
|                 |                                    |   |   | Intersects With   | Define Control Objectives  | GOV-09   | Mechanisms exist to establish control objectives as the basis for the selection, implementation and management of the organization's internal security, compliance and resilience control system.  | 5                        |       |
|                 |                                    |   |   | Intersects With   | Risk Management Program  | RSK-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of strategic, operational and tactical risk management controls.   | 5                        |       |
|                 |                                    |   |   | Intersects With   | Supply Chain Risk Management (SCRM) Plan                                 | RSK-09   | Mechanisms exist to develop a plan for Supply Chain Risk Management (SCRM) associated with the development, acquisition, maintenance and disposal of Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS), including documenting selected mitigating actions and monitoring performance against those plans.   | 5                        |       |
| GV-SC-04        | N/A                                | Suppliers are known and prioritized by criticality.   | Functional  | Intersects With   | Asset Governance   | AST-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate an IT Asset Management (ITAM) program to implement and manage asset management controls.  | 5                        |       |
|                 |                                    |   |   | Intersects With   | Asset-Service Dependencies   | AST-01.1 | Mechanisms exist to identify and assess the security of Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) that support more than one critical business function.  | 5                        |       |
|                 |                                    |   |   | Intersects With   | Third-Party Management   | TPM-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of third-party management controls.  | 5                        |       |
|                 |                                    |   |   | Intersects With   | Third-Party Inventories  | TPM-01.1 | Mechanisms exist to maintain a current, accurate and complete list of External Service Providers (ESPs) that can potentially impact the Confidentiality, Integrity, Availability and/or Safety (CIAS) of the organization's Technology Assets, Applications, Services and/or Data (TAASD).   | 8                        |       |
|                 |                                    |   |   | Intersects With   | Third-Party Criticality Assessments                                      | TPM-02   | Mechanisms exist to identify, prioritize and assess suppliers and partners of critical Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) using a supply chain risk assessment process relative to their importance in supporting the delivery of high-value services.   | 8                        |       |
| GV-SC-05        | N/A                                | Requirements to address cybersecurity risks in supply chains are established, prioritized, and integrated into contracts and other types of agreements with suppliers and other relevant third parties. | Functional  | Intersects With   | Statutory, Regulatory & Contractual Compliance                           | CPL-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the identification and implementation of relevant statutory, regulatory and contractual controls.   | 5                        |       |
|                 |                                    |   |   | Intersects With   | Compliance Scope   | CPL-01.2 | Mechanisms exist to document and validate the scope of security, compliance and resilience controls that are determined to meet statutory, regulatory and/or contractual compliance obligations.   | 5                        |       |
|                 |                                    |   |   | Intersects With   | Adequate Security for Sensitive / Regulated Data In Support of Contracts | IAO-03.2 | Mechanisms exist to protect sensitive/regulated data that is collected, developed, received, transmitted, used or stored in support of the performance of a contract.  | 5                        |       |
|                 |                                    |   |   | Intersects With   | Data Privacy Requirements for Contractors & Service Providers            | PR-07.1  | Mechanisms exist to include data privacy requirements in contracts and other acquisition-related documents that establish data privacy roles and responsibilities for contractors and service providers.   | 5                        |       |
|                 |                                    |   |   | Intersects With   | Risk Management Program  | RSK-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of strategic, operational and tactical risk management controls.   | 5                        |       |
|                 |                                    |   |   | Intersects With   | Supply Chain Risk Management (SCRM) Plan                                 | RSK-09   | Mechanisms exist to develop a plan for Supply Chain Risk Management (SCRM) associated with the development, acquisition, maintenance and disposal of Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS), including documenting selected mitigating actions and monitoring performance against those plans.   | 5                        |       |
|                 |                                    |   |   | Intersects With   | Third-Party Contract Requirements  | TPM-05   | Mechanisms exist to require contractual requirements for applicable security, compliance and resilience requirements with third parties, reflecting the organization's needs to protect its Technology Assets, Applications, Services and/or Data (TAASD).   | 5                        |       |
| GV-SC-06        | N/A                                | Planning and due diligence are performed to reduce risks before entering into formal supplier or other third-party relationships.   | Functional  | Intersects With   | Third-Party Management   | TPM-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of third-party management controls.  | 5                        |       |
|                 |                                    |   |   | Intersects With   | Third-Party Criticality Assessments                                      | TPM-02   | Mechanisms exist to identify, prioritize and assess suppliers and partners of critical Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) using a supply chain risk assessment process relative to their importance in supporting the delivery of high-value services.   | 5                        |       |
|                 |                                    |   |   | Intersects With   | Supply Chain Risk Management (SCRM)                                      | TPM-03   | Mechanisms exist to (1) Evaluate security risks and threats associated with Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) supply chains; and (2) Take appropriate remediation actions to minimize the organization's exposure to those risks and threats, as necessary.   | 5                        |       |
|                 |                                    |   |   | Intersects With   | Limit Potential Harm   | TPM-03.2 | Mechanisms exist to utilize security safeguards to limit harm from potential adversaries who identify and target the organization's supply chain.  | 5                        |       |
|                 |                                    |   |   | Intersects With   | Processes To Address Weaknesses or Deficiencies                          | TPM-03.3 | Mechanisms exist to address identified weaknesses or deficiencies in the security of the supply chain.   | 5                        |       |
|                 |                                    |   |   | Intersects With   | Third-Party Services   | TPM-04   | Mechanisms exist to mitigate the risks associated with third-party access to the organization's Technology Assets, Applications, Services and/or Data (TAASD).   | 5                        |       |
|                 |                                    |   |   | Intersects With   | Third-Party Risk Assessments & Approvals                                 | TPM-04.1 | Mechanisms exist to conduct a risk assessment prior to the acquisition or outsourcing of technology-related Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS).  | 5                        |       |
|                 |                                    |   |   | Intersects With   | Conflict of Interests  | TPM-04.3 | Mechanisms exist to ensure that the interests of external service providers are consistent with and reflect organizational interests.  | 5                        |       |
|                 |                                    |   |   | Intersects With   | Third-Party Processing, Storage and Service Locations                    | TPM-04.4 | Mechanisms exist to restrict the location of information processing/storage based on business requirements.  | 5                        |       |
|                 |                                    |   |   | Intersects With   | Third-Party Contract Requirements  | TPM-05   | Mechanisms exist to require contractual requirements for applicable security, compliance and resilience requirements with third parties, reflecting the organization's needs to protect its Technology Assets, Applications, Services and/or Data (TAASD).   | 5                        |       |
|                 |                                    |   |   | Intersects With   | Contract Flow-Down Requirements  | TPM-05.2 | Mechanisms exist to ensure applicable security, compliance and resilience requirements are included in contracts that flow-down to applicable sub-contractors and suppliers.   | 5                        |       |
|                 |                                    |   |   | Intersects With   | Third-Party Authentication Practices                                     | TPM-05.3 | Mechanisms exist to ensure External Service Providers (ESPs) use unique authentication factors for each of its customers.  | 5                        |       |
|                 |                                    |   |   | Intersects With   | Responsible, Accountable, Supportive, Consulted & Informed (RASC) Matrix | TPM-05.4 | Mechanisms exist to document and maintain a Responsible, Accountable, Supportive, Consulted & Informed (RASC) matrix, or similar documentation, to delineate assignment for security, compliance and resilience controls between internal stakeholders and External Service Providers (ESPs).  | 5                        |       |
|                 |                                    |   |   | Intersects With   | Third-Party Scope Review   | TPM-05.5 | Mechanisms exist to perform recurring validation of the Responsible, Accountable, Supportive, Consulted & Informed (RASC) matrix, or similar documentation, to ensure security, compliance and resilience control assignments accurately reflect current: (1) Contractual obligations for the External Service Provider (ESP); (2) Business practices; (3) Applicable stakeholders; and (4) Deployed Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS). | 5                        |       |
|                 |                                    |   |   | Intersects With   | First-Party Declaration (FPD)  | TPM-05.6 | Mechanisms exist to obtain a First-Party Declaration (FPD) from applicable External Service Providers (ESPs) that provides assurance of compliance with specified statutory, regulatory and contractual obligations for security, compliance and resilience controls, including any flow-down requirements to sub-contractors.   | 5                        |       |
| Intersects With | Break Clauses                      | TPM-05.7  | Mechanisms exist to include "break clauses" within contracts for failure to meet contract criteria for security, compliance and/or resilience controls.       | 5                 |  |          |  |                          |       |
| Intersects With | Third-Party Personnel Security     | TPM-06  | Mechanisms exist to control personnel security requirements including security roles and responsibilities for third-party providers.                          | 5                 |  |          |  |                          |       |
| Intersects With | Third-Party Deficiency Remediation | TPM-09  | Mechanisms exist to address weaknesses or deficiencies in supply chain elements identified during independent or organizational assessments of such elements. | 5                 |  |          |  |                          |       |

| FDE #           | FDE Name                                 | Focal Document Element (FDE) Description  | STRM Rationale   | STRM Relationship | SCF Control   | SCF #  | Secure Controls Framework (SCF) Control Description   | Strength of Relationship | Notes                                  |
|-----------------|--|---|--|-------------------|---|--|---|--------------------------|--|
| GV-SC-07        | N/A                                      | The risks posed by a supplier, their products and services, and other third parties are understood, recorded, prioritized, assessed, responded to, and monitored over the course of the relationship.   | Functional   | Intersects With   | Third-Party Management                                | TPM-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of third-party management controls.   | 5                        |  |
|                 |  |   |  | Intersects With   | Third-Party Inventories                               | TPM-01.1   | Mechanisms exist to maintain a current, accurate and complete list of External Service Providers (ESPs) that can potentially impact the Confidentiality, Integrity, Availability and/or Safety (CIAS) of the organization's Technology Assets, Applications, Services and/or Data (TAASD).  | 5                        |  |
|                 |  |   |  | Intersects With   | Third-Party Criticality Assessments                   | TPM-02   | Mechanisms exist to identify, prioritize and assess suppliers and partners of critical Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) using a supply chain risk assessment process relative to their importance in supporting the delivery of high-value services.  | 5                        |  |
|                 |  |   |  | Intersects With   | Supply Chain Risk Management (SCRM)                   | TPM-03   | Mechanisms exist to: (1) Evaluate security risks and threats associated with Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) supply chains; and (2) Take appropriate remediation actions to minimize the organization's exposure to those risks and threats.   | 5                        |  |
|                 |  |   |  | Intersects With   | Limit Potential Harm                                  | TPM-03.2   | Mechanisms exist to utilize security safeguards to limit harm from potential adversaries who identify and target the organization's supply chain.   | 5                        |  |
|                 |  |   |  | Intersects With   | Processes To Address Weaknesses or Deficiencies       | TPM-03.3   | Mechanisms exist to address identified weaknesses or deficiencies in the security of the supply chain.  | 5                        |  |
|                 |  |   |  | Intersects With   | Third-Party Services                                  | TPM-04   | Mechanisms exist to mitigate the risks associated with third-party access to the organization's Technology Assets, Applications, Services and/or Data (TAASD).  | 5                        |  |
|                 |  |   |  | Intersects With   | Third-Party Risk Assessments & Approvals              | TPM-04.1   | Mechanisms exist to conduct a risk assessment prior to the acquisition or outsourcing of technology-related Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS).   | 5                        |  |
|                 |  |   |  | Intersects With   | Review of Third-Party Services                        | TPM-08   | Mechanisms exist to monitor, regularly review and assess External Service Providers (ESPs) for compliance with established contractual requirements for security, compliance and resilience controls.   | 5                        |  |
| GV-SC-08        | N/A                                      | Relevant suppliers and other third parties are included in incident planning, response, and recovery activities.  | Functional   | Intersects With   | Third-Party Deficiency Remediation                    | TPM-09   | Mechanisms exist to address weaknesses or deficiencies in supply chain elements identified during independent or organizational assessments of such elements.   | 5                        |  |
|                 |  |   |  | Intersects With   | Business Continuity Management System (BCMS)          | BCD-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of contingency planning controls to help ensure resilient Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) (e.g., Continuity of Operations Plan (COOP) or Business Continuity & Disaster Recovery (BC/DR) playbooks).   | 5                        |  |
|                 |  |   |  | Intersects With   | Coordinate With External Service Providers            | BCD-01.2   | Mechanisms exist to coordinate internal contingency plans with the contingency plans of external service providers to ensure that contingency requirements can be satisfied.  | 5                        |  |
|                 |  |   |  | Intersects With   | Incident Response Operations                          | IRO-01   | Mechanisms exist to implement and govern processes and documentation to facilitate an organization-wide response capability for cybersecurity and data protection-related incidents.  | 5                        |  |
|                 |  |   |  | Intersects With   | Incident Handling                                     | IRO-02   | Mechanisms exist to cover: (1) Preparation; (2) Automated event detection or manual incident report intake; (3) Analysis; (4) Containment; (5) Eradication; and (6) Recovery.   | 5                        |  |
|                 |  |   |  | Intersects With   | Correlation with External Organizations               | IRO-02.5   | Mechanisms exist to coordinate with approved third-parties to achieve a cross-organization perspective on incident awareness and more effective incident responses.   | 5                        |  |
|                 |  |   |  | Intersects With   | Third-Party Management                                | TPM-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of third-party management controls.   | 5                        |  |
|                 |  |   |  | Intersects With   | Third-Party Inventories                               | TPM-01.1   | Mechanisms exist to maintain a current, accurate and complete list of External Service Providers (ESPs) that can potentially impact the Confidentiality, Integrity, Availability and/or Safety (CIAS) of the organization's Technology Assets, Applications, Services and/or Data (TAASD).  | 5                        |  |
|                 |  |   |  | Intersects With   | Third-Party Criticality Assessments                   | TPM-02   | Mechanisms exist to identify, prioritize and assess suppliers and partners of critical Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) using a supply chain risk assessment process relative to their importance in supporting the delivery of high-value services.  | 5                        |  |
| GV-SC-09        | N/A                                      | Supply chain security practices are integrated into cybersecurity and enterprise risk management programs, and their performance is monitored throughout the technology product and service life cycle. | Functional   | Intersects With   | Third-Party Deficiency Remediation                    | TPM-09   | Mechanisms exist to address weaknesses or deficiencies in supply chain elements identified during independent or organizational assessments of such elements.   | 5                        |  |
|                 |  |   |  | Intersects With   | Managing Changes To Third-Party Services              | TPM-10   | Mechanisms exist to control changes to services by suppliers, taking into account the criticality of business Technology Assets, Applications, Services and/or Data (TAASD) that are in scope by the third-party.   | 5                        |  |
|                 |  |   |  | Intersects With   | Third-Party Incident Response & Recovery Capabilities | TPM-11   | Mechanisms exist to ensure response/recovery planning and testing are conducted with critical suppliers/providers.  | 5                        |  |
|                 |  |   |  | Subset Of         | Security, Compliance & Resilience Program (SCRPP)     | GOV-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of security, compliance and resilience governance controls.   | 10                       |  |
|                 |  |   |  | Intersects With   | Steering Committee & Program Oversight                | GOV-01.1   | Mechanisms exist to align security, compliance and resilience capabilities with business requirements through a steering committee or advisory board, comprised of key cybersecurity, data protection and business executives, which meets formally and on a regular basis.   | 5                        |  |
|                 |  |   |  | Intersects With   | Status Reporting To Governing Body                    | GOV-01.2   | Mechanisms exist to provide governance oversight reporting and recommendations to those entrusted to make executive decisions about matters considered material to the organization's Security, Compliance & Resilience Program (SCRPP).  | 5                        |  |
|                 |  |   |  | Intersects With   | Measures of Performance                               | GOV-05   | Mechanisms exist to develop, report and monitor Security, Compliance & Resilience Program (SCRPP) measures of performance.  | 5                        |  |
|                 |  |   |  | Intersects With   | Secure Development Life Cycle (SDLC) Management       | PRM-07   | Mechanisms exist to ensure changes to Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) within the Secure Development Life Cycle (SDLC) are controlled through formal change control procedures.   | 5                        |  |
|                 |  |   |  | Intersects With   | Risk Management Program                               | RSK-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of strategic, operational and tactical risk management controls.  | 5                        |  |
| GV-SC-10        | N/A                                      | Cybersecurity supply chain risk management plans include provisions for activities that occur after the conclusion of a partnership or service agreement.   | Functional   | Intersects With   | Supply Chain Risk Management (SCRM) Plan              | RSK-09   | Mechanisms exist to develop a plan for Supply Chain Risk Management (SCRM) associated with the development, acquisition, maintenance and disposal of Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS), including documenting selected mitigating actions and monitoring performance against those plans.  | 5                        |  |
|                 |  |   |  | Intersects With   | Supply Chain Risk Assessment                          | RSK-09.1   | Mechanisms exist to periodically assess supply chain risks associated with Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS).  | 5                        |  |
|                 |  |   |  | Intersects With   | Technology Lifecycle Management                       | SEA-07.1   | Mechanisms exist to manage the usable lifecycles of Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS).   | 5                        |  |
|                 |  |   |  | Intersects With   | Product Management                                    | TDA-01.1   | Mechanisms exist to design and implement product management processes to proactively govern the design, development and production of Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) across the System Development Life Cycle (SDLC) to: (1) Improve functionality; (2) Enhance security and resiliency capabilities; (3) Correct weaknesses; and (4) Address other product management needs. | 5                        |  |
|                 |  |   |  | Subset Of         | Supply Chain Risk Management (SCRM) Plan              | RSK-09   | Mechanisms exist to develop a plan for Supply Chain Risk Management (SCRM) associated with the development, acquisition, maintenance and disposal of Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS), including documenting selected mitigating actions and monitoring performance against those plans.  | 10                       |  |
|                 |  |   |  | Intersects With   | Third-Party Management                                | TPM-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of third-party management controls.   | 5                        |  |
|                 |  |   |  | Intersects With   | Contract Flow-Down Requirements                       | TPM-05.2   | Mechanisms exist to ensure applicable security, compliance and resilience requirements are included in contracts that flow-down to applicable sub-contractors and suppliers.  | 5                        |  |
|                 |  |   |  | Intersects With   | Third-Party Authentication Practices                  | TPM-05.3   | Mechanisms exist to ensure External Service Providers (ESPs) use unique authentication factors for each of its customers.   | 5                        |  |
|                 |  |   |  | ID                | N/A   | The organization's current cybersecurity risks are understood. | Functional  | Subset Of                | Steering Committee & Program Oversight |
| Intersects With | Status Reporting To Governing Body       | GOV-01.2  | Mechanisms exist to provide governance oversight reporting and recommendations to those entrusted to make executive decisions about matters considered material to the organization's Security, Compliance & Resilience Program (SCRPP).   |                   |   |  |   | 5                        |  |
| Intersects With | Risk Management Program                  | RSK-01  | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of strategic, operational and tactical risk management controls.   |                   |   |  |   | 5                        |  |
| Intersects With | Risk Framing                             | RSK-01.1  | Mechanisms exist to identify: (1) Assumptions affecting risk assessments, risk response and risk monitoring; (2) Constraints affecting risk assessments, risk response and risk monitoring; (3) The organizational risk tolerance; and (4) Priorities, benefits and trade-offs considered by the organization for managing risk. |                   |   |  |   | 5                        |  |
| Intersects With | Risk Identification                      | RSK-03  | Mechanisms exist to identify and document risks, both internal and external.   |                   |   |  |   | 5                        |  |
| Intersects With | Risk Catalog                             | RSK-03.1  | Mechanisms exist to develop and keep current a catalog of applicable risks associated with the organization's business operations and technologies in use.   |                   |   |  |   | 5                        |  |
| Intersects With | Risk Assessment                          | RSK-04  | Mechanisms exist to conduct recurring assessments of risk that includes the likelihood and magnitude of harm, from unauthorized access, use, disclosure, disruption, modification or destruction of the organization's Technology Assets, Applications, Services and/or Data (TAASD).  |                   |   |  |   | 5                        |  |
| Intersects With | Risk Register                            | RSK-04.1  | Mechanisms exist to maintain a risk register that facilitates monitoring and reporting of risks.   |                   |   |  |   | 5                        |  |
| Intersects With | Risk Ranking                             | RSK-05  | Mechanisms exist to identify and assign a risk ranking to newly discovered security vulnerabilities that is based on industry-recognized practices.  |                   |   |  |   | 5                        |  |
| Intersects With | Supply Chain Risk Management (SCRM) Plan | RSK-09  | Mechanisms exist to develop a plan for Supply Chain Risk Management (SCRM) associated with the development, acquisition, maintenance and disposal of Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS), including documenting selected mitigating actions and monitoring performance against those plans.                   |                   |   |  |   | 5                        |  |
| Subset Of       | Asset Governance                         | AST-01  | Mechanisms exist to facilitate an IT Asset Management (ITAM) program to implement and manage asset management controls.  |                   |   |  |   | 10                       |  |
| Intersects With | Asset-Service Dependencies               | AST-01.1  | Mechanisms exist to identify and assess the security of Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) that support more than one critical business function.  |                   |   |  |   | 5                        |  |
| Intersects With | Stakeholder Identification & Involvement | AST-01.2  | Mechanisms exist to identify and involve pertinent stakeholders of critical Technology Assets, Applications, Services and/or Data (TAASD) to support the ongoing secure management of those assets.  |                   |   |  |   | 5                        |  |

| FDE #    | FDE Name | Focal Document Element (FDE) Description  | STRM Rationale | STRM Relationship | SCF Control  | SCF #   | Secure Controls Framework (SCF) Control Description   | Strength of Relationship | Notes             |
|----------|----------|---|----------------|-------------------|--|---|---|--------------------------|-------------------|
| ID-AM    | N/A      |   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Asset Inventories  | AST-02  | Mechanisms exist to perform inventories of Technology Assets, Applications, Services and/or Data (TAASD) that:(1) Accurately reflects the current TAASD in use;(2) Identifies authorized software products, including business justification details;(3) Is at the level of granularity deemed necessary for tracking and reporting;(4) Includes organization-defined information deemed necessary to achieve effective property accountability; and(5) Is available for review and audit by designated organizational personnel. | 5                        |                   |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Asset Ownership Assignment   | AST-03  | Mechanisms exist to ensure asset ownership responsibilities are assigned, tracked and managed at a team, individual, or responsible organization level to establish a common understanding of requirements for asset protection.  | 5                        |                   |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Accountability Information   | AST-03.1  | Mechanisms exist to include capturing the name, position and/or role of individuals responsible/accountable for administering assets as part of the technology asset inventory process.   | 5                        |                   |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Human Resources Security Management                                      | HRS-01  | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of personnel security controls.   | 5                        |                   |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Defined Roles & Responsibilities   | HRS-03  | Mechanisms exist to define cybersecurity roles & responsibilities for all personnel.  | 5                        |                   |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Terms of Employment  | HRS-05  | Mechanisms exist to require all employees and contractors to apply cybersecurity and data protection principles in their daily work to enable secure, compliant and resilient capabilities.   | 5                        |                   |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Rules of Behavior  | HRS-05.1  | Mechanisms exist to define acceptable and unacceptable rules of behavior for the use of technologies, including consequences for unacceptable behavior.   | 5                        |                   |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Physical & Environmental Protections                                     | PES-01  | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the operation of physical and environmental protection controls.   | 5                        |                   |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Risk-Based Security Categorization                                       | RSK-02  | Mechanisms exist to categorize Technology Assets, Applications, Services and/or Data (TAASD) in accordance with applicable laws, regulations and contractual obligations that:(1) Document the security categorization results (including supporting rationale) in the security plan for systems; and(2) Ensure the security categorization decision is reviewed and approved by the asset owner.   | 5                        |                   |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Third-Party Management   | TPM-01  | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of third-party management controls.   | 5                        |                   |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Third-Party Inventories  | TPM-01.1  | Mechanisms exist to maintain a current, accurate and complete list of External Service Providers (ESPs) that can potentially impact the Confidentiality, Integrity, Availability and/or Safety (CIAS) of the organization's Technology Assets, Applications, Services and/or Data (TAASD).  | 5                        |                   |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Responsible, Accountable, Supportive, Consulted & Informed (RASC) Matrix | TPM-05.4  | Mechanisms exist to document and maintain a Responsible, Accountable, Supportive, Consulted & Informed (RASC) matrix, or similar documentation, to delineate assignment for security, compliance and resilience controls between internal stakeholders and External Service Providers (ESPs).   | 5                        |                   |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Third-Party Personnel Security   | TPM-06  | Mechanisms exist to control personnel security requirements including security roles and responsibilities for third-party providers.  | 5                        |                   |
|          |          |   |                | ID-AM-01          | N/A  | Inventories of hardware managed by the organization are maintained. | Functional  | Subset Of                | Asset Inventories |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Third-Party Inventories  | TPM-01.1  | Mechanisms exist to maintain a current, accurate and complete list of External Service Providers (ESPs) that can potentially impact the Confidentiality, Integrity, Availability and/or Safety (CIAS) of the organization's Technology Assets, Applications, Services and/or Data (TAASD).  | 5                        |                   |
| ID-AM-02 | N/A      | Inventories of software, services, and systems managed by the organization are maintained.  | Functional     | Subset Of         | Asset Inventories  | AST-02  | Mechanisms exist to perform inventories of Technology Assets, Applications, Services and/or Data (TAASD) that:(1) Accurately reflects the current TAASD in use;(2) Identifies authorized software products, including business justification details;(3) Is at the level of granularity deemed necessary for tracking and reporting;(4) Includes organization-defined information deemed necessary to achieve effective property accountability; and(5) Is available for review and audit by designated organizational personnel. | 10                       |                   |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Third-Party Inventories  | TPM-01.1  | Mechanisms exist to maintain a current, accurate and complete list of External Service Providers (ESPs) that can potentially impact the Confidentiality, Integrity, Availability and/or Safety (CIAS) of the organization's Technology Assets, Applications, Services and/or Data (TAASD).  | 5                        |                   |
| ID-AM-03 | N/A      | Representations of the organization's authorized network communication and internal and external network data flows are maintained. | Functional     | Intersects With   | Network Diagrams & Data Flow Diagrams (DFDs)                             | AST-04  | Mechanisms exist to maintain network architecture diagrams that:(1) Contain sufficient detail to assess the security of the network's architecture;(2) Reflect the current architecture of the network environment; and(3) Document all sensitive/regulatory data flows.  | 5                        |                   |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Control Applicability Boundary Graphical Representation                  | AST-04.2  | Mechanisms exist to ensure control applicability is appropriately-determined for Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) and third parties by graphically representing applicable boundaries.  | 5                        |                   |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Geographic Location of Data  | DCH-19  | Mechanisms exist to inventory, document and maintain data flows for data that is resident (permanently or temporarily) within a service's geographically distributed applications (physical and virtual), infrastructure, systems components and/or shared with other third parties.  | 5                        |                   |
| ID-AM-04 | N/A      | Inventories of services provided by suppliers are maintained.   | Functional     | Equal             | Third-Party Inventories  | TPM-01.1  | Mechanisms exist to maintain a current, accurate and complete list of External Service Providers (ESPs) that can potentially impact the Confidentiality, Integrity, Availability and/or Safety (CIAS) of the organization's Technology Assets, Applications, Services and/or Data (TAASD).  | 10                       |                   |
| ID-AM-05 | N/A      | Assets are prioritized based on classification, criticality, resources, and impact on the mission.                                  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Asset Scope Classification   | AST-04.1  | Mechanisms exist to determine security, compliance and resilience control applicability by identifying, assigning and documenting the appropriate asset scope categorization for all Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) and personnel (internal and third parties).   | 5                        |                   |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Identify Critical Assets   | BCD-02  | Mechanisms exist to identify and document the critical Technology Assets, Applications, Services and/or Data (TAASD) that support essential missions and business functions.  | 5                        |                   |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Data & Asset Classification  | DCH-02  | Mechanisms exist to ensure data and assets are categorized in accordance with applicable statutory, regulatory and contractual requirements.  | 5                        |                   |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Third-Party Criticality Assessments                                      | TPM-02  | Mechanisms exist to identify, prioritize and assess suppliers and partners of critical technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) using a supply chain risk assessment process relative to their importance in supporting the delivery of high-value services.  | 5                        |                   |
| ID-AM-07 | N/A      | Inventories of data and corresponding metadata for designated data types are maintained.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Media Storage  | DCH-06  | Mechanisms exist to:(1) Physically control and securely store digital and non-digital media within controlled areas using organization-defined security measures; and(2) Protect system media until the media are destroyed or sanitized using approved equipment, techniques and procedures.   | 5                        |                   |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Sensitive Data Inventories   | DCH-06.2  | Mechanisms exist to maintain inventory logs of all sensitive media and conduct sensitive media inventories at least annually.   | 5                        |                   |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Periodic Scans for Sensitive / Regulated Data                            | DCH-06.3  | Mechanisms exist to periodically scan unstructured data sources for sensitive/regulated data or data requiring special protection measures by statutory, regulatory or contractual obligations.   | 5                        |                   |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Personal Data (PD) Retention & Disposal                                  | PRR-05  | Mechanisms exist to:(1) Retain Personal Data (PD), including metadata, for an organization-defined time period to fulfill the purposes identified in the notice or as required by law;(2) Dispose of, destroys, erases, and/or anonymizes the PD, regardless of the method of storage; and(3) Use organization-defined techniques or methods to ensure secure deletion or destruction of PD (including originals, copies and archived records).   | 5                        |                   |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Inventory of Personal Data (PD)  | PRR-05.5  | Mechanisms exist to establish and maintain a current inventory of all Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) that collect, receive, process, store, transmit, share, update and/or dispose Personal Data (PD).  | 5                        |                   |
| ID-AM-08 | N/A      | Systems, hardware, software, services, and data are managed throughout their life cycles.   | Functional     | Subset Of         | Asset Governance   | AST-01  | Mechanisms exist to facilitate an IT Asset Management (ITAM) program to implement and manage asset management controls.   | 10                       |                   |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Stakeholder Identification & Involvement                                 | AST-01.2  | Mechanisms exist to identify and involve pertinent stakeholders of critical Technology Assets, Applications, Services and/or Data (TAASD) to support the ongoing secure management of those assets.   | 5                        |                   |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Data Protection  | DCH-01  | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of data protection controls.  | 5                        |                   |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Data Stewardship   | DCH-01.1  | Mechanisms exist to ensure data stewardship is assigned, documented and communicated.   | 5                        |                   |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Secure Development Life Cycle (SDLC) Management                          | PRM-07  | Mechanisms exist to ensure changes to Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) within the Secure Development Life Cycle (SDLC) are controlled through formal change control procedures.   | 5                        |                   |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Predictable Failure Analysis   | SEA-07  | Mechanisms exist to determine the Mean Time to Failure (MTTF) for system components in specific environments of operation.  | 5                        |                   |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Technology Lifecycle Management  | SEA-07.1  | Mechanisms exist to manage the usable lifecycles of Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS).   | 5                        |                   |
|          |          | The cybersecurity risk to the organization, assets, and individuals is understood by the organization.                              |                | Subset Of         | Security, Compliance & Resilience Program (SCRPR)                        | GOV-01  | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of security, compliance and resilience governance controls.   | 10                       |                   |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Steering Committee & Program Oversight                                   | GOV-01.1  | Mechanisms exist to align security, compliance and resilience capabilities with business requirements through a steering committee or advisory board, comprised of key cybersecurity, data protection and business executives, which meets formally and on a regular basis.   | 5                        |                   |
| ID-RA    | N/A      |   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Publishing Security, Compliance & Resilience Documentation               | GOV-02  | Mechanisms exist to establish, maintain and disseminate policies, standards and procedures necessary for secure, compliant and resilient capabilities.  | 5                        |                   |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Risk Management Program  | RSK-01  | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of strategic, operational and tactical risk management controls.  | 5                        |                   |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Supply Chain Risk Management (SCRM) Plan                                 | RSK-09  | Mechanisms exist to develop a plan for Supply Chain Risk Management (SCRM) associated with the development, acquisition, maintenance and disposal of Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS), including documenting selected mitigating actions and monitoring performance against those plans.  | 5                        |                   |

| FDE #    | FDE Name | Focal Document Element (FDE) Description   | STRM Rationale | STRM Relationship | SCF Control  | SCF #    | Secure Controls Framework (SCF) Control Description  | Strength of Relationship | Notes |
|----------|----------|--|----------------|-------------------|--|----------|--|--------------------------|-------|
| ID.RA-01 | N/A      | Vulnerabilities in assets are identified, validated, and recorded.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Information Assurance (IA) Operations                            | IAO-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of security, compliance and resilience assessment and authorization controls.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Assessments  | IAO-02   | Mechanisms exist to formally assess the security, compliance and resilience controls in Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) through Information Assurance Program (IAP) activities to determine the extent to which the controls are implemented correctly, operating as intended and producing the desired outcome with respect to meeting expected requirements.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Capabilities Deficiency Tracking                                 | IAO-05   | Mechanisms exist to govern identified deficiencies (e.g., Plan of Action and Milestones (POAAM) or similar methodology) that formally documents, at a minimum: (1) Deficiency tracking number; (2) Applicable security, compliance and/or resilience control; (3) Description of the deficiency(ies); (4) Risk associated with the deficiency(ies); (5) Source of the deficiency(ies); (6) Assessment response plan; (7) Remediation plan; (8) Date of completion; (9) Status of completion; (10) Date of re-assessment; (11) Date of closure. | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Risk Assessment  | RSK-04   | Mechanisms exist to conduct recurring assessments of risk that includes the likelihood and magnitude of harm, from unauthorized access, use, disclosure, disruption, modification or destruction of the organization's Technology Assets, Applications, Services and/or Data (TAASD).  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Risk Register  | RSK-04.1 | Mechanisms exist to maintain a risk register that facilitates monitoring and reporting of risks.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Security, Compliance & Resilience Testing Throughout Development | TDA-09   | Mechanisms exist to require system developers/integrators consult with security, compliance and/or resilience personnel to: (1) Create and implement a Security Testing and Evaluation (ST&E) plan, or similar capability; (2) Implement a verifiable flow remediation process to correct weaknesses and deficiencies identified during the control testing and evaluation process; and (3) Document the results.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Subset Of         | Vulnerability & Patch Management Program (VPMP)                  | VPM-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation and monitoring of vulnerability management controls.   | 10                       |       |
| ID.RA-02 | N/A      | Cyber threat intelligence is received from information sharing forums and sources.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Vulnerability Scanning   | VPM-06   | Mechanisms exist to detect vulnerabilities and configuration errors by routine vulnerability scanning of systems and applications.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Contacts With Groups & Associations                              | GOV-07   | Mechanisms exist to maintain contact with selected groups and associations within the security, compliance and resilience communities to: (1) Facilitate ongoing cybersecurity and data protection education and training for organizational personnel; (2) Maintain currency with recommended cybersecurity and data protection practices, techniques and technologies; and (3) Share current cybersecurity and/or data protection-related information including threats, vulnerabilities and incidents.                                      | 5                        |       |
| ID.RA-03 | N/A      | Internal and external threats to the organization are identified and recorded.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Threat Intelligence Feeds  | THR-03   | Mechanisms exist to maintain situational awareness of vulnerabilities and evolving threats by leveraging the knowledge of attacker tactics, techniques and procedures to facilitate the implementation of preventative and compensating controls.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Subset Of         | Threat Intelligence Program                                      | THR-01   | Mechanisms exist to implement a threat intelligence program that includes a cross-organization information-sharing capability that can influence the development of the system and security architectures, selection of security solutions, monitoring, threat hunting, response and recovery activities.  | 10                       |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Indicators of Exposure (IOE)                                     | THR-02   | Mechanisms exist to develop Indicators of Exposure (IOE) to understand the potential attack vectors that attackers could use to attack the organization.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Insider Threat Program   | THR-04   | Mechanisms exist to implement an insider threat program that includes a cross-discipline insider threat incident handling team.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Insider Threat Awareness   | THR-05   | Mechanisms exist to utilize security awareness training on recognizing and reporting potential indicators of insider threat.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Threat Hunting   | THR-07   | Mechanisms exist to perform cyber threat hunting that uses Indicators of Compromise (IOC) to detect, track and disrupt threats that evade existing security controls.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Threat Catalog   | THR-09   | Mechanisms exist to develop and keep current a catalog of applicable internal and external threats to the organization, both natural and manmade.  | 5                        |       |
| ID.RA-04 | N/A      | Potential impacts and likelihoods of threats exploiting vulnerabilities are identified and recorded.                                       | Functional     | Intersects With   | Threat Catalog   | THR-09   | Mechanisms exist to develop and keep current a catalog of applicable internal and external threats to the organization, both natural and manmade.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Threat Analysis  | THR-10   | Mechanisms exist to identify, assess, prioritize and document the potential impact(s) and likelihood(s) of applicable internal and external threats.   | 5                        |       |
| ID.RA-05 | N/A      | Threats, vulnerabilities, likelihoods, and impacts are used to understand inherent risk and inform risk response prioritization.           | Functional     | Intersects With   | Risk Framing   | RSK-01.1 | Mechanisms exist to identify: (1) Assumptions affecting risk assessments, risk response and risk monitoring; (2) Constraints affecting risk assessments, risk response and risk monitoring; (3) The organizational risk tolerance; and (4) Priorities, benefits and trade-offs considered by the organization for managing risk.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Impact-Level Prioritization                                      | RSK-02.1 | Mechanisms exist to prioritize the impact level for Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) to prevent potential disruptions.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Risk Assessment  | RSK-04   | Mechanisms exist to conduct recurring assessments of risk that includes the likelihood and magnitude of harm, from unauthorized access, use, disclosure, disruption, modification or destruction of the organization's Technology Assets, Applications, Services and/or Data (TAASD).  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Risk Ranking   | RSK-05   | Mechanisms exist to identify and assign a risk ranking to newly discovered security vulnerabilities that is based on industry-recognized practices.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Risk Remediation   | RSK-06   | Mechanisms exist to remediate risks to an acceptable level.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Risk Response  | RSK-06.1 | Mechanisms exist to ensure proper risk response actions were performed to remediate findings from security, compliance and/or resilience-related: (1) Assessments; (2) Audits; and/or (3) Incidents.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Indicators of Exposure (IOE)                                     | THR-02   | Mechanisms exist to develop indicators of Exposure (IOE) to understand the potential attack vectors that attackers could use to attack the organization.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Threat Catalog   | THR-09   | Mechanisms exist to develop and keep current a catalog of applicable internal and external threats to the organization, both natural and manmade.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Threat Analysis  | THR-10   | Mechanisms exist to identify, assess, prioritize and document the potential impact(s) and likelihood(s) of applicable internal and external threats.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Risk Framing   | RSK-01.1 | Mechanisms exist to identify: (1) Assumptions affecting risk assessments, risk response and risk monitoring; (2) Constraints affecting risk assessments, risk response and risk monitoring; (3) The organizational risk tolerance; and (4) Priorities, benefits and trade-offs considered by the organization for managing risk.   | 5                        |       |
| ID.RA-06 | N/A      | Risk responses are chosen, prioritized, planned, tracked, and communicated.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Impact-Level Prioritization                                      | RSK-02.1 | Mechanisms exist to prioritize the impact level for Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) to prevent potential disruptions.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Risk Ranking   | RSK-05   | Mechanisms exist to identify and assign a risk ranking to newly discovered security vulnerabilities that is based on industry-recognized practices.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Risk Remediation   | RSK-06   | Mechanisms exist to remediate risks to an acceptable level.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Risk Response  | RSK-06.1 | Mechanisms exist to ensure proper risk response actions were performed to remediate findings from security, compliance and/or resilience-related: (1) Assessments; (2) Audits; and/or (3) Incidents.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Compensating Countermeasures                                     | RSK-06.2 | Mechanisms exist to identify and implement compensating countermeasures to reduce risk and exposure to threats.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Subset Of         | Change Management Program  | CHG-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of a change management program.  | 10                       |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Configuration Change Control                                     | CHG-02   | Mechanisms exist to govern the technical configuration change control processes.   | 5                        |       |
| ID.RA-07 | N/A      | Changes and exceptions are managed, assessed for risk impact, recorded, and tracked.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Prohibition Of Changes   | CHG-02.1 | Mechanisms exist to prohibit unauthorized changes, unless organization-approved change requests are received.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Test, Validate & Document Changes                                | CHG-02.2 | Mechanisms exist to appropriately test and document proposed changes in a non-production environment before changes are implemented in a production environment.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Security Impact Analysis for Changes                             | CHG-03   | Mechanisms exist to analyze proposed changes for potential security impacts, prior to the implementation of the change.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Access Restriction For Change                                    | CHG-04   | Mechanisms exist to enforce configuration restrictions in an effort to restrict the ability of users to conduct unauthorized changes.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Exception Management   | GOV-02.1 | Mechanisms exist to prohibit exceptions to standards, except when the exception has been formally assessed for risk impact, approved and recorded.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Threat Intelligence Program                                      | THR-01   | Mechanisms exist to implement a threat intelligence program that includes a cross-organization information-sharing capability that can influence the development of the system and security architectures, selection of security solutions, monitoring, threat hunting, response and recovery activities.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Indicators of Exposure (IOE)                                     | THR-02   | Mechanisms exist to develop indicators of Exposure (IOE) to understand the potential attack vectors that attackers could use to attack the organization.   | 5                        |       |
| ID.RA-08 | N/A      | Processes for receiving, analyzing, and responding to vulnerability disclosures are established.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Threat Intelligence Feeds  | THR-03   | Mechanisms exist to maintain situational awareness of vulnerabilities and evolving threats by leveraging the knowledge of attacker tactics, techniques and procedures to facilitate the implementation of preventative and compensating controls.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Vulnerability & Patch Management Program (VPMP)                  | VPM-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation and monitoring of vulnerability management controls.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Vulnerability Remediation Process                                | VPM-02   | Mechanisms exist to ensure that vulnerabilities are properly identified, tracked and remediated.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Vulnerability Ranking  | VPM-03   | Mechanisms exist to identify and assign a risk ranking to newly discovered security vulnerabilities using reputable outside sources for security vulnerability information.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Logical Tampering Protection                                     | AST-15   | Mechanisms exist to assess the integrity of critical Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) to detect evidence of tampering, where: (1) Logical assessments are used to assess the integrity of critical Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) to provision and protect the confidentiality, integrity and authenticity of product supplier keys and data that can be used as a "roots of trust" basis for integrity verification.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Roots of Trust Protection  | AST-18   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of tailored development and acquisition strategies, contract tools and procurement methods to meet unique business needs.  | 5                        |       |
| ID.RA-09 | N/A      | The authenticity and integrity of hardware and software are assessed prior to acquisition and use.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Technology Development & Acquisition                             | TDA-01   | Mechanisms exist to utilize integrity validation mechanisms for security updates.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Integrity Mechanisms for Software / Firmware Updates             | TDA-01.2 | Mechanisms exist to require system developers and integrators to perform configuration management during system design, development, implementation and operation.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Developer Configuration Management                               | TDA-14   | Mechanisms exist to require developers of Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) to enable integrity verification of software and firmware components.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Software / Firmware Integrity Verification                       | TDA-14.1 | Mechanisms exist to require developers of Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) to enable integrity verification of software and firmware components.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Hardware Integrity Verification                                  | TDA-14.2 | Mechanisms exist to require developers of Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) to enable integrity verification of hardware components.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Third-Party Inventories  | TPM-01.1 | Mechanisms exist to maintain a current, accurate and complete list of External Service Providers (ESPs) that can potentially impact the Confidentiality, Integrity, Availability and/or Safety (CIAS) of the organization's Technology Assets, Applications, Services and/or Data (TAASD).   | 5                        |       |
| ID.RA-10 | N/A      | Critical suppliers are assessed prior to acquisition.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Third-Party Criticality Assessments                              | TPM-02   | Mechanisms exist to identify, prioritize and assess suppliers and partners of critical Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) using a supply chain risk assessment process relative to their importance in supporting the delivery of high-value services.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Third-Party Risk Assessments & Approvals                         | TPM-04.1 | Mechanisms exist to conduct a risk assessment prior to the acquisition or outsourcing of technology-related Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS).  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Operations Security  | OPS-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of operational security controls.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          | Improvements to organizational cybersecurity risk management processes, procedures and activities are identified across all CSF Functions. |                | Intersects With   | Standardized Operating Procedures (SOP)                          | OPS-01.1 | Mechanisms exist to identify and document Standardized Operating Procedures (SOP), or similar documentation, to enable the proper execution of day-to-day / assigned tasks.  | 5                        |       |

| FDE #    | FDE Name | Focal Document Element (FDE) Description   | STRM Rationale | STRM Relationship | SCF Control  | SCF #    | Secure Controls Framework (SCF) Control Description   | Strength of Relationship | Notes |
|----------|----------|--|----------------|-------------------|--|----------|---|--------------------------|-------|
| ID-IM    | N/A      |  | Functional     | Subset Of         | Risk Management Program  | RSK-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of strategic, operational and tactical risk management controls.  | 10                       |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Supply Chain Risk Management (SCRM) Plan   | RSK-09   | Mechanisms exist to develop a plan for Supply Chain Risk Management (SCRM) associated with the development, acquisition, maintenance and disposal of Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS), including documenting selected mitigating actions and monitoring performance against those plans.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Security, Compliance & Resilience Assessments  | CPL-03   | Mechanisms exist to regularly review processes and documented procedures to ensure conformity with the organization's security, compliance and/or resilience policies and standards and other applicable requirements.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Functional Review Of Security, Compliance & Resilience Controls  | CPL-03.2 | Mechanisms exist to regularly review Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) for adherence to the organization's security, compliance and/or resilience policies and standards.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Assessments  | IAO-02   | Mechanisms exist to formally assess the security, compliance and resilience controls in Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) through Information Assurance Program (IAP) activities to determine the extent to which the controls are implemented correctly, operating as intended and producing the desired outcome with respect to meeting expected requirements.   | 5                        |       |
| ID-IM-01 | N/A      |  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Security Assessment Report (SAR)   | IAO-02.4 | Mechanisms exist to produce a Security Assessment Report (SAR) at the conclusion of a security assessment to certify the results of the assessment and assist with any remediation actions.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Capabilities Deficiency Tracking   | IAO-05   | Mechanisms exist to govern identified deficiencies (e.g., Plan of Action and Milestones (POAAM) or similar methodology) that formally documents, at a minimum:(1) Deficiency tracking number;(2) Applicable security, compliance and/or resilience control;(3) Description of the deficiency(ies);(4) Risk associated with the deficiency(ies);(5) Source of the deficiency(ies);(6) Remediation process;(7) Temporary compensation controls, if applicable;(8) Compliance and/or resilience personnel to;(1) Create and implement a Security Testing and Evaluation (ST&E) plan, or similar capability;(2) Implement a verifiable flow remediation process to correct weaknesses and deficiencies identified during the control testing and evaluation process; and(3) Document the results. | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Continuous Monitoring Plan   | TDA-09.1 | Mechanisms exist to require the developers of Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) to produce a plan for the continuous monitoring of security, compliance and/or resilience control effectiveness.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Third-Party Risk Assessments & Approvals   | TPM-04.1 | Mechanisms exist to conduct a risk assessment prior to the acquisition or outsourcing of technology-related Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS).   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Review of Third-Party Services   | TPM-08   | Mechanisms exist to monitor, regularly review and assess External Service Providers (ESPs) for compliance with established contractual requirements for security, compliance and resilience controls.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Contingency Plan Root Cause Analysis (RCA) & Lessons Learned   | BCD-05   | Mechanisms exist to conduct a Root Cause Analysis (RCA) and "lessons learned" activity every time the contingency plan is activated.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Security, Compliance & Resilience Assessments  | CPL-03   | Mechanisms exist to regularly review processes and documented procedures to ensure conformity with the organization's security, compliance and/or resilience policies, standards and other applicable requirements.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Functional Review Of Security, Compliance & Resilience Controls  | CPL-03.2 | Mechanisms exist to regularly review Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) for adherence to the organization's security, compliance and/or resilience policies and standards.  | 5                        |       |
| ID-IM-02 | N/A      |  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Security, Compliance & Resilience Assessments  | CPL-03   | Mechanisms exist to regularly review processes and documented procedures to ensure conformity with the organization's security, compliance and/or resilience policies, standards and other applicable requirements.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Functional Review Of Security, Compliance & Resilience Controls  | CPL-03.2 | Mechanisms exist to regularly review Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) for adherence to the organization's security, compliance and/or resilience policies and standards.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Assessments  | IAO-02   | Mechanisms exist to formally assess the security, compliance and resilience controls in Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) through Information Assurance Program (IAP) activities to determine the extent to which the controls are implemented correctly, operating as intended and producing the desired outcome with respect to meeting expected requirements.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Security Assessment Report (SAR)   | IAO-02.4 | Mechanisms exist to produce a Security Assessment Report (SAR) at the conclusion of a security assessment to certify the results of the assessment and assist with any remediation actions.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Capabilities Deficiency Tracking   | IAO-05   | Mechanisms exist to govern identified deficiencies (e.g., Plan of Action and Milestones (POAAM) or similar methodology) that formally documents, at a minimum:(1) Deficiency tracking number;(2) Applicable security, compliance and/or resilience control;(3) Description of the deficiency(ies);(4) Risk associated with the deficiency(ies);(5) Source of the deficiency(ies);(6) Remediation process;(7) Temporary compensation controls, if applicable;(8) Compliance and/or resilience personnel to;(1) Create and implement a Security Testing and Evaluation (ST&E) plan, or similar capability;(2) Implement a verifiable flow remediation process to correct weaknesses and deficiencies identified during the control testing and evaluation process; and(3) Document the results. | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Root Cause Analysis (RCA) & Lessons Learned  | IRO-13   | Mechanisms exist to incorporate lessons learned from analyzing and resolving cybersecurity and data protection incidents to reduce the likelihood or impact of future incidents.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Security, Compliance & Resilience Testing Throughout Development                                       | TDA-09   | Mechanisms exist to require system developers/integrators consult with security, compliance and/or resilience personnel to:(1) Create and implement a Security Testing and Evaluation (ST&E) plan, or similar capability;(2) Implement a verifiable flow remediation process to correct weaknesses and deficiencies identified during the control testing and evaluation process; and(3) Document the results.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Continuous Monitoring Plan   | TDA-09.1 | Mechanisms exist to require the developers of Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) to produce a plan for the continuous monitoring of security, compliance and/or resilience control effectiveness.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Third-Party Risk Assessments & Approvals   | TPM-04.1 | Mechanisms exist to conduct a risk assessment prior to the acquisition or outsourcing of technology-related Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS).   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Review of Third-Party Services   | TPM-08   | Mechanisms exist to monitor, regularly review and assess External Service Providers (ESPs) for compliance with established contractual requirements for security, compliance and resilience controls.   | 5                        |       |
| ID-IM-03 | N/A      | Improvements are identified from execution of operational processes, procedures, and activities.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Measures of Performance  | GOV-05   | Mechanisms exist to develop, report and monitor Security, Compliance & Resilience Program (SCR) measures of performance.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Contingency Plan Root Cause Analysis (RCA) & Lessons Learned   | BCD-05   | Mechanisms exist to conduct a Root Cause Analysis (RCA) and "lessons learned" activity every time the contingency plan is activated.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Root Cause Analysis (RCA) & Lessons Learned  | IRO-13   | Mechanisms exist to incorporate lessons learned from analyzing and resolving cybersecurity and data protection incidents to reduce the likelihood or impact of future incidents.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Security, Compliance & Resilience Testing Throughout Development                                       | TDA-09   | Mechanisms exist to require system developers/integrators consult with security, compliance and/or resilience personnel to:(1) Create and implement a Security Testing and Evaluation (ST&E) plan, or similar capability;(2) Implement a verifiable flow remediation process to correct weaknesses and deficiencies identified during the control testing and evaluation process; and(3) Document the results.  | 5                        |       |
| ID-IM-04 | N/A      | Incident response plans and other cybersecurity plans that affect operations are established, communicated, maintained, and improved.                                | Functional     | Intersects With   | Business Continuity Management System (BCMS)   | BCD-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of contingency planning controls to help ensure resilient Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) (e.g., Continuity of Operations Plan (COOP) or Business Continuity & Disaster Recovery (BC/DR) playbooks).   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Ongoing Contingency Planning   | BCD-06   | Mechanisms exist to update contingency plans due to changes affecting:(1) People (e.g., personnel changes);(2) Processes (e.g., new, altered or decommissioned business practices, including third-party services);(3) Technologies (e.g., new, altered or decommissioned); and(4) Other (e.g., changes in the organization's risk profile).  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Incident Response Plan (IRP)   | IRO-04   | Mechanisms exist to maintain and make available a current and viable Incident Response Plan (IRP) to all stakeholders.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | IRP Update   | IRO-04.2 | Mechanisms exist to regularly review and modify incident response practices to incorporate lessons learned, business process changes and industry developments, as necessary.   | 5                        |       |
| PR       | N/A      | Safeguards to manage the organization's cybersecurity risks are used.  | Functional     | Subset Of         | Security, Compliance & Resilience Program (SCR)  | GOV-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of security, compliance and resilience governance controls.   | 10                       |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Steering Committee & Program Oversight   | GOV-01.1 | Mechanisms exist to align security, compliance and resilience capabilities with business requirements through a steering committee or advisory board, comprised of key cybersecurity, data protection and business executives, which meets formally and on a regular basis.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Statutory, Regulatory & Contractual Compliance   | CPL-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the identification and implementation of relevant statutory, regulatory and contractual controls.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Risk Management Program  | RSK-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of strategic, operational and tactical risk management controls.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Supply Chain Risk Management (SCRM) Plan   | RSK-09   | Mechanisms exist to develop a plan for Supply Chain Risk Management (SCRM) associated with the development, acquisition, maintenance and disposal of Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS), including documenting selected mitigating actions and monitoring performance against those plans.  | 5                        |       |
| PR.AA    | N/A      | Access to physical and logical assets is limited to authorized users, services, and hardware and managed commensurate with the assessed risk of unauthorized access. | Functional     | Intersects With   | Identity & Access Management (IAM)   | IAC-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of identification and access management controls.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Authenticate, Authorize and Audit (AAA)  | IAC-01.2 | Mechanisms exist to strictly govern the use of Authenticate, Authorize and Audit (AAA) solutions, both on-premises and those hosted by an External Service Provider (ESP).  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Physical & Environmental Protections   | PES-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the operation of physical and environmental protection controls.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Physical Access Authorizations   | PES-02   | Physical access control mechanisms exist to maintain a current list of personnel with authorized access to organizational facilities (except for those areas within the facility officially designated as publicly accessible).   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Physical Access Control  | PES-03   | Physical access control mechanisms exist to enforce physical access authorizations for all physical access points (including designated entry/exit points) to facilities (excluding those areas within the facility officially designated as publicly accessible).  | 5                        |       |
| PR.AA-01 | N/A      | Identities and credentials for authorized users, services, and hardware are managed by the organization.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Identification & Authentication for Organizational Users   | IAC-02   | Mechanisms exist to uniquely identify and centrally Authenticate, Authorize and Audit (AAA) organizational users and processes acting on behalf of organizational users.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Identification & Authentication for Non-Organizational Users   | IAC-03   | Mechanisms exist to uniquely identify and centrally Authenticate, Authorize and Audit (AAA) third-party users and processes that provide services to the organization.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Identification & Authentication for Devices  | IAC-04   | Mechanisms exist to uniquely identify and centrally Authenticate, Authorize and Audit (AAA) devices before establishing a connection using bidirectional authentication that is cryptographically based and replay resistant.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Identification & Authentication for Third-Party Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) | IAC-05   | Mechanisms exist to identify and authenticate third-party Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS).   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Identity Proofing (Identity Verification)  | IAC-28   | Mechanisms exist to verify the identity of a user before issuing authenticators or providing access to organizational facilities.   | 10                       |       |
| PR.AA-02 | N/A      | Identities are proved and bound to credentials based on the context of interactions.   | Functional     | Subset Of         | Authenticate, Authorize and Audit (AAA)  | IAC-01.2 | Mechanisms exist to strictly govern the use of Authenticate, Authorize and Audit (AAA) solutions, both on-premises and those hosted by an External Service Provider (ESP).  | 10                       |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Identification & Authentication for Organizational Users   | IAC-02   | Mechanisms exist to uniquely identify and centrally Authenticate, Authorize and Audit (AAA) organizational users and processes acting on behalf of organizational users.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Identification & Authentication for Non-Organizational Users   | IAC-03   | Mechanisms exist to uniquely identify and centrally Authenticate, Authorize and Audit (AAA) third-party users and processes that provide services to the organization.  | 5                        |       |
| PR.AA-03 | N/A      | Identities are proved and bound to credentials based on the context of interactions.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Identification & Authentication for Devices  | IAC-04   | Mechanisms exist to uniquely identify and centrally Authenticate, Authorize and Audit (AAA) devices before establishing a connection using bidirectional authentication that is cryptographically based and replay resistant.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Identification & Authentication for Third-Party Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) | IAC-05   | Mechanisms exist to identify and authenticate third-party Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS).   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Identity Proofing (Identity Verification)  | IAC-28   | Mechanisms exist to verify the identity of a user before issuing authenticators or providing access to organizational facilities.   | 10                       |       |
| PR.AA-04 | N/A      | Identity assertions are protected, conveyed, and verified.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Authenticate, Authorize and Audit (AAA)  | IAC-01.2 | Mechanisms exist to strictly govern the use of Authenticate, Authorize and Audit (AAA) solutions, both on-premises and those hosted by an External Service Provider (ESP).  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Replay-Resistant Authentication  | IAC-02.2 | Automated mechanisms exist to employ replay-resistant authentication.   | 5                        |       |

| FDE #    | FDE Name | Focal Document Element (FDE) Description   | STRM Rationale | STRM Relationship | SCF Control  | SCF #    | Secure Controls Framework (SCF) Control Description  | Strength of Relationship | Notes |
|----------|----------|--|----------------|-------------------|--|----------|--|--------------------------|-------|
| PR_AA-05 | N/A      | Access permissions, entitlements, and authorizations are defined in a policy, managed, enforced, and reviewed, and incorporate the principles of least privilege and separation of duties.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Acceptance of External Authenticators  | IAC-03.5 | Mechanisms exist to restrict the use of external authenticators to those that are National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST)-compliant and maintain a list of accepted external authenticators.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Position Categorization  | HRS-02   | Mechanisms exist to manage personnel security risk by assigning a risk designation to all positions and establishing screening criteria for individuals filling those positions.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Separation of Duties (SoD)   | HRS-11   | Mechanisms exist to implement and maintain Separation of Duties (SoD) to prevent potential inappropriate activity without collusion.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Subset Of         | Identity & Access Management (IAM)   | IAC-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of identification and access management controls.  | 10                       |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Authenticate, Authorize and Audit (AAA)  | IAC-01.2 | Mechanisms exist to strictly govern the use of Authenticate, Authorize and Audit (AAA) solutions, both on-premises and those hosted by an External Service Provider (ESP).   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Identification & Authentication for Organizational Users   | IAC-02   | Mechanisms exist to uniquely identify and centrally Authenticate, Authorize and Audit (AAA) organizational users and processes acting on behalf of organizational users.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Identification & Authentication for Non-Organizational Users   | IAC-03   | Mechanisms exist to uniquely identify and centrally Authenticate, Authorize and Audit (AAA) third-party users and processes that provide services to the organization.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Identification & Authentication for Devices  | IAC-04   | Mechanisms exist to uniquely identify and centrally Authenticate, Authorize and Audit (AAA) devices before establishing a connection using bidirectional authentication that is cryptographically based and replay resistant.                                      | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Identification & Authentication for Third-Party Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) | IAC-05   | Mechanisms exist to identify and authenticate third-party Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS).  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Role-Based Access Control (RBAC)   | IAC-08   | Mechanisms exist to enforce Role-Based Access Control (RBAC) for Technology Assets, Applications, Services and/or Data (TAASD) to restrict access to individuals assigned specific roles with legitimate business needs.   | 5                        |       |
| PR_AA-06 | N/A      | Physical access to assets is managed, monitored, and enforced commensurate with risk.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Least Privilege  | IAC-21   | Mechanisms exist to utilize the concept of least privilege, allowing only authorized access to processes necessary to accomplish assigned tasks in accordance with organizational business functions.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Subset Of         | Physical & Environmental Protections   | PES-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the operation of physical and environmental protection controls.  | 10                       |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Physical Access Authorizations   | PES-02   | Physical access control mechanisms exist to maintain a current list of personnel with authorized access to organizational facilities (except for those areas within the facility officially designated as publicly accessible).                                    | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Role-Based Physical Access   | PES-02.1 | Physical access control mechanisms exist to authorize physical access to facilities based on the position or role of the individual.   | 5                        |       |
| PR_AT    | N/A      | The organization's personnel are provided with cybersecurity awareness and training so that they can perform their cybersecurity-related tasks.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Physical Access Control  | PES-03   | Physical access control mechanisms exist to enforce physical access authorizations for all physical access points (including designated entry/exit points) to facilities (excluding those areas within the facility officially designated as publicly accessible). | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Subset Of         | Security, Compliance & Resilience-Minded Workforce   | SAT-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of security workforce development and awareness controls.  | 10                       |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Security, Compliance & Resilience Awareness Training   | SAT-02   | Mechanisms exist to provide all employees and contractors appropriate security, compliance and resilience awareness education and training that is relevant for their job function.  | 5                        |       |
| PR_AT-01 | N/A      | Personnel are provided with awareness and training so that they possess the knowledge and skills to perform general tasks with cybersecurity risks in mind.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Role-Based Security, Compliance & Resilience Training  | SAT-03   | Mechanisms exist to provide role-based security, compliance and resilience-related training:(1) Before authorizing access to the system or performing assigned duties;(2) When required by system changes; and(3) Annually thereafter.                             | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Security, Compliance & Resilience Awareness Training   | SAT-02   | Mechanisms exist to provide all employees and contractors appropriate security, compliance and resilience awareness education and training that is relevant for their job function.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Role-Based Security, Compliance & Resilience Training  | SAT-03   | Mechanisms exist to provide role-based security, compliance and resilience-related training:(1) Before authorizing access to the system or performing assigned duties;(2) When required by system changes; and(3) Annually thereafter.                             | 5                        |       |
| PR_AT-02 | N/A      | Individuals in specialized roles are provided with awareness and training so that they possess the knowledge and skills to perform relevant tasks with cybersecurity risks in mind.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Cyber Threat Environment   | SAT-03.6 | Mechanisms exist to provide role-based security, compliance and resilience awareness training that is current and relevant to the cyber threats that users might encounter in day-to-day business operations.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Role-Based Security, Compliance & Resilience Training  | SAT-03   | Mechanisms exist to provide role-based security, compliance and resilience-related training:(1) Before authorizing access to the system or performing assigned duties;(2) When required by system changes; and(3) Annually thereafter.                             | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Privileged Users   | SAT-03.5 | Mechanisms exist to provide specific training for privileged users to ensure privileged users understand their unique roles and responsibilities.  | 5                        |       |
| PR_DS    | N/A      | Data are managed consistent with the organization's risk strategy to protect the confidentiality, integrity, and availability of information.  | Functional     | Subset Of         | Data Protection  | DCH-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of data protection controls.   | 10                       |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Data Stewardship   | DCH-01.1 | Mechanisms exist to ensure data stewardship is assigned, documented and communicated.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Sensitive / Regulated Data Protection  | DCH-01.2 | Mechanisms exist to protect sensitive/regulated data wherever it is processed and/or stored.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Sensitive / Regulated Media Records  | DCH-01.3 | Mechanisms exist to ensure media records for sensitive/regulated data contain sufficient information to determine the potential impact in the event of a data loss incident.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Defining Access Authorizations for Sensitive / Regulated Data  | DCH-01.4 | Mechanisms exist to explicitly define authorizations for specific individuals and/or roles for logical and/or physical access to sensitive/regulated data.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Data & Asset Classification  | DCH-02   | Mechanisms exist to ensure data and assets are categorized in accordance with applicable statutory, regulatory and contractual requirements.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Media Access   | DCH-03   | Mechanisms exist to control and restrict access to digital and non-digital media to authorized individuals.  | 5                        |       |
| PR_DS-01 | N/A      | The confidentiality, integrity, and availability of data-at-rest are protected.  | Functional     | Subset Of         | Data Protection  | DCH-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of data protection controls.   | 10                       |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Use of Cryptographic Controls  | CRY-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of cryptographic protections controls using known public standards and trusted cryptographic technologies.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Alternate Physical Protection  | CRY-01.1 | Cryptographic mechanisms exist to prevent unauthorized disclosure of information as an alternate to physical security requirements.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Encrypting Data At Rest  | CRY-05   | Cryptographic mechanisms exist to prevent unauthorized disclosure of data at rest.   | 5                        |       |
| PR_DS-02 | N/A      | The confidentiality, integrity, and availability of data-in-transit are protected.   | Functional     | Subset Of         | Data Protection  | DCH-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of data protection controls.   | 10                       |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Use of Cryptographic Controls  | CRY-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of cryptographic protections controls using known public standards and trusted cryptographic technologies.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Transmission Confidentiality   | CRY-03   | Cryptographic mechanisms exist to protect the confidentiality of data being transmitted.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Transmission Integrity   | CRY-04   | Cryptographic mechanisms exist to protect the integrity of data being transmitted.   | 5                        |       |
| PR_DS-10 | N/A      | The confidentiality, integrity, and availability of data-in-use are protected.   | Functional     | Subset Of         | Data Protection  | DCH-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of data protection controls.   | 10                       |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Use of Cryptographic Controls  | CRY-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of cryptographic protections controls using known public standards and trusted cryptographic technologies.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Secure Baseline Configurations   | CFG-02   | Mechanisms exist to develop, document and maintain secure baseline configurations for Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) that are consistent with industry accepted system hardening standards.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Least Privilege  | IAC-21   | Mechanisms exist to utilize the concept of least privilege, allowing only authorized access to processes necessary to accomplish assigned tasks in accordance with organizational business functions.  | 5                        |       |
| PR_DS-11 | N/A      | Backups of data are created, protected, maintained, and tested.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Data Backups   | BCD-11   | Mechanisms exist to create recurring backups of data, software and/or system images, as well as verify the integrity of these backups, to ensure the availability of the data to satisfy Recovery Time Objectives (RTOs) and Recovery Point Objectives (RPOs).     | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Testing for Reliability & Integrity  | BCD-11.1 | Mechanisms exist to routinely test backups that verify the reliability of the backup process, as well as the integrity and availability of the data.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Test Restoration Using Sampling  | BCD-11.5 | Mechanisms exist to utilize sampling of available backups to test recovery capabilities as part of business continuity plan testing.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Transfer to Alternate Storage Site   | BCD-11.6 | Mechanisms exist to transfer backup data to the alternate storage site at a rate that is capable of meeting both Recovery Time Objectives (RTOs) and Recovery Point Objectives (RPOs).   | 5                        |       |
| PR_PS    | N/A      | The hardware, software (e.g., firmware, operating systems, applications), and services of physical and virtual platforms are managed consistent with the organization's risk strategy to protect their confidentiality, integrity, and availability. | Functional     | Intersects With   | Configuration Management Program   | CFG-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of configuration management controls.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Secure Baseline Configurations   | CFG-02   | Mechanisms exist to develop, document and maintain secure baseline configurations for Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) that are consistent with industry accepted system hardening standards.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Reviews & Updates  | CFG-02.1 | Mechanisms exist to review and update baseline configurations:(1) At least annually;(2) When required due to so; or(3) As part of system component installations and upgrades.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Configure Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) for High-Risk Areas                   | CFG-02.5 | Mechanisms exist to configure Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) utilized in high-risk areas with more restrictive baseline configurations.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Maintenance Operations   | MNT-01   | Mechanisms exist to develop, disseminate, review & update procedures to facilitate the implementation of maintenance controls across the enterprise.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Controlled Maintenance   | MNT-02   | Mechanisms exist to conduct controlled maintenance activities throughout the lifecycle of the Technology Asset, Application and/or Service (TAAS).   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Preventative Maintenance   | MNT-03.1 | Mechanisms exist to perform preventive maintenance on critical Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS).   | 5                        |       |
| PR_PS-01 | N/A      | Configuration management practices are established and applied. Software is maintained, replaced, and removed commensurate with risk.  | Functional     | Equal             | Configuration Management Program   | CFG-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of configuration management controls.  | 10                       |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Maintenance Operations   | MNT-01   | Mechanisms exist to develop, disseminate, review & update procedures to facilitate the implementation of maintenance controls across the enterprise.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Controlled Maintenance   | MNT-02   | Mechanisms exist to conduct controlled maintenance activities throughout the lifecycle of the Technology Asset, Application and/or Service (TAAS).   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Timely Maintenance   | MNT-03   | Mechanisms exist to obtain maintenance support and/or spare parts for Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) within a defined Recovery Time Objective (RTO).   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Preventative Maintenance   | MNT-03.1 | Mechanisms exist to perform preventive maintenance on critical Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS).   | 5                        |       |

| FDE #           | FDE Name                               | Focal Document Element (FDE) Description   | STRM Rationale   | STRM Relationship | SCF Control  | SCF #   | Security Controls Framework (SCF) Control Description  | Strength of Relationship | Notes                           |          |   |    |  |
|-----------------|--|--|--|-------------------|--|---|--|--------------------------|---------------------------------|----------|---|----|--|
| PR_PS-02        | N/A                                    |  | Functional   | Intersects With   | Secure Development Life Cycle (SDLC) Management                      | PRM-07  | Mechanisms exist to ensure changes to Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) within the Secure Development Life Cycle (SDLC) are controlled through formal change control procedures.  | 5                        |                                 |          |   |    |  |
|                 |  |  |  | Intersects With   | Technology Lifecycle Management                                      | SEA-07.1  | Mechanisms exist to manage the usable lifecycles of Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS).  | 5                        |                                 |          |   |    |  |
|                 |  |  |  | Intersects With   | Unsupported Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS)   | TDA-17  | Mechanisms exist to prevent unsupported Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) by (1) Removing and/or replacing TAAS when support for the components is no longer available from the developer, vendor or manufacturer; and(2) Requiring justification and documented approval for the continued use of unsupported TAAS required to satisfy mission/business needs.   | 5                        |                                 |          |   |    |  |
|                 |  |  |  | Intersects With   | Vulnerability & Patch Management Program (VPMP)                      | VPM-01  | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation and monitoring of vulnerability management controls.   | 5                        |                                 |          |   |    |  |
|                 |  |  |  | Intersects With   | Attack Surface Scope   | VPM-01.1  | Mechanisms exist to define and manage the scope for its attack surface management activities.  | 5                        |                                 |          |   |    |  |
|                 |  |  |  | Intersects With   | Vulnerability Remediation Process                                    | VPM-02  | Mechanisms exist to ensure that vulnerabilities are properly identified, tracked and remediated.   | 5                        |                                 |          |   |    |  |
| PR_PS-03        | N/A                                    | Hardware is maintained, replaced, and removed commensurate with risk.  | Functional   | Intersects With   | Software & Firmware Patching   | VPM-05  | Mechanisms exist to conduct software patching for all deployed Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS), including firmware.   | 5                        |                                 |          |   |    |  |
|                 |  |  |  | Intersects With   | Maintenance Operations   | MNT-01  | Mechanisms exist to develop, disseminate, review & update procedures to facilitate the implementation of maintenance controls across the enterprise.   | 5                        |                                 |          |   |    |  |
|                 |  |  |  | Intersects With   | Controlled Maintenance   | MNT-02  | Mechanisms exist to conduct controlled maintenance activities throughout the lifecycle of the Technology Asset, Application and/or Service (TAAS).   | 5                        |                                 |          |   |    |  |
|                 |  |  |  | Intersects With   | Timely Maintenance   | MNT-03  | Mechanisms exist to obtain maintenance support and/or spare parts for Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) within a defined Recovery Time Objective (RTO).   | 5                        |                                 |          |   |    |  |
|                 |  |  |  | Intersects With   | Preventative Maintenance   | MNT-03.1  | Mechanisms exist to perform preventive maintenance on critical Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS).   | 5                        |                                 |          |   |    |  |
|                 |  |  |  | Intersects With   | Secure Development Life Cycle (SDLC) Management                      | PRM-07  | Mechanisms exist to ensure changes to Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) within the Secure Development Life Cycle (SDLC) are controlled through formal change control procedures.  | 5                        |                                 |          |   |    |  |
|                 |  |  |  | Intersects With   | Technology Lifecycle Management                                      | SEA-07.1  | Mechanisms exist to manage the usable lifecycles of Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS).  | 5                        |                                 |          |   |    |  |
|                 |  |  |  | Intersects With   | Unsupported Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS)   | TDA-17  | Mechanisms exist to prevent unsupported Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) by (1) Removing and/or replacing TAAS when support for the components is no longer available from the developer, vendor or manufacturer; and(2) Requiring justification and documented approval for the continued use of unsupported TAAS required to satisfy mission/business needs.   | 5                        |                                 |          |   |    |  |
|                 |  |  |  | PR_PS-04          | N/A  | Log records are generated and made available for continuous monitoring.             | Functional   | Subset Of                | Continuous Monitoring           | MON-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of enterprise-wide monitoring controls.   | 10 |  |
|                 |  |  |  |                   |  |   |  | Intersects With          | System Generated Alerts         | MON-01.4 | Mechanisms exist to generate, monitor, correlate and respond to alerts from physical, cybersecurity, data protection and supply chain activities to achieve integrated situational awareness. | 5  |  |
| Intersects With | Content of Event Logs                  | MON-03   | Mechanisms exist to configure Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) to produce event logs that contain sufficient information to, at a minimum:(1) Establish what type of event occurred;(2) When (date and time) the event occurred;(3) Where the event occurred;(4) The source of the event;(5) The outcome (success or failure) of the event; and(6) The identity of any user/subject associated with the event. |                   |  |   |  | 5                        |                                 |          |   |    |  |
| PR_PS-05        | N/A                                    | Installation and execution of unauthorized software are prevented.   | Functional   | Intersects With   | Configuration Management Program                                     | CFG-01  | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of configuration management controls.  | 5                        |                                 |          |   |    |  |
|                 |  |  |  | Intersects With   | Secure Baseline Configurations                                       | CFG-02  | Mechanisms exist to develop, document and maintain secure baseline configurations for Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) that are consistent with industry-accepted system hardening standards.  | 5                        |                                 |          |   |    |  |
|                 |  |  |  | Intersects With   | Least Functionality  | CFG-03  | Mechanisms exist to configure systems to provide only essential capabilities by specifically prohibiting or restricting the use of ports, protocols, and/or services.  | 5                        |                                 |          |   |    |  |
|                 |  |  |  | Intersects With   | Prevent Unauthorized Software Execution                              | CFG-03.2  | Mechanisms exist to configure systems to prevent the execution of unauthorized software programs.  | 5                        |                                 |          |   |    |  |
|                 |  |  |  | Intersects With   | User-Installed Software  | CFG-05  | Mechanisms exist to restrict the ability of non-privileged users to install unauthorized software.   | 5                        |                                 |          |   |    |  |
|                 |  |  |  | Intersects With   | Prohibit Installation Without Privileged Status                      | END-03  | Automated mechanisms exist to prohibit software installations without explicitly assigned privileged status.   | 5                        |                                 |          |   |    |  |
| PR_PS-06        | N/A                                    | Secure software development practices are integrated, and their performance is monitored throughout the software development life cycle.                               | Functional   | Intersects With   | Technology Development & Acquisition                                 | TDA-01  | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of tailored development and acquisition strategies, contract tools and procurement methods to meet unique business needs.  | 5                        |                                 |          |   |    |  |
|                 |  |  |  | Intersects With   | Product Management   | TDA-01.1  | Mechanisms exist to design and implement product management processes to proactively govern the design, development and production of Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) across the System Development Life Cycle (SDLC) to:(1) Improve functionality;(2) Enhance security and resiliency capabilities;(3) Correct security deficiencies; and(4) Conform with applicable statutory, regulatory and/or contractual obligations. | 5                        |                                 |          |   |    |  |
|                 |  |  |  | Intersects With   | Secure Software Development Practices (SSDP)                         | TDA-06  | Mechanisms exist to develop applications based on Secure Software Development Practices (SSDP).  | 5                        |                                 |          |   |    |  |
|                 |  |  |  | Intersects With   | Critically Analyze During Development                                | TDA-06.1  | Mechanisms exist to require the developer of the Technology Asset, Application and/or Service (TAAS) to perform a critically analysis at organization-defined decision points in the Secure Development Life Cycle (SDLC).   | 5                        |                                 |          |   |    |  |
|                 |  |  |  | Intersects With   | Threat Modeling  | TDA-06.2  | Mechanisms exist to perform threat modeling and other secure design techniques, to ensure that threats to software and solutions are identified and accounted for.   | 5                        |                                 |          |   |    |  |
|                 |  |  |  | Intersects With   | Software Assurance Maturity Model (SAMM)                             | TDA-06.3  | Mechanisms exist to utilize a Software Assurance Maturity Model (SAMM) to govern a secure development lifecycle for the development of Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS).   | 5                        |                                 |          |   |    |  |
| PR_IR           | N/A                                    | Security architectures are managed with the organization's risk strategy to protect asset confidentiality, integrity, and availability, and organizational resilience. | Functional   | Subset Of         | Security, Compliance & Resilience Program (SCRPR)                    | GOV-01  | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of security, compliance and resilience governance controls.  | 10                       |                                 |          |   |    |  |
|                 |  |  |  | Intersects With   | Steering Committee & Program Oversight                               | GOV-01.1  | Mechanisms exist to align security, compliance and resilience capabilities with business practices through a steering committee or advisory board, comprised of key cybersecurity, data protection and business executives, which meets formally and on a regular basis.   | 5                        |                                 |          |   |    |  |
|                 |  |  |  | Intersects With   | Risk Management Program  | RSK-01  | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of strategic, operational and tactical risk management controls.   | 5                        |                                 |          |   |    |  |
|                 |  |  |  | Subset Of         | Secure Engineering Principles  | SEA-01  | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of industry-recognized security, compliance and resilience practices in the specification, design, development, implementation and modification of Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS).   | 10                       |                                 |          |   |    |  |
|                 |  |  |  | Intersects With   | Centralized Management of Security, Compliance & Resilience Controls | SEA-01.1  | Mechanisms exist to centrally manage the organization-wide management and implementation of security, compliance and resilience controls and related processes.  | 5                        |                                 |          |   |    |  |
|                 |  |  |  | Intersects With   | Achieving Resilience Requirements                                    | SEA-01.2  | Mechanisms exist to achieve resilience requirements in normal and adverse situations.  | 5                        |                                 |          |   |    |  |
|                 |  |  |  | Intersects With   | Alignment With Enterprise Architecture                               | SEA-02  | Mechanisms exist to develop an enterprise architecture, aligned with industry-recognized leading practices, with consideration for security, compliance and resilience principles that addresses risk to organizational operations, assets, individuals and other organizations.   | 5                        |                                 |          |   |    |  |
|                 |  |  |  | PR_IR-01          | N/A  | Networks and environments are protected from unauthorized logical access and usage. | Functional   | Subset Of                | Network Security Controls (NSC) | NET-01   | Mechanisms exist to develop, govern & update procedures to facilitate the implementation of Network Security Controls (NSC).  | 10 |  |
| Intersects With | Layered Network Defenses               | NET-02   | Mechanisms exist to implement security functions as a layered structure that minimizes interactions between layers of the design and avoids any dependence by lower layers on the functionality or correctness of higher layers.   |                   |  |   |  | 5                        |                                 |          |   |    |  |
| Intersects With | Secure Engineering Principles          | SEA-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of industry-recognized security, compliance and resilience practices in the specification, design, development, implementation and modification of Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS).   |                   |  |   |  | 5                        |                                 |          |   |    |  |
| Intersects With | Alignment With Enterprise Architecture | SEA-02   | Mechanisms exist to develop an enterprise architecture, aligned with industry-recognized leading practices, with consideration for security, compliance and resilience principles that addresses risk to organizational operations, assets, individuals and other organizations.   |                   |  |   |  | 5                        |                                 |          |   |    |  |
| PR_IR-02        | N/A                                    | The organization's technology assets are protected from environmental threats.   | Functional   | Intersects With   | Business Continuity Management System (BCMS)                         | BCD-01  | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of contingency planning controls to help ensure resilient Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) (e.g., Continuity of Operations Plan (COOP) or Business Continuity & Disaster Recovery (BC/DR) playbooks).  | 5                        |                                 |          |   |    |  |
|                 |  |  |  | Subset Of         | Physical & Environmental Protections                                 | PES-01  | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the operation of physical and environmental protection controls.  | 10                       |                                 |          |   |    |  |
|                 |  |  |  | Intersects With   | Supporting Utilities   | PES-07  | Facility security mechanisms exist to protect power equipment and power cabling for the system from damage and destruction.  | 5                        |                                 |          |   |    |  |
|                 |  |  |  | Intersects With   | Water Damage Protection  | PES-07.5  | Facility security mechanisms exist to protect systems from damage resulting from water leakage by providing master shutoff valves that are accessible, working properly and known to key personnel.  | 5                        |                                 |          |   |    |  |
|                 |  |  |  | Intersects With   | Fire Protection  | PES-08  | Facility security mechanisms exist to utilize and maintain fire suppression and detection devices/systems for the system that are supported by an independent energy source.   | 5                        |                                 |          |   |    |  |
|                 |  |  |  | Intersects With   | Temperature & Humidity Controls                                      | PES-09  | Facility security mechanisms exist to maintain and monitor temperature and humidity levels within the facility.  | 5                        |                                 |          |   |    |  |
|                 |  |  |  | Intersects With   | Achieving Resilience Requirements                                    | SEA-01.2  | Mechanisms exist to achieve resilience requirements in normal and adverse situations.  | 5                        |                                 |          |   |    |  |
| PR_IR-03        | N/A                                    | Mechanisms are implemented to achieve resilience requirements in normal and adverse situations.  | Functional   | Intersects With   | Threat Catalog   | THR-09  | Mechanisms exist to develop and keep current a catalog of applicable internal and external threats to the organization, both natural and manmade.  | 5                        |                                 |          |   |    |  |
|                 |  |  |  | Subset Of         | Business Continuity Management System (BCMS)                         | BCD-01  | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of contingency planning controls to help ensure resilient Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) (e.g., Continuity of Operations Plan (COOP) or Business Continuity & Disaster Recovery (BC/DR) playbooks).  | 10                       |                                 |          |   |    |  |
|                 |  |  |  | Intersects With   | Secure Engineering Principles  | SEA-01  | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of industry-recognized security, compliance and resilience practices in the specification, design, development, implementation and modification of Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS).   | 5                        |                                 |          |   |    |  |
|                 |  |  |  | Intersects With   | Alignment With Enterprise Architecture                               | SEA-02  | Mechanisms exist to develop an enterprise architecture, aligned with industry-recognized leading practices, with consideration for security, compliance and resilience principles that addresses risk to organizational operations, assets, individuals and other organizations.   | 5                        |                                 |          |   |    |  |
|                 |  | Adequate resource capacity to ensure availability is maintained.   |  | Intersects With   | Achieving Resilience Requirements                                    | SEA-01.2  | Mechanisms exist to achieve resilience requirements in normal and adverse situations.  | 5                        |                                 |          |   |    |  |
|                 |  |  |  | Subset Of         | Capacity & Performance Management                                    | CAP-01  | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of capacity management controls to ensure optimal system performance to meet expected and anticipated future capacity requirements.  | 10                       |                                 |          |   |    |  |

| FDE #    | FDE Name | Focal Document Element (FDE) Description  | STRM Rationale | STRM Relationship | SCF Control  | SCF #     | Secure Controls Framework (SCF) Control Description   | Strength of Relationship | Notes |
|----------|----------|---|----------------|-------------------|--|-----------|---|--------------------------|-------|
| PR.IR-04 | N/A      |   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Resource Priority                                    | CAP-02    | Mechanisms exist to control resource utilization of Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) that are susceptible to Denial of Service (DoS) attacks to limit and prioritize the use of resources.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Capacity Planning                                    | CAP-03    | Mechanisms exist to conduct capacity planning so that necessary capacity for information processing, telecommunications and environmental support will exist during contingency operations.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Performance Monitoring                               | CAP-04    | Automated mechanisms exist to centrally monitor and alert on the operating state and health status of critical Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS).  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Elastic Expansion                                    | CAP-05    | Mechanisms exist to automatically scale the resources available for Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS), as demand conditions change.  | 5                        |       |
| DE       | N/A      | Possible cybersecurity attacks and compromises are found and analyzed.  | Functional     | Subset Of         | Threat Intelligence Program (TIP)                    | THR-01    | Mechanisms exist to implement a threat intelligence program that includes a cross-organization information-sharing capability that can influence the development of the system and security architectures, selection of security solutions, monitoring, threat hunting, response and recovery activities. | 10                       |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Indicators of Exposure (IOE)                         | THR-02    | Mechanisms exist to develop indicators of Exposure (IOE) to understand the potential attack vectors that attackers could use to attack the organization.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Threat Intelligence Feeds                            | THR-03    | Mechanisms exist to maintain situational awareness of vulnerabilities and evolving threats by leveraging the knowledge of attacker tactics, techniques and procedures to facilitate the implementation of preventative and compensating controls.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Threat Hunting                                       | THR-07    | Mechanisms exist to perform cyber threat hunting that uses Indicators of Compromise (IOC) to detect, track and disrupt threats that evade existing security controls.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Threat Catalog                                       | THR-09    | Mechanisms exist to develop and keep current a catalog of applicable internal and external threats to the organization, both natural and man-made.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Threat Analysis                                      | THR-10    | Mechanisms exist to identify, assess, prioritize and document the potential impacts and likelihood(s) of applicable internal and external threats.  | 5                        |       |
| DE.CM    | N/A      | Assets are monitored to find anomalies, indicators of compromise, and other potentially adverse events.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Monitoring for Indicators of Compromise (IOC)        | MON-11.3  | Automated mechanisms exist to identify and alert on indicators of Compromise (IoC).   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Anomalous Behavior                                   | MON-16    | Mechanisms exist to utilize User & Entity Behavior Analytics (UEBA) and/or User Activity Monitoring (UAM) solutions to detect and respond to anomalous behavior that could indicate account compromise or other malicious activities.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Indicators of Compromise (IOC)                       | IRO-03    | Mechanisms exist to define specific Indicators of Compromise (IOC) to identify the signs of potential cybersecurity events.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Indicators of Exposure (IOE)                         | THR-02    | Mechanisms exist to develop indicators of Exposure (IOE) to understand the potential attack vectors that attackers could use to attack the organization.  | 5                        |       |
| DE.CM-01 | N/A      | Networks and network services are monitored to find potentially adverse events.   | Functional     | Subset Of         | Continuous Monitoring                                | MON-01    | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of enterprise-wide monitoring controls.   | 10                       |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Intrusion Detection & Prevention Systems (IDS & IPS) | MON-01.1  | Mechanisms exist to implement Intrusion Detection / Prevention Systems (IDS / IPS) technologies on critical systems, key network segments and network choke points.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Inbound & Outbound Communications Traffic            | MON-01.3  | Mechanisms exist to continuously monitor inbound and outbound communications traffic for unusual or unauthorized activities or conditions.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | System Generated Alerts                              | MON-01.4  | Mechanisms exist to generate, monitor, correlate and respond to alerts from physical, cybersecurity, data protection and supply chain activities to achieve integrated situational awareness.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Security Event Monitoring                            | MON-01.8  | Mechanisms exist to review event logs on an ongoing basis and escalate incidents in accordance with established timelines and procedures.   | 5                        |       |
| DE.CM-02 | N/A      | The physical environment is monitored to find potentially adverse events.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Physical & Environmental Protections                 | PES-01    | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the operation of physical and environmental protection controls.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Physical Access Control                              | PES-03    | Physical access control mechanisms exist to enforce physical access authorizations for all physical access points (including designated entry/exit points) to facilities (excluding those areas within the facility officially designated as publicly accessible).  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Physical Access Logs                                 | PES-03.3  | Physical access control mechanisms generate a log entry for each access attempt through controlled ingress and egress points.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Monitoring Physical Access                           | PES-05    | Physical access control mechanisms exist to monitor for, detect and respond to physical security incidents.   | 5                        |       |
| DE.CM-03 | N/A      | Personnel activity and technology usage are monitored to find potentially adverse events.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Continuous Monitoring                                | MON-01    | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of enterprise-wide monitoring controls.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Anomalous Behavior                                   | MON-16    | Mechanisms exist to utilize User & Entity Behavior Analytics (UEBA) and/or User Activity Monitoring (UAM) solutions to detect and respond to anomalous behavior that could indicate account compromise or other malicious activities.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Insider Threats                                      | MON-16.1  | Mechanisms exist to monitor internal personnel activity for potential security incidents.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Unauthorized Activities                              | MON-16.3  | Mechanisms exist to monitor for unauthorized activities, accounts, connections, devices and software.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | DNS & Content Filtering                              | NET-18    | Mechanisms exist to force Internet-bound network traffic through a proxy device (e.g., Policy Enforcement Point (PEP)) for URL content filtering and DNS filtering to limit a user's ability to connect to dangerous or prohibited Internet sites.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Third-Party Threats                                  | MON-16.2  | Mechanisms exist to monitor third-party personnel activity for potential security incidents.  | 5                        |       |
| DE.CM-06 | N/A      | External service provider activities and services are monitored to find potentially adverse events.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Account Creation and Modification Logging            | MON-16.4  | Automated mechanisms exist to generate event logs for permissions changes to privileged accounts and/or groups.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Continuous Monitoring                                | MON-01    | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of enterprise-wide monitoring controls.   | 5                        |       |
| DE.CM-09 | N/A      | Computing hardware and software, runtime environments, and their data are monitored to find potentially adverse events.                               | Functional     | Intersects With   | File Integrity Monitoring (FIM)                      | MON-01.7  | Mechanisms exist to utilize a File Integrity Monitor (FIM), or similar change-detection technology, on critical Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) to generate alerts for unauthorized modifications.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Endpoint Device Management (EDM)                     | END-01    | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of Endpoint Device Management (EDM) controls.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Malicious Code Protection (Anti-Malware)             | END-04    | Mechanisms exist to utilize anti-malware technologies to detect and eradicate malicious code.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Endpoint File Integrity Monitoring (FIM)             | END-06    | Mechanisms exist to utilize File Integrity Monitor (FIM), or similar technologies, to detect and report on unauthorized changes to selected files and configuration settings.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Continuous Monitoring                                | MON-01    | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of enterprise-wide monitoring controls.   | 5                        |       |
| DE.AE    | N/A      | Anomalies, indicators of compromise, and other potentially adverse events are analyzed to characterize the events and detect cybersecurity incidents. | Functional     | Intersects With   | Security Event Monitoring                            | MON-01.8  | Mechanisms exist to review event logs on an ongoing basis and escalate incidents in accordance with established timelines and procedures.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Automated Alerts                                     | MON-01.12 | Mechanisms exist to automatically alert incident response personnel to inappropriate or anomalous activities that have potential security incident implications.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Subset Of         | Incident Response Operations                         | IRO-01    | Mechanisms exist to implement and govern processes and documentation to facilitate an organization-wide response capability for cybersecurity and data protection-related incidents.  | 10                       |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Incident Handling                                    | IRO-02    | Mechanisms exist to cover: (1) Preparation; (2) Automated event detection or manual incident report intake; (3) Analysis; (4) Containment; (5) Eradication; and (6) Recovery.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Incident Classification & Prioritization             | IRO-02.4  | Mechanisms exist to identify classes of incidents and actions to take to ensure the continuation of organizational missions and business functions.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Incident Handling                                    | IRO-02    | Mechanisms exist to cover: (1) Preparation; (2) Automated event detection or manual incident report intake; (3) Analysis; (4) Containment; (5) Eradication; and (6) Recovery.   | 5                        |       |
| DE.AE-02 | N/A      | Potentially adverse events are analyzed to better understand associated activities.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Incident Handling                                    | IRO-02    | Mechanisms exist to cover: (1) Preparation; (2) Automated event detection or manual incident report intake; (3) Analysis; (4) Containment; (5) Eradication; and (6) Recovery.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Incident Classification & Prioritization             | IRO-02.4  | Mechanisms exist to identify classes of incidents and actions to take to ensure the continuation of organizational missions and business functions.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Centralized Collection of Security Event Logs        | MON-02    | Mechanisms exist to utilize a Security Incident Event Manager (SIEM), or similar automated tool, to support the centralized collection of security-related event logs.  | 8                        |       |
| DE.AE-03 | N/A      | Information is correlated from multiple sources.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Correlate Monitoring Information                     | MON-02.1  | Automated mechanisms exist to correlate both technical and non-technical information from across the enterprise by a Security Incident Event Manager (SIEM) or similar automated tool, to enhance organization-wide situational awareness.  | 10                       |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Incident Handling                                    | IRO-02    | Mechanisms exist to cover: (1) Preparation; (2) Automated event detection or manual incident report intake; (3) Analysis; (4) Containment; (5) Eradication; and (6) Recovery.   | 3                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Correlation with External Organizations              | IRO-02.5  | Mechanisms exist to coordinate with approved third-parties to achieve a cross-organization perspective on incident awareness and more effective incident responses.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Incident Handling                                    | IRO-02    | Mechanisms exist to cover: (1) Preparation; (2) Automated event detection or manual incident report intake; (3) Analysis; (4) Containment; (5) Eradication; and (6) Recovery.   | 5                        |       |
| DE.AE-04 | N/A      | The estimated impact and scope of adverse events are understood.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Incident Classification & Prioritization             | IRO-02.4  | Mechanisms exist to identify classes of incidents and actions to take to ensure the continuation of organizational missions and business functions.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Materiality Determination                            | GOV-16    | Mechanisms exist to define materiality threshold criteria capable of designating an incident as material.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Security Event Monitoring                            | MON-01.8  | Mechanisms exist to review event logs on an ongoing basis and escalate incidents in accordance with established timelines and procedures.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Automated Alerts                                     | MON-01.12 | Mechanisms exist to automatically alert incident response personnel to inappropriate or anomalous activities that have potential security incident implications.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Centralized Collection of Security Event Logs        | MON-02    | Mechanisms exist to utilize a Security Incident Event Manager (SIEM), or similar automated tool, to support the centralized collection of security-related event logs.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Correlate Monitoring Information                     | MON-02.1  | Automated mechanisms exist to correlate both technical and non-technical information from across the enterprise by a Security Incident Event Manager (SIEM) or similar automated tool, to enhance organization-wide situational awareness.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Incident Handling                                    | IRO-02    | Mechanisms exist to cover: (1) Preparation; (2) Automated event detection or manual incident report intake; (3) Analysis; (4) Containment; (5) Eradication; and (6) Recovery.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Incident Classification & Prioritization             | IRO-02.4  | Mechanisms exist to identify classes of incidents and actions to take to ensure the continuation of organizational missions and business functions.   | 5                        |       |
| DE.AE-06 | N/A      | Information on adverse events is provided to authorized staff and tools.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Incident Response Plan (IRP)                         | IRO-04    | Mechanisms exist to maintain and make available a current and viable Incident Response Plan (IRP) to all stakeholders.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Integrated Security Incident Response Team (ISIRT)   | IRO-07    | Mechanisms exist to establish an integrated team of cybersecurity, IT and business function representatives that are capable of addressing cybersecurity and data protection incident response operations.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Incident Classification & Prioritization             | IRO-02.4  | Mechanisms exist to identify classes of incidents and actions to take to ensure the continuation of organizational missions and business functions.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Incident Response Plan (IRP)                         | IRO-04    | Mechanisms exist to maintain and make available a current and viable Incident Response Plan (IRP) to all stakeholders.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Integrated Security Incident Response Team (ISIRT)   | IRO-07    | Mechanisms exist to establish an integrated team of cybersecurity, IT and business function representatives that are capable of addressing cybersecurity and data protection incident response operations.  | 5                        |       |

| FDE #    | FDE Name | Focal Document Element (FDE) Description   | STRM Rationale | STRM Relationship | SCF Control   | SCF #    | Secure Controls Framework (SCF) Control Description   | Strength of Relationship | Notes |
|----------|----------|--|----------------|-------------------|---|----------|---|--------------------------|-------|
| DE-AE-07 | N/A      | Cyber threat intelligence and other contextual information are integrated into the analysis.                               | Functional     | Intersects With   | Situational Awareness For Incidents                     | IRO-09   | Mechanisms exist to document, monitor and report the status of cybersecurity and data protection incidents to internal stakeholders all the way through the resolution of the incident.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Incident Stakeholder Reporting                          | IRO-10   | Mechanisms exist to timely-report incidents to applicable:(1) Internal stakeholders;(2) Affected clients & third-parties; and(3) Regulatory authorities.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Subset Of         | Threat Intelligence Program                             | THR-01   | Mechanisms exist to implement a threat intelligence program that includes a cross-organization information-sharing capability that can influence the development of the system and security architectures, selection of security solutions, monitoring, threat hunting, response and recovery activities. | 10                       |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Threat Intelligence Feeds                               | THR-03   | Mechanisms exist to maintain situational awareness of vulnerabilities and evolving threats by leveraging the knowledge of attacker tactics, techniques and procedures to facilitate the implementation of preventative and compensating controls.   | 5                        |       |
| DE-AE-08 | N/A      | Incidents are declared when adverse events meet the defined incident criteria.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Incident Handling                                       | IRO-02   | Mechanisms exist to cover:(1) Preparation;(2) Automated event detection or manual incident report intake;(3) Analysis;(4) Containment;(5) Eradication; and(6) Recovery.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Incident Classification & Prioritization                | IRO-02.4 | Mechanisms exist to identify classes of incidents and actions to take to ensure the continuation of organizational missions and business functions.   | 5                        |       |
| RS       | N/A      | Actions regarding a detected cybersecurity incident are taken.   | Functional     | Subset Of         | Incident Response Operations                            | IRO-01   | Mechanisms exist to implement and govern processes and documentation to facilitate an organization wide response capability for cybersecurity and data protection-related incidents.  | 10                       |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Incident Handling                                       | IRO-02   | Mechanisms exist to cover:(1) Preparation;(2) Automated event detection or manual incident report intake;(3) Analysis;(4) Containment;(5) Eradication; and(6) Recovery.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Incident Response Plan (IRP)                            | IRO-04   | Mechanisms exist to maintain and make available a current and viable Incident Response Plan (IRP) to all stakeholders.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Integrated Security Incident Response Team (ISIRT)      | IRO-07   | Mechanisms exist to establish an integrated team of cybersecurity, IT and business function representatives that are capable of addressing cybersecurity and data protection incident response operations.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Situational Awareness For Incidents                     | IRO-09   | Mechanisms exist to document, monitor and report the status of cybersecurity and data protection incidents to internal stakeholders all the way through the resolution of the incident.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Incident Stakeholder Reporting                          | IRO-10   | Mechanisms exist to timely-report incidents to applicable:(1) Internal stakeholders;(2) Affected clients & third-parties; and(3) Regulatory authorities.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Incident Classification & Prioritization                | IRO-02.4 | Mechanisms exist to identify classes of incidents and actions to take to ensure the continuation of organizational missions and business functions.   | 5                        |       |
| RS-MA    | N/A      | Responses to detected cybersecurity incidents are managed.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Incident Handling                                       | IRO-02   | Mechanisms exist to cover:(1) Preparation;(2) Automated event detection or manual incident report intake;(3) Analysis;(4) Containment;(5) Eradication; and(6) Recovery.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Incident Response Plan (IRP)                            | IRO-04   | Mechanisms exist to maintain and make available a current and viable Incident Response Plan (IRP) to all stakeholders.  | 5                        |       |
| RS-MA-01 | N/A      | The incident response plan is executed in coordination with relevant third parties once an incident is declared.           | Functional     | Intersects With   | Integrated Security Incident Response Team (ISIRT)      | IRO-07   | Mechanisms exist to establish an integrated team of cybersecurity, IT and business function representatives that are capable of addressing cybersecurity and data protection incident response operations.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Incident Handling                                       | IRO-02   | Mechanisms exist to cover:(1) Preparation;(2) Automated event detection or manual incident report intake;(3) Analysis;(4) Containment;(5) Eradication; and(6) Recovery.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Correlation with External Organizations                 | IRO-02.5 | Mechanisms exist to coordinate with approved third-parties to achieve a cross-organization perspective on incident awareness and more effective incident responses.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Incident Response Plan (IRP)                            | IRO-04   | Mechanisms exist to maintain and make available a current and viable Incident Response Plan (IRP) to all stakeholders.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Integrated Security Incident Response Team (ISIRT)      | IRO-07   | Mechanisms exist to establish an integrated team of cybersecurity, IT and business function representatives that are capable of addressing cybersecurity and data protection incident response operations.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Incident Stakeholder Reporting                          | IRO-10   | Mechanisms exist to timely-report incidents to applicable:(1) Internal stakeholders;(2) Affected clients & third-parties; and(3) Regulatory authorities.  | 5                        |       |
| RS-MA-02 | N/A      | Incident reports are triaged and validated.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Incident Handling                                       | IRO-02   | Mechanisms exist to cover:(1) Preparation;(2) Automated event detection or manual incident report intake;(3) Analysis;(4) Containment;(5) Eradication; and(6) Recovery.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Incident Response Plan (IRP)                            | IRO-04   | Mechanisms exist to maintain and make available a current and viable Incident Response Plan (IRP) to all stakeholders.  | 5                        |       |
| RS-MA-03 | N/A      | Incidents are categorized and prioritized.   | Functional     | Equal             | Incident Classification & Prioritization                | IRO-02.4 | Mechanisms exist to identify classes of incidents and actions to take to ensure the continuation of organizational missions and business functions.   | 10                       |       |
| RS-MA-04 | N/A      | Incidents are escalated or elevated as needed.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Incident Handling                                       | IRO-02   | Mechanisms exist to cover:(1) Preparation;(2) Automated event detection or manual incident report intake;(3) Analysis;(4) Containment;(5) Eradication; and(6) Recovery.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Incident Response Plan (IRP)                            | IRO-04   | Mechanisms exist to maintain and make available a current and viable Incident Response Plan (IRP) to all stakeholders.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Integrated Security Incident Response Team (ISIRT)      | IRO-07   | Mechanisms exist to establish an integrated team of cybersecurity, IT and business function representatives that are capable of addressing cybersecurity and data protection incident response operations.  | 5                        |       |
| RS-MA-05 | N/A      | The criteria for initiating incident recovery are applied.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Business Continuity Management System (BCMS)            | BCD-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of contingency planning controls to also ensure resilient Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) (e.g. Continuity of Operations Plan (COOP) or Business Continuity & Disaster Recovery (BC/DR) playbooks).                              | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Recovery Operations Criteria                            | BCD-01.5 | Mechanisms exist to define specific criteria that must be met to initiate Business Continuity / Disaster Recover (BC/DR) plans that facilitate business continuity operations capable of meeting applicable Recovery Time Objectives (RTOs) and Recovery Point Objectives (RPOs).                         | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Incident Handling                                       | IRO-02   | Mechanisms exist to cover:(1) Preparation;(2) Automated event detection or manual incident report intake;(3) Analysis;(4) Containment;(5) Eradication; and(6) Recovery.   | 5                        |       |
| RS-AN    | N/A      | Investigations are conducted to ensure effective response and support forensics and recovery activities.                   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Incident Handling                                       | IRO-02   | Mechanisms exist to cover:(1) Preparation;(2) Automated event detection or manual incident report intake;(3) Analysis;(4) Containment;(5) Eradication; and(6) Recovery.   | 5                        |       |
| RS-AN-03 | N/A      | Analysis is performed to establish what has taken place during an incident and the root cause of the incident.             | Functional     | Equal             | Root Cause Analysis (RCA) & Lessons Learned             | IRO-13   | Mechanisms exist to incorporate lessons learned from analyzing and resolving cybersecurity and data protection incidents to reduce the likelihood or impact of future incidents.  | 10                       |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Chain of Custody & Forensics                            | IRO-08   | Mechanisms exist to perform digital forensics and maintain the integrity of the chain of custody, in accordance with applicable laws, regulations and industry-recognized secure practices.   | 5                        |       |
| RS-AN-06 | N/A      | Actions performed during an investigation are recorded, and the records' integrity and provenance are preserved.           | Functional     | Intersects With   | Incident Handling                                       | IRO-02   | Mechanisms exist to cover:(1) Preparation;(2) Automated event detection or manual incident report intake;(3) Analysis;(4) Containment;(5) Eradication; and(6) Recovery.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Chain of Custody & Forensics                            | IRO-08   | Mechanisms exist to perform digital forensics and maintain the integrity of the chain of custody, in accordance with applicable laws, regulations and industry-recognized secure practices.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Situational Awareness For Incidents                     | IRO-09   | Mechanisms exist to document, monitor and report the status of cybersecurity and data protection incidents to internal stakeholders all the way through the resolution of the incident.   | 5                        |       |
| RS-AN-07 | N/A      | Incident data and metadata are collected, and their integrity and provenance are preserved.                                | Functional     | Subset Of         | Chain of Custody & Forensics                            | IRO-08   | Mechanisms exist to perform digital forensics and maintain the integrity of the chain of custody, in accordance with applicable laws, regulations and industry-recognized secure practices.   | 10                       |       |
| RS-AN-08 | N/A      | An incident's magnitude is estimated and validated.  | Functional     | Equal             | Incident Classification & Prioritization                | IRO-02.4 | Mechanisms exist to identify classes of incidents and actions to take to ensure the continuation of organizational missions and business functions.   | 10                       |       |
| RS-CO    | N/A      | Response activities are coordinated with internal and external stakeholders as required by laws, regulations, or policies. | Functional     | Intersects With   | Incident Handling                                       | IRO-02   | Mechanisms exist to cover:(1) Preparation;(2) Automated event detection or manual incident report intake;(3) Analysis;(4) Containment;(5) Eradication; and(6) Recovery.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Correlation with External Organizations                 | IRO-02.5 | Mechanisms exist to coordinate with approved third-parties to achieve a cross-organization perspective on incident awareness and more effective incident responses.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Coordination with Related Plans                         | IRO-06.1 | Mechanisms exist to coordinate incident response testing with organizational elements responsible for related plans.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Situational Awareness For Incidents                     | IRO-09   | Mechanisms exist to document, monitor and report the status of cybersecurity and data protection incidents to internal stakeholders all the way through the resolution of the incident.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Incident Stakeholder Reporting                          | IRO-10   | Mechanisms exist to timely-report incidents to applicable:(1) Internal stakeholders;(2) Affected clients & third-parties; and(3) Regulatory authorities.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Cyber Incident Reporting for Sensitive / Regulated Data | IRO-10.2 | Mechanisms exist to report sensitive/regulated data incidents in a timely manner.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Supply Chain Coordination                               | IRO-10.4 | Mechanisms exist to provide cybersecurity and data protection incident information to the provider of the Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) and other organizations involved in the supply chain for TAAS related to the incident.   | 5                        |       |
| RS-CO-02 | N/A      | Internal and external stakeholders are notified of incidents.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Incident Handling                                       | IRO-02   | Mechanisms exist to cover:(1) Preparation;(2) Automated event detection or manual incident report intake;(3) Analysis;(4) Containment;(5) Eradication; and(6) Recovery.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Incident Stakeholder Reporting                          | IRO-10   | Mechanisms exist to timely-report incidents to applicable:(1) Internal stakeholders;(2) Affected clients & third-parties; and(3) Regulatory authorities.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |  |                | Intersects With   | Cyber Incident Reporting for Sensitive / Regulated Data | IRO-10.2 | Mechanisms exist to report sensitive/regulated data incidents in a timely manner.   | 5                        |       |

| FDE #    | FDE Name | Focal Document Element (FDE) Description  | STRM Rationale | STRM Relationship | SCF Control  | SCF #    | Secure Controls Framework (SCF) Control Description   | Strength of Relationship | Notes |
|----------|----------|---|----------------|-------------------|--|----------|---|--------------------------|-------|
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Supply Chain Coordination  | IRO-10.4 | Mechanisms exist to provide cybersecurity and data protection incident information to the provider of the Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) and other organizations involved in the supply chain for TAAS related to the incident.                           | 5                        |       |
| RS.CO-03 | N/A      | Information is shared with designated internal and external stakeholders.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Incident Handling  | IRO-02   | Mechanisms exist to cover:(1) Preparation;(2) Automated event detection or manual incident report intake;(3) Analysis;(4) Containment;(5) Eradication; and(6) Recovery.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Incident Stakeholder Reporting   | IRO-10   | Mechanisms exist to timely-report incidents to applicable:(1) Internal stakeholders;(2) affected clients & third parties; and(3) Regulatory authorities.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Cyber Incident Reporting for Sensitive / Regulated Data                          | IRO-10.2 | Mechanisms exist to report sensitive/regulated data incidents in a timely manner.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Supply Chain Coordination  | IRO-10.4 | Mechanisms exist to provide cybersecurity and data protection incident information to the provider of the Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) and other organizations involved in the supply chain for TAAS related to the incident.                           | 5                        |       |
| RS.MI    | N/A      | Activities are performed to prevent expansion of an event and mitigate its effects.   | Functional     | Intersects With   | Incident Response Operations   | IRO-01   | Mechanisms exist to implement and govern processes and documentation to facilitate an organization-wide response capability for cybersecurity and data protection-related incidents.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Incident Handling  | IRO-02   | Mechanisms exist to cover:(1) Preparation;(2) Automated event detection or manual incident report intake;(3) Analysis;(4) Containment;(5) Eradication; and(6) Recovery.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Incident Response Plan (IRP)   | IRO-04   | Mechanisms exist to maintain and make available a current and viable Incident Response Plan (IRP) to all stakeholders.  | 5                        |       |
| RS.MI-01 | N/A      | Incidents are contained.  | Functional     | Subset Of         | Incident Handling  | IRO-02   | Mechanisms exist to cover:(1) Preparation;(2) Automated event detection or manual incident report intake;(3) Analysis;(4) Containment;(5) Eradication; and(6) Recovery.   | 10                       |       |
| RS.MI-02 | N/A      | Incidents are eradicated.   | Functional     | Subset Of         | Incident Handling  | IRO-02   | Mechanisms exist to cover:(1) Preparation;(2) Automated event detection or manual incident report intake;(3) Analysis;(4) Containment;(5) Eradication; and(6) Recovery.   | 10                       |       |
| RC       | N/A      | Assets and operations affected by a cybersecurity incident are restored.  | Functional     | Subset Of         | Business Continuity Management System (BCMS)                                     | BCD-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of contingency planning controls to help ensure resilient Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) (e.g., Continuity of Operations Plan (COOP) or Business Continuity & Disaster Recovery (BC/DR) playbooks).     | 10                       |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) Recovery & Reconstitution | BCD-12   | Mechanisms exist to ensure the secure recovery and reconstitution of Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) to a known state after a disruption, compromise or failure.   | 5                        |       |
| RC.RP    | N/A      | Restoration activities are performed to ensure operational availability of systems and services affected by cybersecurity incidents.      | Functional     | Subset Of         | Business Continuity Management System (BCMS)                                     | BCD-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of contingency planning controls to help ensure resilient Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) (e.g., Continuity of Operations Plan (COOP) or Business Continuity & Disaster Recovery (BC/DR) playbooks).     | 10                       |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Recovery Time / Point Objectives (RTO / RPO)                                     | BCD-01.4 | Mechanisms exist to facilitate recovery operations in accordance with Recovery Time Objectives (RTOs) and Recovery Point Objectives (RPOs).   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Identify Critical Assets   | BCD-02   | Mechanisms exist to identify and document the critical Technology Assets, Applications, Services and/or Data (TAASD) that support essential missions and business functions.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Resume All Missions & Business Functions   | BCD-02.1 | Mechanisms exist to resume all missions and business functions within Recovery Time Objectives (RTOs) of the contingency plan's activation.   | 5                        |       |
| RC.RP-01 | N/A      | The recovery portion of the incident response plan is executed once initiated from the incident response process.                         | Functional     | Intersects With   | Recovery Operations Criteria   | BCD-01.5 | Mechanisms exist to define specific criteria that must be met to initiate Business Continuity / Disaster Recover (BC/DR) plans that facilitate business continuity operations capable of meeting applicable Recovery Time Objectives (RTOs) and Recovery Point Objectives (RPOs). | 5                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) Recovery & Reconstitution | BCD-12   | Mechanisms exist to ensure the secure recovery and reconstitution of Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) to a known state after a disruption, compromise or failure.   | 5                        |       |
| RC.RP-02 | N/A      | Recovery actions are selected, scoped, prioritized, and performed.  | Functional     | Subset Of         | Business Continuity Management System (BCMS)                                     | BCD-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of contingency planning controls to help ensure resilient Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) (e.g., Continuity of Operations Plan (COOP) or Business Continuity & Disaster Recovery (BC/DR) playbooks).     | 10                       |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Recovery Time / Point Objectives (RTO / RPO)                                     | BCD-01.4 | Mechanisms exist to facilitate recovery operations in accordance with Recovery Time Objectives (RTOs) and Recovery Point Objectives (RPOs).   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Identify Critical Assets   | BCD-02   | Mechanisms exist to identify and document the critical Technology Assets, Applications, Services and/or Data (TAASD) that support essential missions and business functions.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Resume All Missions & Business Functions   | BCD-02.1 | Mechanisms exist to resume all missions and business functions within Recovery Time Objectives (RTOs) of the contingency plan's activation.   | 5                        |       |
| RC.RP-03 | N/A      | The integrity of backups and other restoration assets is verified before using them for restoration.                                      | Functional     | Intersects With   | Backup & Restoration Hardware Protection   | BCD-13   | Mechanisms exist to protect backup and restoration hardware and software.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Restoration Integrity Verification   | BCD-13.1 | Mechanisms exist to verify the integrity of backups and other restoration assets prior to using them for restoration.   | 5                        |       |
| RC.RP-04 | N/A      | Critical mission functions and cybersecurity risk management are considered to establish post-incident operational norms.                 | Functional     | Subset Of         | Business Continuity Management System (BCMS)                                     | BCD-01   | Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of contingency planning controls to help ensure resilient Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) (e.g., Continuity of Operations Plan (COOP) or Business Continuity & Disaster Recovery (BC/DR) playbooks).     | 10                       |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Recovery Time / Point Objectives (RTO / RPO)                                     | BCD-01.4 | Mechanisms exist to facilitate recovery operations in accordance with Recovery Time Objectives (RTOs) and Recovery Point Objectives (RPOs).   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Identify Critical Assets   | BCD-02   | Mechanisms exist to identify and document the critical Technology Assets, Applications, Services and/or Data (TAASD) that support essential missions and business functions.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Resume All Missions & Business Functions   | BCD-02.1 | Mechanisms exist to resume all missions and business functions within Recovery Time Objectives (RTOs) of the contingency plan's activation.   | 5                        |       |
| RC.RP-05 | N/A      | The integrity of restored assets is verified, systems and services are restored, and normal operating status is confirmed.                | Functional     | Subset Of         | Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) Recovery & Reconstitution | BCD-12   | Mechanisms exist to ensure the secure recovery and reconstitution of Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) to a known state after a disruption, compromise or failure.   | 10                       |       |
| RC.RP-06 | N/A      | The end of incident recovery is declared based on criteria, and incident related documentation is completed.                              | Functional     | Intersects With   | Incident Handling  | IRO-02   | Mechanisms exist to cover:(1) Preparation;(2) Automated event detection or manual incident report intake;(3) Analysis;(4) Containment;(5) Eradication; and(6) Recovery.   | 5                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Situational Awareness For Incidents  | IRO-09   | Mechanisms exist to document, monitor and report the status of cybersecurity and data protection incidents to internal stakeholders all the way through the resolution of the incident.   | 5                        |       |
| RC.CO    | N/A      | Restoration activities are coordinated with internal and external parties.  | Functional     | Intersects With   | Coordinate with Related Plans  | BCD-01.1 | Mechanisms exist to coordinate contingency plan development with internal and external elements responsible for related plans.  | 5                        |       |
|          |          |   |                | Intersects With   | Coordinate With External Service Providers                                       | BCD-01.2 | Mechanisms exist to coordinate internal contingency plans with the contingency plans of external service providers to ensure that contingency requirements can be satisfied.  | 5                        |       |
| RC.CO-03 | N/A      | Recovery activities and progress in restoring operational capabilities are communicated to designated internal and external stakeholders. | Functional     | Equal             | Recovery Operations Communications   | BCD-01.6 | Mechanisms exist to communicate the status of recovery activities and progress in restoring operational capabilities to designated internal and external stakeholders.  | 10                       |       |
| RC.CO-04 | N/A      | Public updates on incident recovery are shared using approved methods and messaging.  | Functional     | Subset Of         | Public Relations & Reputation Repair   | IRO-16   | Mechanisms exist to proactively manage public relations associated with incidents and employ appropriate measures to prevent further reputational damage and develop plans to repair any damage to the organization's reputation.   | 10                       |       |